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Sir,

We read with great interest the article recently published in Brain by Anttonen et al. (2017) reporting a homozygous p.Ser31Leu mutation in the ZNHIT3 gene causing PEHO (progressive encephalopathy with peripheral oedema, hypsarhythmia, and optic atrophy) syndrome (MIM 260565) in a series of affected children from Finland.

PEHO was first described in the Finnish population (Salonen et al., 1991) and the diagnostic criteria (Supplementary Table 1) were initially formulated by Somer in 1993 (Somer, 1993; Somer et al., 1993). While the description of PEHO in siblings born from healthy and sometimes consanguineous parents supports autosomal recessive inheritance (Riikonen, 2001), from a genetic point of view PEHO syndrome has remained a poorly understood condition until recently.

The affected Finnish children reported by Anttonen et al. showed the classical clinical and radiological features of PEHO syndrome and were all found to harbour a founder p.Ser31Leu homozygous mutation in ZNHIT3, suggesting a common ancestral origin of these families. Supporting this Finnish founder effect, in the ExAC database containing 60,706 individuals (last accessed April 2017) the p.Ser31Leu variant represents the most frequent single nucleotide polymorphism in ZNHIT3 (rs148890852), being carried by 30 (of a total of 39) subjects from a cohort of 3298 Finnish individuals [minor allele frequency (MAF): 0.0045; carriers frequency: 0.009]. Notably, in all remaining ethnic groups the variant is present at a much lower frequency with only five carriers from 31,694 (non-Finnish) Europeans (MAF: 7.888 × 10⁻⁵; carrier frequency: 0.0001), and it is (almost) absent in some other populations (e.g. Asian, Latino).

The ZNHIT3 gene is likely to be important in the PEHO group of disorders from different populations, we therefore analysed our whole-exome and whole-genome data from a cohort of well-defined PEHO syndrome (n = 9) and ‘PEHO-like’ syndrome (n = 15) cases from variable (non-Finnish) ancestries and failed to identify additional mutations in ZNHIT3. Although a rare condition, based on the carrier frequency data from publicly available databases and on the screening analysis from our disease cohort, we suggest that autosomal recessive PEHO syndrome due to ZNHIT3 mutations is likely to be exceptionally rare outside of Finland (especially in association with the isolated founder p.Ser31Leu mutation).

There are many examples of genetic isolated in families from Finland (Sajantila et al. 1996; Pakkasjärvi et al.,...
2006). This is because the Finnish population have an isolated ancestry in a small founder group, followed by several historical bottleneck events that have led to genetic drift and enrichment of certain rare and low frequency variants that are almost absent in other ethnicities (Abecasis et al., 2012; Lemmelä et al., 2016). Although PEHO could be considered a very rare syndrome, it has been reported worldwide, including from Australia (Field et al., 2003), Turkey (Tekgül and Tuıtnıcıoğlu, 2000), Japan (Fujiimoto et al., 1995), South America (Caraballo et al., 2011) and different European countries (Nieto-Barrera et al., 2003; Klein et al., 2004); overall, non-Finnish patients account for approximately half of the cases published to date (Langlois et al., 2016; Anтонен et al., 2017).

These data suggest genetic heterogeneity, a well-known scenario in many neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative disorders caused by mutations in different genes implicated in overlapping conditions characterized by severe progressive encephalopathy and epilepsy (Noebels et al., 2016). In addition, certain broad phenotypes associated with the PEHO main features should be also considered based on previous clinical reports of patients lacking any of the mandatory diagnostic criteria that have been diagnosed with ‘PEHO-like’ disorders (Chitty et al., 1996; Field et al., 2003; Pavlidou et al., 2016). In this regard, an autosomal dominant de novo mutation in CDKL5 has been previously identified in a single proband with a PEHO-like disorder (Gawlinski et al., 2016) and a recent report described a young female with PEHO syndrome harbouring a de novo missense mutations in KIF1A (Langlois et al., 2016). Furthermore, a homozygous truncating mutation of the CCDC88A gene was recently found as a cause of PEHO-like syndrome in a consanguineous family and the authors identified a similar neurological phenotype in the Ccde88a knock-out mouse presenting progressive microcephaly and corpus callosum deficiency (Nahorski et al., 2016).

Importantly, we recently characterized a new autosomal recessive neurodevelopmental and degenerative disorder caused by biallelic mutations in PRUNE1, with some of the affected individuals showing PEHO or PEHO-like features (Zollo et al., 2017). PRUNE1 is a member of the DHH (Asp-His-His) phosphoesterase and exopolyphosphatase protein superfamily of molecules important for cell motility, and implicated in cancer progression (Tammenkoski et al., 2008). Of interest, we found that pathogenic mutations cause enhancement of PRUNE1 enzymatic exopolyphosphatase (PPase/PPX) activity and mutated PRUNE1 colocalizes with microtubules during mitosis to form mitotic spindle via binding to α/β-tubulin and also demonstrated that PRUNE1 mutants cause a delay in microtubule polymerization, particularly affecting the nucleation-phase (Zollo et al., 2017; Ferrucci et al., unpublished results).

In one of the reported families from our original study (Family C), we identified a homozygous p.D106N mutation involving an aspartic acid residue of the active and conserved DHH motif (Fig. 1A–C). Interestingly, the phenotype of the affected siblings from this family fulfilled the diagnostic criteria for PEHO syndrome, including severe hypotonia with onset shortly after birth and profound global developmental delay, early loss of visual fixation (Fig. 1D and E) and optic atrophy, normal head circumference at birth evolving to progressive microcephaly (Fig. 1F and G), infantile epileptic encephalopathy with hypsarhythmia, and progressive CNS atrophy mainly involving the cerebellum and the brainstem (Fig. 1H and I). In addition, probands from the family showed some of the typical distinctive facial features (e.g. narrow forehead, short nose and open mouth appearance; Fig. 1D–G), and variably presented many of the additional supportive criteria for the diagnosis of PEHO, including a progressive white matter involvement on brain MRI (Fig. 1J and K), facial and/or limb oedema, abnormal brainstem auditory evoked potentials, brisk tendon reflexes in early childhood and slowing of the peripheral nerve conduction velocity in late infancy.

As the most important neuropathology features in PEHO syndrome are classically observed at the cerebellar level due to severe loss of granule cells and abnormal Purkinje cells, we analysed the PRUNE1 protein expression in a developing mouse cerebellum in order to better understand the role of PRUNE1 in the disease. In this regard, immunofluorescence analyses show that murine PRUNE1 protein is strongly expressed along the entire cerebellum collected at postnatal Day P1 (Fig. 2A), either in the granular layers or in the developing Purkinje cells, with particular enrichment of the expression in Purkinje cells migrating to the cerebellar surface (Fig. 2B). Taken together, present and previous data suggest the importance of PRUNE1 during the proliferation, migration and differentiation processes of granular and Purkinje neuron precursor cells, possibly also through an effect on microtubules dynamic during cell division (Carotenuto et al., 2006; Zollo et al., 2017).

Interestingly, the CCDC88A gene recently associated to PEHO-like syndrome with polymicrogyria (MIM 260565) encodes girdin, a protein involved in postnatal neural development and cancer progression that has also been suggested to play an important role in cytoskeleton organization, via either a possible direct association to microtubules (Simpson et al., 2005) or a localization (and interaction) with a number of cytoskeleton- and microtubule-binding proteins (Ota et al., 2013). Furthermore, another PEHO-like phenotype variably characterized by hypotonia, severe psychomotor delay, infantile epileptic encephalopathy with optic atrophy, abnormal visual and brainstem evoked potentials, brisk tendon reflexes, microcephaly, CNS progressive atrophy (mainly affecting cerebellum and brainstem) with white matter involvement (Fig. 1L–O), has been recently described in a number of families in association with biallelic mutations of TBCD, a gene encoding one of the five co-chaperones required for assembly of the α/β-tubulin heterodimer that is crucial in cytoskeleton organization (Flex et al., 2016; Miyake et al., 2016).
Figure 1  Family tree, Sanger sequencing, multiple-sequence alignment of PRUNE p.D106N family, and clinico-radiological natural history of PRUNE1- and TBCD-associated tubulinopathies with PEHO and PEHO-like features. (A) Pedigree from the Family carrying the p.D106N change in PRUNE1 (corresponding to Family C from Zollo et al., 2017). (B) Electropherograms of carrier parent and affected sibs from the family. (C) Multiple-sequence alignment showing complete conservation of DHH motif (in red) of PRUNE1 orthologues across species. The aspartic acid residue replaced by the p.D106N mutation is indicated with an arrow; non-conserved residues are underlined in yellow. (D) Patient II-2 at 4 months of age, note the early loss of visual fixation. (E) Patient II-3 at 2 months of age, note the early loss of visual fixation. (F and G) Patient II-3 at the age of 16 months; note the hypotonia and distinctive facial features, including narrow forehead and open mouth appearance. Brain MRIs showing sagittal views of Patient II-3 at 6 months (H) and 16 months of age (I) show progressive global brain atrophy but more specifically there is evidence of cerebellar and brain stem atrophy. Axial views images in the same patient (II-3) at 6 months (J) and 16 months of age (K) shows progressive diffuse white matter abnormalities along with progressive brain atrophy. Brain MRIs performed in two affected siblings carrying the homozygous p.P1122L change in TBCD showing sagittal views from the first patient (Patient F1i18_347; from Flex et al., 2016) at the age of 8 months (L) and his affected brother (Patient F1i18_347) at the age of 2 years (M). There is progression of CNS atrophy from early mild cortical atrophy and thin corpus callosum to (L) to cortical and subcortical brain atrophy mainly involving cerebellum (vermis and folia) and the brainstem (M). Axial views of the two siblings also show progression of white matter involvement (N and O).
It is uncertain if mutations in the ZNHIT3 share the disease mechanisms associated to tubule dysfunction; using knockdown and genome editing experiments in zebrafish embryos and knockdown experiments in mouse cerebellar neurons, Anttonen et al. showed that ZNHIT3 is essential in cerebellar granule neuron survival and migration. Of interest, results from a large interactome network analysis recently identified a direct interaction between ZNHIT3 and the cytoskeleton associated protein 5, encoded by CKAP5 (Hein et al., 2015); however, further studies will be needed to assess a possible role of ZNHIT3 in cytoskeleton assembly and organization.

In conclusion, the recent characterization of new autosomal recessive disorders associated with defects in proteins that regulate cytoskeleton microtubule dynamics and influence neuronal migration imply heterogeneity in the PEHO spectrum of disorders and highlight a Finnish founder effect in the ZNHIT3 gene. Thus, we suggest that in addition to ZNHIT3 the screening of additional disease-causing genes (including PRUNE1, TBCD and CCDC88A) should be considered in patients presenting with PEHO or PEHO-like features.
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