



## Enhancing care for people living with HIV: current and future monitoring approaches

Franco Maggiolo , Alessandra Bandera , Stefano Bonora , Marco Borderi , Andrea Calcagno , Annamaria Cattelan , Antonella Cingolani , Nicola Gianotti , Miriam Lichtner , Sergio Lo Caputo , Giordano Madeddu , Paolo Maggi , Giulia Carla Marchetti , Renato Maserati , Silvia Nozza , Stefano Rusconi , Maurizio Zazzi & Antonio Di Biagio

To cite this article: Franco Maggiolo , Alessandra Bandera , Stefano Bonora , Marco Borderi , Andrea Calcagno , Annamaria Cattelan , Antonella Cingolani , Nicola Gianotti , Miriam Lichtner , Sergio Lo Caputo , Giordano Madeddu , Paolo Maggi , Giulia Carla Marchetti , Renato Maserati , Silvia Nozza , Stefano Rusconi , Maurizio Zazzi & Antonio Di Biagio (2020): Enhancing care for people living with HIV: current and future monitoring approaches, Expert Review of Anti-infective Therapy, DOI: [10.1080/14787210.2021.1823217](https://doi.org/10.1080/14787210.2021.1823217)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/14787210.2021.1823217>



© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.



Published online: 15 Oct 2020.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)

## Enhancing care for people living with HIV: current and future monitoring approaches

Franco Maggiolo<sup>a</sup>, Alessandra Bandera<sup>b</sup>, Stefano Bonora<sup>c</sup>, Marco Borderi<sup>d</sup>, Andrea Calcagno<sup>c</sup>, Annamaria Cattelan<sup>e</sup>, Antonella Cingolani<sup>f</sup>, Nicola Gianotti<sup>g</sup>, Miriam Lichtner<sup>h</sup>, Sergio Lo Caputo<sup>i</sup>, Giordano Madeddu<sup>j</sup>, Paolo Maggi<sup>k</sup>, Giulia Carla Marchetti<sup>l</sup>, Renato Maserati<sup>m</sup>, Silvia Nozza<sup>g</sup>, Stefano Rusconi<sup>n</sup>, Maurizio Zazzi<sup>o</sup> and Antonio Di Biagio<sup>p</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Unit of Infectious Diseases, ASST Papa Giovanni XXIII, Bergamo, Italy; <sup>b</sup>A Infectious Disease Unit, Department of Internal Medicine, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda, Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico - B Department of Pathophysiology and Transplantation, University of Milan, Milano, Italy; <sup>c</sup>Unit of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medical Sciences, University of Torino, Torino, Italy; <sup>d</sup>Unit of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, S. Orsola Hospital, "Alma Mater Studiorum" University of Bologna, Italy; <sup>e</sup>Unit of Infectious Diseases, Department of Internal Medicine, Azienda Ospedaliera and University of Padua, Italy; <sup>f</sup>Department of Infectious Diseases, Università Cattolica, Fondazione Policlinico A. Gemelli, Roma, Italy; <sup>g</sup>Department of Infectious Diseases, Ospedale San Raffaele, Milano, Italy; <sup>h</sup>Dept of Public Health and Infectious Diseases - Sapienza, University of Rome, SM Goretti Hospital, Latina, Italy; <sup>i</sup>Infection Disease Unit, Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Foggia, Foggia Italy; <sup>j</sup>Unit of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medical, Surgical and Experimental Sciences, University of Sassari, Sassari, Italy; <sup>k</sup>Department of Infectious Diseases, University of Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, Caserta, Italy; <sup>l</sup>Dept of Health Sciences, Clinic of Infectious Diseases, University of Milan, ASST Santi Paolo E Carlo, Milano, Italy; <sup>m</sup>Department of Infectious Diseases, Fondazione IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo, Pavia, Italy; <sup>n</sup>Infectious Diseases Unit, DIBIC Luigi Sacco, University of Milan, Milano, Italy; <sup>o</sup>Dept of Medical Biotechnologies, University of Siena, A. O.U. Senese - Ospedale Santa Maria Alle Scotte, Siena, Italy; <sup>p</sup>Infectious Diseases Clinic, San Martino Hospital - IRCCS, Genoa, Italy - Department of Health Sciences, University of Genoa, Genova, Italy

### ABSTRACT

**Introduction :** Antiretroviral therapy (ART) is the most significant advance in the medical management of HIV-1 infection. Given the fact that HIV cannot be eradicated from the body, ART has to be indefinitely maintained. New approaches need to be defined for monitoring HIV-infected individuals (PLWHIV), including clinical, virologic, immunological parameters and also ways to collect individual points of view and quality of life.

**Areas covered :** We discuss which tests may be used to improve the management of PLWHIV and respond to a comprehensive health demand.

**Expert opinion :** Viral load and CD4 counts are well-validated outcome measures and we still need them, but they do not completely depict the health status of PLWHIV. We need to better understand and to apply to clinical practice what happens in sanctuaries, what is the role of HIV DNA, what is the meaning of low-level viremia. Most of these questions do not yet have a definitive response. Further, we need to understand how to modify these variables in order to improve outcomes.

Similar points may be raised for immunological measures and for tests exploring the tolerability of drugs. The goal must be the evolution from a viro/immunologic-based to a comprehensive quality-of-health-based evaluation of PLWHIV.

### ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 7 July 2020  
Accepted 10 September 2020

### KEYWORDS

HIV; antiretroviral therapy; surrogate markers; surrogate endpoints; patient Related Outcomes (PROs)

## 1. Introduction

Antiretroviral therapy (ART) has dramatically changed the life expectancy of people living with human immunodeficiency virus (PLWHIV) [1].

Modern ART, both in naïve and in pre-treated subjects, leads to very high virologic success rates, with minimal differences in efficacy rates shown in clinical trials among different available drug combinations if measured according to current standards in terms of viral decay and CD4+ increase [2].

Since the introduction of the most recent INSTI-based (integrase inhibitors) regimens, more rapid viral suppression, high virologic success, and low to no selection for resistance have been achieved further limiting the possibility to pinpoint differences [3].

However, even within this scenario with long-term, effective ART, PLWHIV experience negative events that can include persistent or sporadic low-level viremia, persistent low-grade inflammation, and immune activation. These events are strongly associated with a heightened risk for cardiovascular disease, osteoporosis, frailty, among other non-AIDS-defining events, and may explain why life-expectancy of PLWHIV remains lower than that of general population [4].

Until now, the effectiveness of ART has been measured by clinical endpoints, or standardized surrogate markers that allow, for example, the definition of non-inferiority in clinical trials. These markers fail to address the lingering effects of HIV. New ancillary markers should be developed and implemented, to support clinicians in the framing of each individual patient and to forecast outcomes in terms

**Article highlights**

- Plasma HIV-RNA is a consolidated measure to monitor the efficacy of antiretroviral therapy, but we are currently unable to effectively measure HIV reservoirs. Although other biomarkers are available, they lack either clinical validation or feasibility in routine clinical practice. HIV-DNA, next-generation sequencing, and phenotypic drug resistance have a high scientific rationale. However, their feasibility is still at medium-low level, which is a challenge for future monitoring in PLWHIV.
- Increased immune activation and inflammation are hallmarks of HIV infection and are only partially restored by virally effective ART. Residual immune activation while on effective ART seems to be a multifactorial event, possibly resulting from the combination of co-infections, residual viremia, and microbial translocation. Despite the fact that several cohort data have shown an association between markers of immune activation/inflammation and the development of clinical events, a definitive clinical validation of pro-inflammatory markers is still lacking.
- Dramatic improvements in terms of morbidity and mortality have followed the introduction of ART turning HIV infection into a chronic disease. However, the aging of PLWHIV, the growing proportion of new HIV diagnosis among older people and the long-term use of ART have been associated with the development of non-infectious, non-HIV related comorbidities. Furthermore, cardiovascular complications, weight gain, bone disease and neurocognitive disorders are frequently observed and show distinctive patterns in PLWHIV. This situation both requires the validation of markers already used in the general population and the development of new and more specific ones.
- A '*patient-centered medicine*' must be based on patients' reported outcomes (PRO) measures as key elements for identifying the unmet needs of patients and to manage current gaps with the general population. PRO measures and, in particular, Quality of Life could represent new direct clinical outcomes for identifying significant differences among drugs. How to implement PRO measures in clinical practice should represent a key aspect of the strategic management of PLWHIV.
- The goal is not to abandon the evidence-based monitoring tools already in place, such as CD4+ T cell count and viral load determinations. The aim is to develop technologies and tools that will make new markers and goals available so to come to a comprehensive, individualized, and more precise management of PLWHIV that could be affordable, accessible, and acceptable.

of long-term efficacy, safety, and quality of life. Beside these medical concerns, PLWHIV often continues to face many of the same challenges that made them vulnerable to acquiring HIV (limited health literacy, lack of access to care, stigma, and dependency from recreational drugs). Although primarily designed for research purposes, Patient-Related Outcomes (PROs) should be considered an essential part of monitoring [5] and should become a standard part of any medical history and mandatory outcome measures in both clinical trials and every-day practice. The fourth '90' – measuring quality of life – goes beyond the established UNAIDS 90–90–90 targets and it is essential to face HIV-related health challenges and factors such as overall physical and mental well-being and HIV-related discrimination experiences.

For these reasons, it is necessary to go further and identify new and more precise criteria to define the success of ART therapy. These criteria should be as personalized and innovative as possible, making the most out of advances of science.

Although several innovative markers and tools have been proposed to better define the overall success of ART in the last few years, there is yet no consensus about which ones are most useful or relevant in clinical practice.

The time has come, to define the most appropriate diagnostic tools to define what successful ART looks like, as CD4 + counts and HIV-RNA loads are no longer enough [6] to correctly frame future challenges.

The objective of this review is to provide a comprehensive overview of what are the current and future indicators that will help physicians to personalize ART. It also describes their strengths and weaknesses, eventual current limits to their widespread use in clinical practice, but also the research opportunities they offer and the future developments for every-day care.

## 2. Virology

### 2.1. Current status

Virologic monitoring has been the fundamental measure of ART success, both in clinical trials and in clinical practice for more than 20 years [7]. Current assays can quantify HIV RNA down to as few as 20 copies per milliliter of plasma and indicate whether there is any detectable virus below that level. However, due to extensive use of previous generation assays with a 50-copy threshold, achieving <50 HIV RNA copies/ml is the reference definition of virologically successful ART, and patients experiencing this status are often referred to as virally suppressed. The second component of routine virologic assessment of ART has been drug resistance testing. Initially proposed as a research tool, resistance testing through HIV genotype analysis was soon introduced into clinical routine and became an integral part of ART management [8]. Despite the recent decreasing impact of HIV drug resistance in resource-rich countries [9], detection of transmitted and emergent resistance has been and still is a key information for guiding ART choices at both the individual and population levels. Drug resistance testing has been extended to latent HIV DNA, rather than just replicating RNA, allowing resistance information to be obtained from PLWHIV who have virologic suppression in specific contexts such as before treatment switch [10].

While ART success has allowed most patients to have undetectable HIV RNA and no drug resistance, novel techniques allow exploration of new potential markers and standards for successful, lifelong ART, with the possibility to reduce drug pressure while maintaining effectiveness and, perhaps prepare patients for HIV eradication studies (Table 1).

### 2.2. Gaps and unmet needs

The key question, in current management of HIV infection, is what kind and extent of viral suppression is required for long-term patient safety and to allow possibly future treatment approaches such as deintensification and curative strategies. The question does not only involve a deeper analysis of the easy to reach blood compartment, but also an investigation of

Table 1. Virology.

ITEM	Validated in non-HIV areas (Y/N/NA)	Validation status HIV (H/M/L)	Outcomes	Setting (research/clinical extensive/clinical target population)	Feasibility (H/M/L)	Barriers					Ref. (nr.)	
						Complexity	Cost	Large volume of blood required	Clinical correlates poorly/incompletely defined	Instrument availability		Biosafety
HIV RNA target detected (TD) vs. not detected (TND)	NA	M	Higher risk of virologic failure for patients with TD as compared with repetitive TND	clinical extensive	H							12, 14
HIV RNA Single-copy assays (SCA)	NA	L	Undefined for routine clinical ART monitoring; may have relevance for eradication studies	Research	L							11, 16
Quantification of total HIV DNA	NA	M	Higher risk of failure of the first-line regimen; still undefined for routine clinical ART monitoring; still unclear if it mirrors the dimension of HIV reservoir	clinical target population	H							17-20
Cell-associated HIV RNA (CAR)	NA	L	Should measure residual HIV activity (replication-competent reservoir)	clinical target population	M							23
Next generation sequencing (NGS)	Y (human genetics, microbiome studies)	H	identification of minority variants	clinical target population	H							24-27, 29
Phenotypic drug resistance testing	Y (bacteriology)	H	May help defining drug resistance in very complex situations or for new drugs	clinical target population	L or M according to country							8, 30

Y = yes; N = no; NA = not applicable; H = high; M = medium; L = low; ■ applies to the item

the status and dynamics of the HIV reservoir within the lymphatic tissues and possibly other sites.

Additionally, in the marginal, but challenging, set of patients with a high burden of drug resistance and uncontrolled virus replication, there is an urgent need to define procedures for patient profiling and treatment strategies, best administered by a multidisciplinary team covering the different aspects of this population.

### 2.3. Perspectives

An immediate opportunity to virus suppression beyond the reference 50-copy threshold is the discrimination between target detected (TD) or not detected (TND), a result returned by currently used HIV-RNA assays when the analyte is below the threshold of quantification (actually ranging from 20 to 40 copies/ml in the most common platforms). While assay reproducibility is poor at the TD/TND limit [11], a number of population studies have suggested that TD and TND have different rates of virological failure over time [12], particularly when the TND status is confirmed across multiple time points [13]. So far, guidelines do not clarify how to use TD/TND data, but this parameter has been recently used in clinical trials to evaluate efficacy of 2-drug combinations and triple therapies [14,15]. On the other hand, despite recent improvements, the use of investigational single-copy assays to quantify residual HIV RNA well below the threshold of the routine assays is likely to remain in the research setting, mainly due to cost, current lack of standardization, and the large volume of blood required [16].

In the context of HIV-RNA suppression, quantification of total HIV DNA in blood cells is being increasingly considered as a surrogate marker of the size of HIV reservoir [17]. Although, HIV DNA was originally described as a prognostic marker of disease progression in the absence of treatment, its role can be currently envisioned in both guiding and monitoring the effects of treatment de-intensification or to select patients for pilot eradication studies. Although total HIV DNA includes different HIV-DNA species likely to have different meanings, a correlation between total and inducible reservoir measurement has been reported through the viral outgrowth assay [18]. Commercial kits for quantification of total HIV DNA have been released by small biotech companies and

are expected to be developed by large diagnostics companies. However, guidelines for the use of total HIV DNA remain to be established and further discrimination between different species (integrated vs. unintegrated [19]) and status (replication competent vs. defective [20], inducible vs. non-inducible [21]) appears to be feasible but far from clinical application at this time. Likewise, differentiating the extent of clonally expanded HIV DNA as a result of homeostatic proliferation from single integrants representing *de novo* infection remains an appealing option currently limited, however, to the research setting [22]. Nevertheless, detailed characterization of HIV DNA extracted from blood lymphocytes or lymphocyte subsets hold promise for profiling the HIV reservoir at an individual level and for supporting patient-tailored treatment strategies. Strengths of this approach include ease of sampling and DNA stability. However, technological advancements are eagerly awaited to expand knowledge, increase the feasibility and define clinical application guidelines.

Similar to HIV DNA, cell-associated HIV RNA (CAR) in blood has been recently proposed as a marker of residual HIV activity in virally suppressed patients [23]. Assays have been developed in the academic setting and are not commercially available; however, adaptation of systems certified for plasma HIV-RNA quantification can be attempted. Theoretically, more effective ART should be associated with lower CAR levels and CAR could be analyzed together with other indicators of the HIV reservoir (e.g. HIV DNA) and residual HIV replication (e.g. TD/TND) to build a full molecular picture of the patient's status. Again, similar to HIV DNA, CAR is actually a mixture of many different species, such as differently spliced mRNAs, but differentiating among all of them appears to be very challenging. However, a rough distinction between un-spliced (a surrogate of full replication) and spliced mRNAs (representing a variety of regulatory functions) is possible but not yet clearly interpretable [21]. If it is true that one of the old maxims of medicine is 'don't order a test if you don't know what you'll do with the result,' researchers have still a lot of work to carry out with most of the assays discussed here before they could become available and useful clinically.

Despite the extensive and successful use of genotypic drug resistance testing based on Sanger bulk sequencing,

development of next-generation sequencing (NGS) at an impressive pace has recently fueled the debate whether higher resolution NGS should replace bulk sequencing in the clinical setting [24]. Initial arguments favoring NGS have mainly focused on the ability to detect minority drug-resistant species before ART start. Indeed, minority species detected by NGS but not by bulk sequencing can impair response to treatment, particularly to NNRTIs [25]. However, the almost complete transition to first-line ART based on high genetic barrier anchor drugs makes this potential value hardly appreciable and is even questioning the role of standard bulk sequencing in this setting. By contrast, management of patients with a complex history of drug resistance can benefit from high-resolution NGS providing a more comprehensive picture of the drug resistance species and guiding treatment choices, both in the viremic and virally suppressed patients [26,27]. Along with the development of cost-effective NGS systems certified for diagnostic use, it is anticipated that high-resolution resistance testing will become an integral part of the clinical profiling of such patients. This will allow to draw a more precise picture for drug resistance surveillance [28]. The added value of NGS has been demonstrated, in limited resource settings, in the context of a treatment-as-prevention trial, where the majority of subjects received a 3-drug EFV-based (efavirenz) regimen [29].

In addition, viremic patients harboring multidrug resistant virus with complex mutational patterns, particularly in the context of low CD4 counts and increased risk for clinical progression could benefit from phenotypic drug resistance testing, e.g. to detect residual drug activity for one or more drugs and help to build a new effective regimen when a novel drug class becomes available [30]. Phenotypic testing is routinely available in some countries, but in other regions, due to limited availability, technical challenges and requirement for biosafety containment, phenotypic testing remains confined to the research setting and limited routine practices.

A general limitation of all of the currently available and future candidate markers is the almost exclusive application to the blood compartment. While the analysis of blood markers has clearly allowed establishment of highly effective treatment strategies, the vast majority of HIV species reside in lymphatic tissue in multiple anatomical sites that are hardly accessible [31]. Although blood will necessarily remain the material of choice in routine patient management, studies addressing the added value of sampling these sites are required to gain a full profile of the HIV reservoir and its dynamics under different treatment strategies. Ideally, blood markers matching clinically relevant features in the comprehensive HIV reservoir should be discovered and validated to provide novel guidelines for patient-tailored interventions aiming at defining the most convenient compromise between treatment tolerability and effectiveness in the long-run as well as selecting candidates for future HIV eradication strategies.

### 3. Immunology and Inflammation

#### 3.1. Current status

Inflammation and immune activation have been long considered hallmarks of HIV infection, that are only partially reduced

after ART induced virologic suppression, therefore persisting at levels significantly higher than in HIV-uninfected individuals [32].

Successfully treated PLWHIV still present an increased risk of non-AIDS-related diseases, such as cardiovascular disease (CVDs), cancers, diabetes, and neurocognitive disorders [33]. Given the degenerative/inflammatory nature of such clinical conditions, the hypothesis of a cause-effect relationship between the excess of non-AIDS morbidity/mortality and the ensuing hyperactivated immune status during suppressive ART has been long postulated.

#### 3.2. Gaps and unmet needs

Despite numerous data from cohort studies, a clear and incontrovertible link between inflammation/immune activation and the increased risk of non-AIDS-related diseases is still lacking, and several questions need to be answered. Immunometabolic signatures that combine markers of immune activation/inflammation and metabolic profiles have been proposed as predictors of non-AIDS comorbidities in PLWHIV under ART. Indeed, the observation that several biomarkers are associated with specific morbidities and, on a broader scale, to mortality have focused the research on those clinically significant biomarkers that could be modified with effective interventions once abnormal levels are observed. Despite great enthusiasm toward the possible clinical role of immune activation/inflammation biomarkers, to possibly estimate the risk of disease progression in the setting of successfully treated infection, we are still far from their concrete exploitation in the clinic, due to several intrinsic limitations (Table 2). Amongst these are the wide biological variability of biomarkers and the paucity of interventional studies performed to validate their potential clinical application.

#### 3.3. Perspectives

Among pro-inflammatory markers, IL-6 and D-Dimer have shown to be independently associated with non-AIDS co-morbidities and mortality in PLWHIV, suggesting that treatment aiming to decrease these biomarkers may help to reduce morbidity and mortality. It has also been shown that intercellular adhesion molecule (ICAM)-1 and vascular cell adhesion molecule (VCAM)-1, known as markers of CVDs, are elevated in PLWHIV and are associated with atherosclerosis and vascular inflammation. More recently, the introduction of omics-based technology provided new targets potentially useful to identify pathophysiological mechanisms underlying increased morbidity in PLWHIV. Specifically, by using an untargeted metabolomic-approach, machine-learning prediction of metabolites changes indicated higher risk of inflammatory and neurological diseases in PLWHIV [52]. Metabolic abnormalities were observed in amino-acid levels, energetics, and phospholipids and complex lipids, resembling immune-aging, and metabolic syndrome.

Cerebrospinal fluid levels of neopterin and neurofilament light protein (NFL) and, potentially, serum levels of NFL once clinically validated, provide additional information in the



setting of central nervous system inflammation and neuronal damage.

Several markers of monocyte/macrophage and dendritic cell (DC)-driven inflammation have been investigated. Amongst these, sCD163, one of the more interesting, has been associated with all-cause mortality and non-AIDS morbidity in large cohorts of ART-treated patients [53] as well as cerebral, lung, and cardiovascular events [54]. The quantitation of interferon (IFN) type 1 molecules, by means of new technologies, could result relevant in the context of primary infection [55].

Speaking of lymphocyte activation, a consolidated bulk of evidence have demonstrated enhanced T-lymphocyte activation in untreated HIV infection, with raised levels of CD38+ HLA-DR +CD8 + T-cells. The same alteration has also been associated with disease progression and this T-cell hyperactivation is only partially reduced by long-term ART and never lowers to values detected in HIV-uninfected individuals [32]. Interestingly, while studies in resource-rich settings show that persistent T-cell activation has a poorer clinical prognostic power than monocyte/macrophage-related inflammation, in PLWHIV on virally effective ART [56], the contrary has been proven in resource-limited settings, where infectious complications still remain a prevalent cause of death [57].

Beyond T cell, other cell types have been studied as alternative biomarkers of progression: Natural Killers (NK), monocytes (Mo), MAIT and dendritic cells (DC). Among Mo, non-classical and intermediate Mo seem to be increased in PLWHIV with cardiovascular events and neurodegenerative disorders [58], whereas a reduction of plasmacytoid DC has been associated with virologic failure and disease progression [59]. Despite several data have shown alteration in the frequency and function of NK, NKT MAIT, and innate lymphoid cells in PLWHIV, the possible role of such cell subpopulations in predicting HIV-associated morbidity and mortality have not been investigated [60–62].

Several pathogenetic mechanisms have been proposed to explain the persistent immune activation/inflammation in patients on virologically suppressive ART, but a full understanding of the underlying causes is still lacking and deserves to be extensively addressed. Several studies in recent years have focused on the role of viral co-infections, residual viremia, and microbial translocation. Research data show that the systemic translocation of bacterial by-products through a damaged gut mucosal barrier, mainly lipopolysaccharide (LPS), are major drivers of continuous immune stimulation. Consequently, several markers indicative of gastrointestinal damage (e.g. LPS, bacterial rDNA, IFABP, zonulin, kynurenin/tryptofan ratio) and of microbial translocation (MT)-driven inflammation (e.g. sCD14) have been associated to different clinical comorbidities, during ART, in cohort studies. None of them have, however, been validated [63,64]. Specifically, whether or not markers of MT independently predict disease progression after ART initiation is still under debate.

Viral co-infections have been proven to substantially contribute to persistent immune activation. Under this perspective, the presence of cytomegalovirus (CMV)-antibodies have been indicated as a proxy of immune activation and of CMV continuous stimulation. Since the beginning of the HIV epidemic, several studies have demonstrated that a positive CMV

serology is a negative prognostic marker in both untreated and treated PLWHIV [65]. The quantification of CMV antibodies, and in some cases CMV-DNA, seems to be related to cardiovascular events even though a threshold has not been defined also because of the different quantitation methodologies used [66].

Despite great enthusiasm toward the possible clinical role of immune activation/inflammation biomarkers, to possibly estimate the risk of disease progression in the setting of successfully treated infection, we are still far from their concrete exploitation in the clinic, due to several intrinsic limitations. Amongst these, the wide biological variability of biomarkers and the paucity of interventional studies performed to validate their potential clinical application.

The lack of consolidated data on the role of immune activation/inflammation biomarkers together with the technical complexity of their measurement and the absence of clinical validation of such biomarkers have, somehow, diverted the scientific attention toward an old and yet very reliable immune marker: the CD4/CD8 ratio. Indeed, CD4/CD8 T-cell ratio has been inversely associated with markers of immune activation, suggesting that it might capture the HIV-driven immune dysregulation [67]. Nevertheless, while several cohort studies have proven its association with disease outcome [68], most recent data, on very large patients' cohorts, have failed to find any association between CD4/CD8 ratio and all-cause mortality [69], questioning its effective exploitation in the clinical setting.

## 4. Comorbidities

### 4.1. Current status

The prevalence of chronic non-communicable diseases, or co-morbidities, among PLWHIV is increasing in recent years as a consequence of aging, chronic inflammation, systemic immune activation, and long-term exposure to ART (Table 3).

### 4.2. Cardiovascular aspects

Current guidelines [71] suggest utilizing risk-assessment algorithms (Framingham, ASCVD, D:A:D, etc.) to estimate cardiovascular risk. Although these equations are useful, they may underestimate the real risk for individual PLWHIV [72,73].

### 4.3. Weight gain

The lipodystrophy syndrome has represented one of the most impacting long-term adverse events of first-generation ART including protease inhibitors (PIs) and nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs). Recent studies have highlighted the risk of weight gain (WG) among PLWHIV starting ART [74]. Among available anchor drugs, the integrase inhibitors (INIs) have been associated with a higher risk of WG with respect to PIs and non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTI)

Table 2. Immunology and Inflammation.

Item	Use in other fields	Validation in PLWH	Outcomes								Setting (research/clinical extensive/clinical target population)	Feasibility	Barriers								Ref
			Survival	AIDS events	Non-AIDS events	CVD	Metabolic	Neurological	Cancers	CD4 recovery			HIV control	Multiple confounders	Non standard methods	Expertise needed	Small sample	Poor specificity	Lack of threshold	Uncertain causality	
CD4/CD8	Y	H									Clin Ext	H									50-51
T cell activation	Y	M/H									Res/Clin Ext	M/H									32
NK	Res	M									Res	M									44
MAIT/NKT INNATE LYPHOID CELLS	Res	L									Res	M/L									42-43
Monocyte activation	Y	M									Res	M									40-41
Blood dendritic cells	Y	M									Res	M									41, 52
sCD14	Y	L									Res	M/L									46
sCD163	Y	M									Res/ Limited Long	L									35, 53
Gut barrier dysfunction (LPS rDNA, IFABP, zonulin Kynurenicin/triptofano- KT)	Y	L									Res	M/L									45
TMAO	Y	M									Target	M									54
C-reactive protein	Y	H									Clin Ext	H									55
IL-6	Y	H									Clin Ext	M									55-57
D-DIMER	Y	H									Clin Ext	H									58
ICAM-VCAM	Y	M									Target	M									59
sCD27	Y	L									Target	M									60
sCD40L	Y	L									Target	M									61
PD-1/antiPD1	Y										Target	L									62-63
TNF system	Y	M									Res	L									38
IP-10 (CXCL-10)	Y	L									Res	H									64
MMPs (1, 2, 9)	Y	L									Res	L									65
IgG CMV	Y	M									Clin Ext/Res	H									47,48
IFN type 1	Y	L									Res	M									37,66
Neopterin	Y	H									Target	H									67
Beta-2-Microglobulin	Y	H									Target/Res	H									68
Chemokines (MCP-1/CCL2, MIP-1a/CCL3, MIP-1b/CCL4, RANTES/CCL5)	Y	M/L									Res	M									69

Y = yes; H = high; M = medium; L = low; ■ applies to the item

[75]. However, the association between INI and WG is still controversial.

#### 4.4. Bone and vitamin D

PLWHIV have a higher risk for decreased bone mineral density (BMD), low vitamin D levels and fragility fracture than the general population. It is unclear whether HIV infection itself contributes to low BMD; in addition, initiation of ART is associated with a reduction in BMD and vitamin D, which varies with the specific ARV medications used. Osteoporosis and hypovitaminosis D in these patients may be associated with significant long-term morbidity, which is likely to increase as the HIV-infected population ages.

It is appropriate to assess the risk of fragility fracture and low BMD; calculate daily intake of dietary calcium; measure height; assess the 10-year risk of fracture using the Fracture Risk Assessment Tool FRAX score; investigate for specific and reversible secondary causes of osteoporosis or low BMD and assess BMD by DXA scans.

#### 4.5. Central nervous system

One of the major current concerns regarding the central nervous system of PLWHIV is the development of HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders (HAND), ultimately affecting patients' overall mortality. Due to its multifactorial pathogenesis, HAND is not completely preventable and even more hardly treatable. Up to 50% of PLWHIV may suffer from this complication, but this estimate is likely inflated by the high false-positive rate of the currently

adopted diagnostic Frascati's criteria and alternative criteria are under evaluation.

#### 4.6. Gaps and unmet needs

##### 4.6.1. Cardiovascular aspects

The major data gap remains for patients at intermediate risk, that need to be reclassified using adjunctive tools.

##### 4.6.2. Weight gain

Currently, a progressive WG, leading to obesity in some cases, has been observed in PLWHIV receiving ART [75]. For yet unknown reasons, the WG was more pronounced in specific sub-groups of patients, including women and those of African descent. The monitoring of body mass index (BMI), waist and hip circumference appears to be inexpensive and clinically validated tools in order to better evidence the longitudinal changes in body shape [75,76]. Furthermore, body composition can be assessed in PLWHIV using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) capable of measuring whole body and regional lean and fat mass [77], but this methodology may not be readily available in most clinical setting.

##### 4.6.3. Bone and vitamin D

The association between  $1,25(\text{OH})_2\text{vitaminD}$  and inflammation found among HIV-infected men suggests a possible mechanism through which inflammation leads to the increased comorbidity risk noted among HIV-infected individuals [78], but this link has to be further investigated.

##### 4.6.4. Central nervous system

Considering that the neurocognitive assessment is time and resource consuming, screening for HAND becomes essential to

limit workload. However, the lack of a diagnostic consensus and the HAND protean clinical phenotype significantly limit our screening possibilities. To date, the only validated screening tools in PLWHIV are the International HIV Dementia scale (IHDS) and the NEU screen, but recent studies demonstrated a poor performance at identifying those HAND subtypes that are now prevailing [79,80].

#### 4.7. Perspectives

##### 4.7.1. Cardiovascular aspects

In cases that need to be reclassified about their risk level, the measurement of coronary calcium scores (CAC) has been proposed; however, uncertainty remains about the predictive value of intermediate CAC scores [81].

Echo-color Doppler of carotid vessels is considered a valid prognostic tool. Evidence have shown higher carotid intima-media thickness (cIMT) in subjects with higher risk or established atherosclerotic disease. Carotid plaque confers a superior diagnostic accuracy for the risk of future myocardial infarction compared to cIMT. cIMT carotid plaques have a significant potential for reclassification in intermediate risk individuals [82].

A vast array of biomarkers has been proposed as candidates for the refinement of risk prediction. C-reactive protein (CRP) is, at present, the only circulating biomarker related to vascular wall biology with a large body of published studies supporting its clinical use for risk stratification [82].

In healthy individuals, systolic BP levels are physiologically higher in the lower extremities as compared to the arms, this relationship can be quantified by the ratio of ankle-to brachial systolic pressure (ABI). A reduction of this ratio heralds a late stage of atherosclerosis [82].

Arterial stiffness results primarily from arteriosclerosis (a disease of the media, related to normal or accelerated aging) rather than from atherosclerosis (a disease of the intima, affecting the vessel in a patchy and not uniform manner). This results in increased velocity of pulse waves. Various invasive and noninvasive methods of measuring arterial stiffness have been described. Carotid-femoral pulse wave velocity (cfPWV) and brachial-ankle PWV (baPWV) are close to being considered a clinical surrogate endpoint or at least fulfill some, but not all of the criteria to be considered as a surrogate endpoint of cardiovascular events. Cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI) has been recently introduced. CAVI had been correlated with several arteriosclerotic and atherosclerotic diseases [82].

Novel circulating cardiovascular biomarkers have a future potential for prevention, the most promising ones are oxidized low-density lipoprotein and dysfunctional high-density lipoprotein [82].

##### 4.7.2. Weight gain

Leptin has been found to have a profound role in the regulation of whole-body metabolism by stimulating energy expenditure, inhibiting food intake and restoring euglycemia. Adiponectin acts to increase insulin sensitivity, fatty acid oxidation, as well as energy expenditure and reduces the production of glucose by the liver. However, in most cases of obesity

leptin resistance limits its biological efficacy and adiponectin secretion can be diminished in obesity [83]. Further studies are needed to evaluate the role of leptin and adiponectin in WG in PLWHIV receiving ART.

#### 4.8. Bone and vitamin D

Few data exist on the relevance of bone turnover markers (BTM) in HIV-infected patient management. Following the considerations drawn for the general population it may be suggested that, at least in osteoporotic HIV-patients, BTM can be used both to increase the ability of fracture prediction and to monitor the response to anti-resorptive therapy [84].

Portable quantitative ultrasonometry (QUS) is an alternative technique to provide information about bone density, bone strength, and the BTM in PLWHIV. QUS is easy to use, hence could be used as an alternative to screen HIV patients for altered bone status [85]. Assessment for subclinical vertebral fractures can be done by lateral radiographs of the lumbar and thoracic spine or DEXA-based vertebral fracture assessment [86]. The trabecular bone score (TBS) is a novel index of bone microarchitecture which improves fracture prediction independent of BMD [87]. PLWHIV have lower TBS independently from lumbar spine BMD. Microindentation provides additional and necessary information to DEXA about bone health in treated HIV patients, and because of its convenience and feasibility, it could be routinely applied to assess bone health in clinical practice [88].

#### 4.9. Central nervous system

Given the limits of IHDS at identifying currently prevailing HAND subtypes [79,80], several other screening tools are under assessment, such as the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) and the Frontal assessment battery (FAB), both borrowed from other neurological disorders [79, 88]. They are all time- and resources-inexpensive, but still in need to prove a reliable diagnostic accuracy. To date, there is not enough evidence to choose one among the others. Combining a few neurocognitive tests selected from the full battery and assessing some of the most commonly affected domains in HAND (motor functions, executive functioning, and memory) could be an alternative to a single full-comprehensive test. Difficulties in reaching a consensus on the diagnostic criteria and on the best neurocognitive battery to be used mainly depends on several gaps that need to be fulfilled. We need a clear description of HAND clinical phenotypes, the identification of what cognitive areas are predominantly impaired, to which extent they are involved and how their involvement changes according to the disease stage. This is justified by the fact that there has been a shift from the subcortical HIV-associated dementias of the pre-cART era to the nowadays-prevailing milder cortical-subcortical forms of HAND. Having a common and thoughtful diagnostic gold standard would subsequently help at identifying the most suitable screening tools. In this regard, specific techniques of brain magnetic resonance imaging,



Table 3. Comorbidities.

Item	Application in other diseases (Y/N/NA)	Validation status HIV (H/M/L)	Outcomes	Setting (research/clinical extensive/clinical target population)	Feasibility (H/M/L)	Barriers										Ref (nr)	
						Cost	Access to specific machines	Time	Task usability/Availability	Staff/patient/ negative rate	X-slope	Interimmetry about predictive intermediate score	Operator-dependent				
<b>CARDIOVASCULAR ASPECTS</b>																	
CAC	Y	M	To reclassify patients at intermediate risk	Clinical extensive	L											73	
Echo-color Doppler	Y	M		Clinical extensive	H												81
CRP	Y	M		Clinical extensive	H												81
ABI	Y	M		Clinical extensive	M												81
cPWV, baPWV, CAVI	Y	M		Clinical target	M												81
Oxidized low-density lipoprotein, dysfunctional high-density lipoprotein	N	M	To reclassify patients at intermediate risk	Research	L											81	
<b>WEIGHT GAIN</b>																	
Body Mass Index (Kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	Y	H	WG	Clinical extensive	H											73	
Anthropometric measures	Y	H	WG	Clinical extensive	M											74	
DEXA	Y	H	Body composition	Clinical target population	M											76	
Adiponectin	Y	L	Control of body weight	research	H											82	
Leptin	Y	L	Control of body weight	research	H											82	
<b>BONE AND VITAMIN D</b>																	
Assess the risk factors	Y	H	Risk of low BMD	Clinical extensive	H											-	
Daily intake of dietary calcium	Y	H	Osteoporosis risk	Clinical extensive	H											-	
Height	Y	H	Fracture	Clinical extensive	H											-	
FRAX score	Y	M	Fracture risk	Clinical extensive	H											-	
Investigate for secondary causes	Y	H	Osteoporosis/osteomalacia risk	Clinical extensive	H											-	
DXA	Y	H	BMD	Clinical target	M											-	
1,25(OH) <sub>2</sub> D	Y	L	Comorbidity risk	Research	M											77	
BTM	Y	M	Effect of osteoporosis therapy	Clinical target	M											83	
QUS	Y	L	Fracture risk, screening for DXA	Research	L											84	
Lateral X-Ray	Y	L	Fracture	Clinical target	M											85	
TBS	Y	L	Microarchitecture	Research	L											86	
Microindentation	Y	L	Bone quality	Research	L											87	
<b>CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM</b>																	
IHDS	N	H	To detect patients requiring full neurocognitive assessment	Clinical extensive	H											78	
FAB	Y	L		Clinical extensive	H											79	
MoCA	Y	L		Clinical extensive	H											88	

Y = yes; H = high; M = medium; L = low; applies to the item

such as structural MRI and spectroscopy, will potentially help to better detect HAND, giving objective and quantifiable measures of brain involvement [89], since cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers have not proved to be reliable tools and noninvasive procedures should be preferred. Cerebrospinal fluid levels of Neurofilament Light Protein (NFL) and potentially serum levels, if clinically validated, may provide additional information in the setting of central nervous system and neuronal damage and for diagnosing symptomatic HAND [90].

## 5. Patients reported outcomes

### 5.1. Current status

Patient-reported outcomes (PROs), are defined as any report of the status of the patient's health that comes directly from the patient, without interpretation of the patient's response by a clinician or anyone else (e.g. symptoms, functioning, or a more global assessment of the effect of the disease on health and functioning from the patient's perspective).

PRO measures (PROMs) represented the tools to report PROs and are standardized, validated questionnaires that are completed by patients to measure perceptions of health status, level of impairment, disability, and health-related quality of life. They also allow the efficacy of a clinical intervention to be measured from the patients' perspective.

Several questionnaires are widely validated and used, particularly for the measurement of Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQoL). Questionnaire can be generic or disease-specific.

PROs have been used, in different clinical settings, to evaluate the therapeutic benefits of a drug, to support prescriptive indications, to measure the effect of an intervention on quality

of life, adherence, symptoms, functional aspect, severity of the disease, treatment satisfaction (Table 4).

Moreover, they can be used in real-world studies such as market research, cost/effectiveness studies and public health research in order to evaluate needs of patients, the acceptability of drugs, the preference of patients according to different drugs, adherence, and correlated factors.

Finally, but they can also be used in clinical practice in order to identify and monitor symptoms reported by the patients and difficulties in taking the treatments.

Given that virologic efficacy levels higher than 85% in patients who starts ART are commonly obtained, there is the need to shift attention from the evaluation of the classic viro-immunologic efficacy toward the measurement of new standards of effectiveness, of which the PROs represent certainly a relevant and innovative aspect.

As reported in several papers [106–116], PROs have been applied to different aspects of the clinical management of HIV infection such as to detect adverse events, to assess the HIV-associated HRQoL in observational studies, and to assess the efficacy of different antiretroviral regimens in comparative trials.

More recently, two double-blind, randomized, phase III studies comparing co-formulated bicitgravir, emtricitabine, and tenofovir alafenamide (B/F/TAF) and co-formulated abacavir, dolutegravir, and lamivudine (ABC/DTG/3TC) evaluated changes over time of patient-reported symptoms among HIV-1-infected adults who initiated [117] or switched [118] ART. In both studies, bothersome symptoms were reported by fewer participants on B/F/TAF than those on ABC/DTG/3TC [117,118].

Moreover, PROs have been used to demonstrate the willingness of patients in accepting long acting therapies [119–121] or to show differences between therapeutic strategies as in a sub-analysis of START trial [122].

**Table 4.** Characteristics of selected PROs instruments organized by main categories of clinical research.

ITEM	Scale	Validated in non-HIV areas (Y/N/NA)	Validation status HIV (H/M/L)	Major strengths	Setting (research/clinical extensive/clinical target population)	Feasibility (H/M/L)	Barriers/Limitations				Ref. (nr)
							Culture/population specific	Complex/difficult interpretation	Modest reliability	Not HIV specific	
Quality of Life	EQ-5D	Y	H	1 min completion time for 6 items ( 5 point scale)	Research/clinical	H					109
	MOS-HIV Medical Outcomes Study HIV	NA	H	5-10 mins completion time of 36 items ( 2-6 response for item)	Research/clinical extensive	H					110
	MQOL-HIV Multidimensional Quality of Life Questionnaire for HIV/AIDS	NA	H	10-15 mins completion time of 40 items	Research/clinical	M					111
Adherence	(AACTG) Adult AIDS Clinical Trials Group Adherence Baseline Questionnaire II plus modified and adapted versions	NA	H	10 mins completion time for each questionnaire	Research/clinical	H					112
	IRT-10-30 Item Response theory	NA	H	5 min and 10 min to complete	Research	M					113
	SMAQ Simplified medication adherence questionnaire	NA	M	6-item instrument; <5 min to complete	Research/clinical	M					114
Symptoms	HIV-SI	NA	H	20-item scale, using a 5-point, Likert-type scale; <5 minutes completion time	Research/clinical	H					115
	CES-D Center for Epidemiological studies-Depression	Y	H	20-item instrument on frequency and severity of depression. 15 min time completion	Research/clinical	H					116
	PSQJ The Pittsburg Sleep Quality Index	Y	M	19-item instrument 5 minutes completion time.	Research/clinical	H					117
Sexuality	IIEF International Index of Erectile function	Y	M	15-item questionnaire ( 22-item in IIEF-MSM)	Research/clinical	M					118
	FSFI Female Sexual Function Index	Y	M	19-item questionnaire Time to completion: not stated	Research/clinical	M					119
	HAT- QoL HIV/AIDS Targeted Quality	NA	M	42 items based on patient-reported concerns	Research/clinical	M					120

Y = yes; N = no; NA = not applicable; H = high; M = medium; L = low; applies to the item

## 5.2. Gaps & Unmet needs

Even though the introduction of PROs measurement in clinical practice and clinical research in the HIV setting is widely recommended, some methodological concerns limit, at this moment, their routine use in HIV care. The selection of PROs measures represents the most relevant challenge in clinical practice. Several reviews addressed *the pros* and *cons* of questionnaires on specific domains such as HRQoL and symptoms, but very little is known about other dimensions such as HIV medication beliefs, disability, and no information at all for other relevant aspects of patients' lives such as sexual and reproductive life.

To collect PROs data through touch-screen technology can be feasible, with minimal missing data, high completion rates, modest financial investment and high acceptability by patients, but the implementation process of these tools is far from being applied. Providers themselves are sometimes skeptical about the usefulness of these tools as there is a gap between the collection of PROs and the possibility to intervene afterward to positively impact on PROs themselves. Among the possible explanations of this attitude should be considered the heterogeneity of interventions following PROs measurement, their evaluation methodology, and the jeopardized nature of PROs implementation [123].

## 5.3. Perspectives

In view of the widespread use of ART and the changing face of HIV disease into a chronic condition, over the last few years the impact that such therapies have on many aspects of the

life of PLWHIV, often previously undervalued, is becoming increasingly important. Incorporating the patient experience throughout the drug development process is of increasing interest and importance. In order to widely consider the use of PROs in clinical practice, aspects to be addressed include potential barriers and facilitators, either at the level of patients (such as the simplicity of PRO completion), providers (conviction, workload, support and training to use, interpretation), healthcare organizations and interactions between different stakeholders. The implementation of these concepts is pivotal in order to make PROs useful tools for HIV management.

## 6. Conclusions

With the advent of effective ART, steady HIV-RNA suppression has become a usual event and the lifespan of PLWHIV has expanded. The spectrum of diseases experienced by PLWHIV has also changed.

There is a need to consequently assess different ways to measure well-being of our patients.

At present, efforts should be directed, on a case-by-case basis, at preventing virologic failure and favoring optimal recovery of immunity, and also at achieving psycho-physical well-being, which is crucial in the control of a multi-morbidity that needs to be treated for many years.

Each planned intervention has its own specific limitations and often needs dedicated monitoring tools that nowadays must be still refined, for example, many of the proposed markers are only available for research. Further high-level evidence is urgently needed and a concerted multidisciplinary approach is mandatory to understand the meaning of these

new markers that would drive us to get closer to the goal of the fourth 90.

## 7. Expert opinion

Modern ART effectively suppresses HIV-1 RNA load. A 90% virologic success rate is frequently achieved after 48 weeks of ART in clinical trials and the same success rate is reported among chronically treated patients in several different clinical settings, thus meeting the third 90 WHO target.

The way a successful therapy is defined, however, still relies solely on clinical evaluation, HIV-RNA, and CD4 + counts. These factors play a key role in assessing individuals before ART is initiated and then to monitor the response to the treatment and eventual toxicity of antiretroviral drugs.

However, as the potency and efficacy of antiretroviral drugs increases over time and their overall tolerability is nowadays more than satisfactory, the question is if we could rely on two simple measures such as viral load and CD4 counts to define whether a therapy is the best option for an individual patient.

In recent years, research has developed several new markers and ancillary monitoring tools that still have to find their best use in clinical practice.

No specific recommendations are present in guidelines on what the best use of these markers is and how they could contribute to guide clinical practice.

In the context of HIV-RNA suppression, an immediate opportunity that goes beyond the reference 50-copy threshold is the discrimination between target detected (TD) or not detected (TND). The risk of virological failure over time has been correlated to this parameter particularly when the TND status is confirmed across multiple time points. Similarly, HIV DNA extracted from blood lymphocytes or lymphocyte subsets hold promise for profiling the HIV reservoir at an individual level and for supporting patient-tailored treatment strategies.

Theoretically, the combined use of residual HIV replication (e.g. TD/TND) together with other indicators of the HIV reservoir (e.g. HIV DNA) and CAR (cell-associated HIV RNA) should allow to build a full molecular picture of the patient's status and define the more effective ART.

Management of patients with a complex history of drug resistance can benefit from high-resolution NGS that provides a more comprehensive picture of the drug resistance species and may guide treatment choices.

Speaking of lymphocyte activation, raised levels of CD38 + HLA-DR+CD8 + T-cells have a poorer clinical prognostic power than monocyte/macrophage-related inflammation in resource-rich settings while the contrary has been proven in resource-limited settings, where infectious complications still remain a prevalent causes of death.

Pro-inflammatory markers, IL-6, and D-Dimer have shown to be independently associated with non-AIDS co-morbidities and mortality in PLWHIV, suggesting that treatment aiming to decrease these biomarkers may help to reduce morbidity and mortality.

However, because of the wide biological variability of biomarkers and the paucity of interventional studies performed to validate their potential clinical application we are still far from their concrete exploitation in clinical practice.

For co-morbidities evaluation, echo-color Doppler of carotid vessels is considered a valid prognostic tool. Higher carotid intimal-media thickness (cIMT) is present in subjects with higher risk or established atherosclerotic disease. cIMT and carotid plaques have a significant potential for reclassification in intermediate risk individuals.

In osteoporotic HIV-patients, Bone Turnover Markers can be used both to increase the ability of fracture prediction and to monitor the response to anti-resorptive therapy.

Considering that the neurocognitive assessment is time and resource consuming, screening for HAND becomes essential to limit workload. However, we are still far from reaching a consensus on the diagnostic criteria and on the best neurocognitive battery to be used. Several gaps need to be fulfilled. We need a clear description of HAND clinical phenotypes, the identification of what cognitive areas are predominantly impaired, to which extent they are involved and how their involvement changes according to the HIV disease stage.

Body mass index (BMI), waist and hip circumference appear to be inexpensive and clinically validated tools to monitor longitudinal changes in body shape.

The impact that therapies can have on the quality of life of PLWHIV is becoming increasingly important.

The patient experience is, therefore, becoming of paramount relevance both throughout the drug development process and in clinical practice. In order to widely consider the use of PROs in clinical practice, aspects to be addressed include potential barriers and facilitators, either at the level of patients or for providers and other stakeholders.

Certainly, viral load and CD4 counts are well-validated outcome measures and we still need them, but great times and innovative technologies for HIV care are on the horizon, are in development or need validation. It is time to change the pace and to look forward not limiting the choice of ARV therapy on how drugs inhibit the virus, but also as they contribute to obtain the fourth 90 WHO target. Health-related quality of life of PLWHIV must become the center of interest, a goal to constantly pursue and to measure and monitor with reliable tests yet to be completely validated.

## Funding

This paper was funded by Gilead Sciences s.r.l., without any influence on the content and scientific results. Such content and results are the outcomes of the independent contribution of the authors.

## Declaration of interest

**F Maggiolo** has done presentations and given scientific advice to Merck Sharp & Dohme, Janssen-Cilag, ViiV Healthcare, Gilead Sciences, Abbvie; he's an investigator in clinical trials and has received research grant from Merck Sharp & Dohme, Janssen-Cilag, ViiV Healthcare, Gilead Sciences, Abbvie.

**A Bandera** has received consultancy fees, advisory board compensation, speakers' bureau honoraria, research and travel grants from Abbvie, Gilead Sciences, Janssen-Cilag, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Nordic Pharma, Pfizer, ViiV Healthcare. **S Bonora** has received consultancy and/or speakers' fees from Abbvie, Gilead Sciences, Janssen-Cilag, Merck Sharp & Dohme and ViiV Healthcare. **M Borderi** has participated in Advisory Boards for Janssen-Cilag, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Gilead Sciences and has been speaker for ViiV Healthcare. **A Calcagno** has participated in Advisory Boards and has received travel grants and speaking honoraria from Gilead Sciences, Janssen-Cilag,

Merck Sharp & Dohme and ViiV Healthcare. **A Maria Cattelan** has participated in Advisory Boards and has received travel grants and speaking fees from ViiV Healthcare, Janssen-Cilag, Merck Sharp & Dohme and Gilead Sciences. **A Cingolani** has participated in Advisory Boards for Janssen-Cilag, ViiV, Merck Sharp & Dohme, and has received travel grants and speaking fees from ViiV Healthcare, Janssen-Cilag, Merck Sharp & Dohme and Gilead Sciences. **N Gianotti** has been an advisor for Gilead Sciences, ViiV Healthcare, AbbVie and Janssen-Cilag and has received speakers' honoraria from Gilead Sciences, ViiV Healthcare, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Merck Sharp & Dohme. **M Lichtner** has participated in Advisory Boards and has received travel grants and speaking honoraria from Gilead Sciences, Janssen-Cilag, ViiV Healthcare, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Abbvie. **S Lo Caputo** has participated in Advisory Boards for Gilead Sciences, Janssen-Cilag, ViiV Healthcare, Merck Sharp & Dohme, and has received travel grants and speaking fees from ViiV Healthcare, Janssen-Cilag, Merck Sharp & Dohme and Gilead Sciences. **G Madeddu** has received consultancy and/or speakers' fees from Abbvie, Gilead Sciences, Janssen-Cilag, Merck Sharp & Dohme and ViiV Healthcare. **P Maggi** has received consultancy fees, advisory board compensation, speakers' bureau honoraria, research and travel grants from ViiV Healthcare, Gilead Sciences, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Janssen-Cilag, Abbvie. **G Carla Marchetti** has participated in Advisory Boards and has received travel grants and speaking honoraria from Gilead Sciences, Janssen-Cilag, ViiV Healthcare. **R Maserati** has participated in Advisory Boards and has received travel grants and speaking fees from Gilead Sciences, Janssen-Cilag, ViiV Healthcare, Merck Sharp & Dohme. **S Nozza** has participated in Advisory Boards and has received travel grants and speaking fees from ViiV Healthcare, Janssen-Cilag, Merck Sharp & Dohme and Gilead Sciences. **S Rusconi** received research grants to his institution from ViiV Healthcare, Gilead Sciences and Janssen, outside the submitted work; he was also a paid consultant for ViiV Healthcare, Gilead Sciences, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Janssen-Cilag and Mylan. **M Zazzi** received grants from Gilead Sciences and ViiV Healthcare, consultancy fees from Gilead Sciences, Janssen-Cilag and ViiV Healthcare. **A Di Biagio** has received honoraria speakers' fee and/or funds for research from Gilead Sciences, Janssen-Cilag, Merck Sharp & Dohme, ViiV Healthcare.

The authors have no other relevant affiliations or financial involvement with any organization or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript apart from those disclosed.

## Reviewer disclosures

Peer reviewers on this manuscript have no relevant financial or other relationships to disclose.

## ORCID

Stefano Rusconi  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0375-9990>

Antonio Di Biagio  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1436-5089>

## References

- Smith CJ, Ryom L, Weber R, et al. D:A:D Study Group Trends in underlying causes of death in people with HIV from 1999 to 2011 (D:A:D): a multicohort collaboration. *Lancet*. 2014;384:241–248.
- Lee FJ, Amin J, Carr A. Efficacy of initial antiretroviral therapy for HIV-1 infection in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 114 studies with up to 144 weeks' follow-up. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(5):e97482. eCollection 2014.
- d'Arminio Monforte A, Cozzi-Lepri A, Di Biagio A, et al. Durability of first-line regimens including integrase strand transfer inhibitors (INSTIs): data from a real-life setting. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2019;74(5):1363–1367.
- Deeks SG, Lewin SR, Havlir DV. The end of AIDS: HIV infection as a chronic disease. *Lancet*. 2013;382(9903):1525–1533.
- Kall M, Marcellin F, Harding R, et al. Patient-reported outcomes to enhance person-centred HIV care. *Lancet HIV*. 2019; pii: S2352-3018(19)30345-5. doi:10.1016/S2352-3018(19)30345-5.
- De Maria A, Cossarizza A. *CD4saurus Rex & HIVelociraptor* vs. development of clinically useful immunological markers: a Jurassic tale of frozen evolution. *J Transl Med*. 2011;93(9):2011.
- Richman D, Crowe S, Harvey K. HIV viral load monitoring. *Adv Exp Med Biol*. 1999;458:199–212. Review.
- Hanna GJ, D'Aquila RT. Clinical use of genotypic and phenotypic drug resistance testing to monitor antiretroviral chemotherapy. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2001;32(5): 774–782. Epub 2001 Feb 28. Review.
- Siliciano JD, Siliciano RF. Recent trends in HIV-1 drug resistance. *Curr Opin Virol*. 2013;3(5):487–494. 2013 Sep 7. Epub Review.
- Boukli N, Boyd A, Collot, et al. Utility of HIV-1 DNA genotype in determining antiretroviral resistance in patients with low or undetectable HIV RNA viral loads. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2018;73(11):3129–3136.
- Margot N, Koontz D, McCallister S, et al. Measurement of plasma HIV-1 RNA below the limit of quantification (<20 copies/ml) of commercial assays with the integrase hiv rna single-copy assay. *J Clin Virol*. 2018;108:50–52.
- Ryscavage P, Kelly S, Li JZ, et al. Significance and clinical management of persistent low-level viremia and very-low-level viremia in HIV-1-infected patients. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2014;58(7):3585–3598.
- Pernas B, Granda M, Pertega S, et al. Any impact of blips and low-level viraemia episodes among HIV-infected patients with sustained virological suppression on ART? *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2016;71(4):1051–1055.
- Underwood M, Angelis K, Wang R, et al. Comparison of viral replication below 50 copies/mL for two-drug (DTG+RPV) versus three-drug current antiretroviral regimen (CAR) therapy in the SWORD-1 and SWORD-2 Studies. *HIV Glasgow*. 28–31 October 2018, Glasgow, United Kingdom. Abstract P311
- Underwood M, Urbaitye R, Man C, et al. HIV replication at <40 c/ml for dtg + 3tc vs dtg + tdf/ftc in the gemini-1 & -2 studies. *croi* 2019. Abstract 490.
- Tosiano MA, Jacobs JL, Shutt KA, et al. A simpler and more sensitive single-copy HIV-1 RNA assay for quantification of persistent HIV-1 Viremia in individuals on suppressive antiretroviral therapy. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2019;57(3):pii: e01714-18.
- Rouzioux C, Trémeaux P, Avettand-Fenoël V. HIV DNA: a clinical marker of HIV reservoirs. *Review Curr Opin HIV AIDS*. 2018;135:389–394.
- Kiselinova M, De Spiegelaere W, Buzon MJ, et al. Integrated and total HIV-1 DNA predict ex vivo viral outgrowth. *PLoS Pathog*. 2016;12(3):e1005472.eCollection 2016 Mar. Erratum in: *PLoS Pathog*. 2016;12(3):e1005532
- Martinez-Picado J, Zurakowski R, Buzón MJ, et al. Episomal HIV-1 DNA and its relationship to other markers of HIV-1 persistence. *Retrovirology*. 2018;15(1):15. Review.
- Bruner KM, Wang Z, Simonetti FR, et al. A quantitative approach for measuring the reservoir of latent HIV-1 proviruses. *Nature*. 2019;566(7742):120–125.
- An innovative paper on the quantitative measurement of the latent HIV reservoir. This method allows a precise quantification of intact and defective proviruses**
- Cillo AR, Hong F, Tsai A, et al. Blood biomarkers of expressed and inducible HIV-1. *AIDS*. 2018;32(6):699–708.
- Anderson EM, Maldarelli F. The role of integration and clonal expansion in HIV infection: live long and prosper. *Review Retrovirology*. 2018;151:71.
- Pasternak AO, Berkhout B. What do we measure when we measure cell-associated HIV RNA. *Retrovirology*. 2018;151:13. Review.
- Casadellà M, Paredes R. Deep sequencing for HIV-1 clinical management. *Virus Res*. 2017;239:69–81. Review.
- Cozzi-Lepri A, Noguera-Julian M, Di Giallonardo F, et al. CHAIN minority HIV-1 variants working group. low-frequency drug-resistant HIV-1 and risk of virological failure to first-line NNRTI-based ART: a multicohort European case-control study



- using centralized ultrasensitive 454 pyrosequencing. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2015;70(3):930–940.
26. Pou C, Noguera-Julian M, Pérez-Álvarez S, et al. Improved prediction of salvage antiretroviral therapy outcomes using ultrasensitive HIV-1 drug resistance testing. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2014;59(4):578–588.
  27. Rodriguez C, Nere ML, Demontant V, et al. Ultra-deep sequencing improves the detection of drug resistance in cellular DNA from HIV-infected patients on ART with suppressed viraemia. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2018;73(11):3122–3128.
  28. Taylor T, Lee ER, Nykoluk M, et al. A MiSeq-HyDRA platform for enhanced HIV drug resistance genotyping and surveillance. *Sci Rep.* 2019;9(1):8970.
    - **A very interesting article, which proposes a novel methodology combining MiSeq and HyDRA advantages for the precise quantification of low abundant drug resistant variants**
  29. Derache A, Iwuji CC, Baisley K, et al. Impact of next-generation sequencing defined human immunodeficiency virus pretreatment drug resistance on virological outcomes in the ANRS 12249 treatment-as-prevention trial. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2019;69(2):207–214.
    - **A recent experience of the application of NGS within a treatment-as-prevention trial in KwaZulu Natal, South Africa. This methodology had been very useful in detecting pre-treatment drug resistance mutations at low level**
  30. MacArthur RD. Understanding HIV phenotypic resistance testing: usefulness in managing treatment-experienced patients. *AIDS Rev.* 2009;11(4): 223–230. Review.
  31. Meybeck A, Alidjinou EK, Huleux T, et al. Virological outcome after choice of antiretroviral regimen guided by proviral HIV-1 DNA genotyping in a real-life cohort of HIV-Infected patients. *AIDS Patient Care STDS.* 2020;34(2):51–58.
  32. Cannizzo ES, Bellistri GM, Casabianca A, et al. Immunophenotype and function of CD38-expressing CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cells in HIV-infected patients undergoing suppressive cART. *J Infect Dis.* 2015;211(9):1511–1513.
  33. Samji H, Cescon A, Hogg RS, et al. Closing the gap: increases in life expectancy among treated HIV-positive individuals in the United States and Canada. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(12):e81355.
  34. Donaghy H, Pozniak A, Gazzard B, et al. Loss of blood CD11c(+) myeloid and CD11c(-) plasmacytoid dendritic cells in patients with HIV-1 infection correlates with HIV-1 RNA virus load. *Blood.* 2001;98:2574–2576.
  35. Burdo TH, Lent MR, Autissier P, et al. Soluble CD163 made by monocyte/macrophages is a novel marker of HIV activity in early and chronic infection prior to and after anti-retroviral therapy. *J Infect Dis.* 2011;204(1):154–163.
  36. Srinivasa S, Fitch KV, Lo J, et al. Plaque burden in HIV-infected patients is associated with serum intestinal microbiota-generated trimethylamine. *AIDS.* 201;29:443–452.
  37. Kuller LH, Tracy R, Belloso W, et al. Inflammatory and coagulation biomarkers and mortality in patients with HIV infection. *PLoS Med.* 2008;5(10):e203.
  38. Peterson TE, Huppler Hullsiek K, Wyman Engen N, et al. INSIGHT START (Strategic Timing of AntiRetroviral Treatment) study group. Inflammation associates with impaired small arterial elasticity early in HIV disease. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* 2018;5(6):ofy117. 2018 Jun 1. eCollection.
  39. Makgoeng SB, Bolanos RS, Jeon CY, et al. Markers of Immune Activation and Inflammation, and Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. *JNCI Cancer Spectr.* 2018; 2(4):pky082. Review.
  40. O'Brien MP, Zafar MU, Rodriguez JC, et al. Targeting thrombogenicity and inflammation in chronic HIV infection. *Sci Adv.* 2019;5(6): eaav5463.
  41. Squillace N, Trabattoni D, Muscatello A, et al. Evaluation of adhesion molecules and immune parameters in HIV-infected patients treated with an atazanavir/ritonavir- compared with a lopinavir/ritonavir-based regimen. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2018;73(8):2162–2170.
  42. Hosnijeh FS, Portengen L, Späth F, et al. Soluble B-cell activation marker of sCD27 and sCD30 and future risk of B-cell lymphomas: A nested case-control study and meta-analyses. *Int J Cancer.* 2016;138(10):2357–2367.
  43. Falasca K, Reale M, Di Nicola M, et al. Circulating CD40 ligand, Dickkopf-1 and P-selectin in HIV-infected patients. *HIV Med.* 2019;20(10):681–690.
  44. Ghiglione Y, Trifone C, Salido J, et al. PD-1 expression in HIV-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells before antiretroviral therapy is associated with HIV persistence. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2019;80(1):1–6.
  45. Piconi S, Trabattoni D, Gori A, et al. Immune activation, apoptosis, and Treg activity are associated with persistently reduced CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell counts during antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS.* 2010;24(13):1991–2000.
  46. Hattab S, Guiguet M, Carcelain G, et al. Soluble biomarkers of immune activation and inflammation in HIV infection: impact of 2 years of effective first-line combination antiretroviral therapy. *HIV Med.* 2015;16(9):553–562.
  47. Xing Y, Shepherd N, Lan J, et al. MMPs/TIMPs imbalances in the peripheral blood and cerebrospinal fluid are associated with the pathogenesis of HIV-1-associated neurocognitive disorders. *Brain Behav Immun.* 2017;65:161–172.
  48. von Sydow M, Sonnerborg A, Gaines H, et al. Interferon-alpha and tumor necrosis factor-alpha in serum of patients in various stages of HIV-1 infection. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses.* 1991;7:375–380.
  49. Hagberg L, Cinque P, Gisslen M, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid neopterin: an informative biomarker of central nervous system immune activation in HIV-1 infection. *AIDS Res Ther.* 2010;7:15.
    - **A complete review on the meaning and potential clinical use of cerebrospinal fluid neopterin in the setting of central nervous system disorders in PLWHIV**
  50. Del Palacio M, Romero S, Casado JL. Proximal tubular renal dysfunction or damage in HIV-infected patients. *AIDS Rev.* 2012;14(3):179–187.
  51. Ansari AW, Heiken H, Moenkemeyer M, et al. Dichotomous effects of C-C chemokines in HIV-1 pathogenesis. *Immunol Lett.* 2007;110(1):1–5.
  52. Babu H, Sperk M, Ano TA, et al. Plasma metabolic signature and abnormalities in HIV-infected individuals on long-term successful antiretroviral therapy. *Metabolites.* 2019;9(10):210.
  53. Knudsen B, Ertner G, Petersen J, et al. Plasma soluble CD163 level independently predicts all-cause mortality in HIV-1-infected individuals. *J Infect Dis.* 2016;214(8):1198–1204.
    - **A large analysis of 5 933 HIV+ patients, with a 10 years FU, showing a precise increase of risk of death for each milligram per liter or quartile increase, in baseline plasma sCD163 level**
  54. Subramanyal V, McKay HS, Brusca RM, et al. Inflammatory biomarkers and subclinical carotid atherosclerosis in HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected men in the multicenter AIDS cohort study. *PLoS One.* 2019;14(4):e0214735.
  55. Sutter K, Dickow J, Dittmer U. Interferon  $\alpha$  subtypes in HIV infection. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* 2018;40:13–18.
  56. Tenorio AR, Zheng Y, Bosch RJ, et al. Soluble markers of inflammation and coagulation but Not T-Cell activation predict non-AIDS-DEFINING MORBID EVENTS DURING SUPPRESSIVE ANTIRETROVIRAL TREATMENT. *JID.* 2014;210:1248–1259.
    - **Comprehensive dataset demonstrating the odd ratio of developing a clinical event according to both pre-therapy and on-therapy measurement of several biomarkers**
  57. Hunt PW, Cao HL, Muzoora C, et al. Impact of CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell activation on CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell recovery and mortality in HIV-infected Ugandans initiating antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS.* 2011;25:2123–2131.
  58. Zunguontiporn N, Tello RR, Zhang G, et al. Non-classical monocytes and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1) correlate with coronary artery calcium progression in chronically HIV-1 infected adults on stable antiretroviral therapy. *PLoS One.* 2016;11:2.
  59. Lichtner M, Rossi R, Rizza MC, et al. Plasmacytoid dendritic cells count in antiretroviral-treated patients is predictive of HIV load control independent of CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell count. *Curr HIV Res.* 2008;6:19–27.

60. Moll M, Kuylenstierna C, Gonzalez VD, et al. Severe functional impairment and elevated PD-1 expression in CD1d-restricted NKT cells retained during chronic HIV-1 infection. *Eur J Immunol*. 2009;39(3):902–911.
61. Merlini E, Cerrone M, van Wilgenburg B, et al. Association Between Impaired Vα7.2+CD161++CD8+ (MAIT) and Vα7.2+CD161-CD8+ T-cell populations and gut dysbiosis in chronically HIV- and/or HCV-infected patients. *Front Microbiol*. 2019;10:1972.
62. Ahmad F, Hong HS, Jäckel M, et al. High frequencies of polyfunctional CD8 NK cells in chronic HIV-1 infection are associated with slower disease progression. *J Virol*. 2014;88(2):12397–12408.
63. Marchetti G, Cozzi-Lepri A, Merlini E, et al., Microbial translocation predicts disease progression of HIV-infected antiretroviral-naïve patients with high CD4+ cell count. *AIDS*. 25(11): 1385–1394. 2011.
- **Large cohort data demonstrating that circulating levels of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) are independently associated to the risk of disease progression in HIV+ untreated individuals.**
64. Sandler NG, Wand H, Roque A, et al. Plasma levels of soluble CD14 independently predict mortality in HIV infection. *J Infect Dis*. 2011;203(6):780–790.
65. Lichtner M, Cicconi P, Vita S, et al., Cytomegalovirus coinfection is associated with an increased risk of severe non-AIDS-defining events in a large cohort of HIV-infected patients. *J Infect Dis*. 211 (2): 178–186. 2015.
- **The comparison of a large cohort of CMV-Ab negative with CMV-Ab positive HIV+ patients showed an increased risk of severe non-AIDS-defining events for CMV co-infection.**
66. Hodowanec AC, Lurain NS, Krishnan S, et al. Increased CMV IgG antibody Titer is associated with non-AIDS events among virologically suppressed HIV-positive persons. *Pathog Immun*. 2019;4 (1):66–78.
67. Serrano-Villar S, Sainz T, Lee SA, et al. HIV-infected individuals with low CD4/CD8 ratio despite effective antiretroviral therapy exhibit altered T cell subsets, heightened CD8+ T cell activation, and increased risk of non-AIDS morbidity and mortality. *PLoS Pathog*. 2014;10(5):e1004078.
68. Mussini C, Lorenzini P, Cozzi-Lepri A, et al., for the Icona Foundation Study Group. Incidence of CD4/CD8 ratio normalization and its role in the onset of non-AIDS related events in HIV-infected individuals achieving viral load suppression on cART: an observational cohort study. *Lancet HIV*. 2(3): e98–e106. 2015.
- **Large cohort data measuring the extent of CD4/CD8 normalization upon virally-effective cART and demonstrating its independent association with the onset of non-AIDS events in the course of efficacious cART.**
69. Trickey A, May MT, Schommers P, et al. Antiretroviral therapy cohort collaboration (ART-CC). CD4:CD8 ratio and CD8 count as prognostic markers for mortality in human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients on antiretroviral therapy: the antiretroviral therapy cohort collaboration (ART-CC). *Clin Infect Dis*. 2017;65 (6):959–966.
70. Campbell LM, Fennema-Notestine C, Saloner R, et al. CHARTER Group. Use of neuroimaging to inform optimal neurocognitive criteria for detecting HIV-associated brain abnormalities. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*. 2019;2:1–16.
- **A comprehensive evaluation of brain imaging as a supportive diagnostic tool for neurocognitive impairment in HIV-positive subjects**
71. Antinori A, Di Biagio A, Marcotullio S, et al. Italian guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents and the diagnostic-clinical management of HIV-1 infected persons. Update 2016. *New Microbiol*. 2017;40(2):86–98.
72. Law MG, Friis-Moller N, El-Sadr WM, et al. The use of the Framingham equation to predict myocardial infarctions in HIV-infected patients: comparison with observed events in the D:A:D study. *HIV Med*. 2006;7:218–230.
73. Crane HD et al. Optimal cardiovascular disease risk score for HIV. Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI). February 22–25, 2016, Boston MA (abstract 42).
74. Taramasso L, Ricci E, Menzaghi B, et al., CISA study group. weight gain: a possible side effect of all antiretrovirals. *Open Forum Infect Dis*. 2017;4(4): ofx239.
- **This cohort study compares different antiviral classes in both naïve and experienced HIV-infected patients terms of weight gain. No difference was found between dolutegravir, raltegravir, darunavir and rilpivirine.**
75. Bourgi K, Rebeiro PF, Turner M, et al. Greater weight gain in treatment naïve persons starting Dolutegravir-based antiretroviral therapy. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2019.
- **This cohort study compares different anchor drug classes in terms of weight gain. It also shows that dolutegravir seems to be associated with greater weight gain compared to raltegravir and elvitegravir**
76. Bhagwat P, Ofotokun I, McComsey GA, et al. Changes in waist circumference in HIV-infected individuals initiating a raltegravir or protease inhibitor regimen: effects of sex and race. *Open Forum Infect Dis*. 2018;5(11):ofy201.
77. Nuvoli S, Caruana G, Babudieri S, et al. Body fat changes in HIV patients on highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART): a longitudinal DEXA study. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci*. 2018;22 (6):1852–1859.
78. Shaiykova A, Pasquet A, Goujard C, et al. Reduced bone mineral density among HIV-infected, virologically controlled young men: prevalence and associated factors. *AIDS*. 2018;32(18):2689–2696.
79. Milanini B, Paul R, Bahemana E, et al. AFRICOS study Team. Limitations of the International HIV Dementia scale in the current era. *AIDS*. 2018;32(17):2477–2483.
80. Trunfio M, Vai D, Montrucchio C, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of new and old cognitive screening tools for HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders. *HIV Med*. 2018;19:455–464.
81. 2018 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA guideline on the management of blood cholesterol: executive summary: a report of the American college of cardiology/American heart association task force on clinical practice guidelines. *Circulation*. 2019. Vol. 139:e1046–e1081.
82. Vlachopoulos C, Xaplanteris P, Aboyans V, et al. The role of vascular biomarkers for primary and secondary prevention. A position paper from the European society of cardiology working group on peripheral circulation: endorsed by the association for research into arterial structure and physiology (ARTERY) society. *Atherosclerosis*. 2015;241(2):507–532.
- **This European position paper is a useful update on circulating and instrumental vascular biomarkers and its role in prevention of cardiovascular diseases in general**
83. Singh P, Sharma P, Sahakyan KR, et al. Differential effects of leptin on adiponectin expression with weight gain versus obesity. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2016;40(2):266–274.
84. Brown TT, Ross AC, Storer N, et al. Bone turnover, osteoprotegerin/RANKL and inflammation with antiretroviral initiation: tenofovir versus non-tenofovir regimens. *Antivir Ther*. 2011;16 (7):1063–1072.
85. Segal E, Hassoun G, Maor C, et al. Quantitative ultrasonometry: an alternative and easy method to evaluate bone quality in people living with human immunodeficiency virus. *J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact*. 2019;19(1):112–117.
86. Borderi M, Calza L, Colangeli V, et al. Prevalence of sub-clinical vertebral fractures in HIV-infected patients. *New Microbiol*. 2014;37(1):25–32.
87. McGinty T, Cotter AG, Sabin CA. HIV UPBEAT (understanding the pathology of bone diseases in HIV-infected subjects) study group. Assessment of trabecular bone score, an index of bone microarchitecture, in HIV positive and HIV negative persons within the HIV UPBEAT cohort. *PLoS One*. 2019;14(3):e0213440.
- **Better than other markers, it allows to pass from bone quantity to bone quality without invasiveness**
88. Güerri-Fernández R, Lerma-Chippirraz E, Fernandez Marron A, et al. Bone density, microarchitecture, and tissue quality after 1 year of treatment with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate. *AIDS*. 2018;32 (7):913–920.

89. Rosca EC, Albarqouni L, Simu M. Montreal cognitive assessment (MoCA) for HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders. *Neuropsychol Rev.* 2019;29(3):313–327.
- **A new screening tool for neurocognitive disorders in HIV infection and its comparison with the existing ones**
90. Gisslén M, Price RW, Andreasson U, et al. Plasma concentration of the neurofilament light protein (NFL) is a biomarker of CNS injury in HIV infection: a cross-sectional study. *EBioMedicine.* 2015;3:135–140.
91. EuroQol Group. EuroQol -a new facility for the measurement of health-related quality of life. *Health Policy.* 1990;16(3):199–208.
92. McHorney CA, Ware JE Jr, Raczek AE. The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36): II. Psychometric and clinical tests of validity in measuring physical and mental health constructs. *Med Care.* 1993;31(3):247–263.
93. Smith KW, Avis NE, Mayer KH, et al. Use of the MQoL-HIV with asymptomatic HIV-positive patients. *Qual Life Res.* 1997;6(6):555–560.
94. AIDS clinical trials group outcomes committee ACTG. Quality of life 601–602. *Health Survey Manual.* 1999.
95. Orlando Edelen MO, Thissen D, Teresi JA, et al. Identification of differential item functioning using item response theory and the likelihood based model comparison approach. Application to the mini-mental state examination. *Med Care.* 2006;44:S134–42.
96. Lavsa SM, Holzworth A, Ansani NT. Selection of a validated scale for measuring medication adherence. *J Am Pharm Assoc.* 2011;51(1):90–94.
97. Justice AC, Holes W, Gifford AL, et al. Development and validation of a self-completed HIV symptom index. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2001;54(1):577–590.
98. Lewinsohn PM, Seeley JR, Roberts RE, et al. Center for epidemiologic studies depression scale (CES-D) as a screening instrument for depression among community-residing older adults. *Psychol Aging.* 1997;12(2):277–287.
99. Smyth C The Pittsburgh sleep quality index (PSQI). Best practices in nursing care to older adults. Issue number 6.1, revised 2012.
100. Henrich G, Herschbach P. Questions on life satisfaction – a short questionnaire for assessing subjective quality of life. *Eur J Psychol Assess.* 2000;16(3):150–159.
101. Wiegel M, Meston C, Rosen R. The female sexual function index: cross validation and development of clinical cutoff scores. *J Sex Marital Ther.* 2005;31:1–20.
102. Holmes WC, Shen JA. Two approaches to measuring quality of life in HIV/AIDS population. HAT-QoL and MOS-HIV-. *Qual Life Res.* 1999;8:515–527.
103. Nelson E, Wasson J, Kirk J, et al. Assessment of function in routine clinical practice description of the COOP chart method and preliminary findings. *J Chronic Dis.* 1987;40(1):555–69S.
104. Ware E, Kosinski M, Keller SD. A 12-item short-form health construction of scales and preliminary tests of reliability and validity. *Med Care.* 1996;34(3):220–233.
105. Wagner AC, Hart TA, McShane KE, et al. Health care provider attitudes and beliefs about people living with HIV: initial validation of the health care provider HIV/AIDS stigma scale (HPASS). *AIDS Behav.* 2014;12:2937–408.
106. Justice AC, Rabeneck L, Hays RD, et al. Sensitivity, specificity, reliability, and clinical validity of provider-reported symptoms: a comparison with self-reported symptoms. Outcomes committee of the AIDS clinical trials group. *J Acquired Immune Defic Syndr.* 1999;21(2):126–133.
107. Simpson KN, Hanson KA, Harding G, et al. Patient reported outcome instruments used in clinical trials of HIV-infected adults on NNRTI-based therapy: a 10-year review. *Health Qual Life Outcomes.* 2013;11:164.
- **A significant review that identifies and classifies PRO instruments used in HIV clinical trials**
108. Wilkins EL, Cohen CJ, Trottier B, et al. Patient-reported outcomes in the single-tablet regimen (STaR) trial of rilpivirine/emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil fumarate versus efavirenz/emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil fumarate in antiretroviral treatment-naïve adults infected with HIV-1 through 48 weeks of treatment. *AIDS Care.* 2016;28(3):401–408.
109. Wagner GJ, Goggin K, Remien RH, et al. A closer look at depression and its relationship to HIV antiretroviral adherence. *Ann Behav Med.* 2011;42(3):352–360.
110. Gay C, Portillo CJ, Kelly R, et al. Self-reported medication adherence and symptom experience in adults with HIV. *J Assoc Nurses AIDS Care.* 2011;22(4):257–268.
111. Glass TR, Geest S, Weber R, et al. Correlates of self-reported non adherence to antiretroviral therapy in HIV-infected patients: the Swiss HIV cohort study. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2006;41(3):385–392.
112. Llibre JM, Hung CC, Brinson C, et al. Efficacy, safety, and tolerability of dolutegravir-rilpivirine for the maintenance of virological suppression in adults with HIV-1: phase 3, randomised, non-inferiority SWORD-1 and SWORD-2 studies. *Lancet.* 2018;391(10123):839–849.
113. Li JZ, Sax PE, Marconi VC, et al. No significant changes to residual Viremia after switch to Dolutegravir and Lamivudine in a randomized trial. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* 2019;6(3):ofz056.
114. Cahn P, Madero JS, Arribas JR, et al. Effect for the GEMINI Study Team. Dolutegravir plus lamivudine versus dolutegravir plus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate and emtricitabine in antiretroviral-naïve adults with HIV-1 infection (GEMINI-1 and GEMINI-2): week 48 results from two multicentre, double-blind, randomised, non-inferiority, phase 3 trials. *Lancet.* 2019;393(10167):143–155.
115. Mills A, Garner W, Pozniak A, et al. Patient-reported symptoms over 48 weeks in a randomized, open-label, phase IIIb non-inferiority trial of adults with HIV switching to co-formulated Elvitegravir, Cobicistat, Emtricitabine, and Tenofovir DF versus continuation of non-nucleoside reverse Transcriptase inhibitor with Emtricitabine and Tenofovir DF. *Patient.* 2015;8(4):359–371.
116. Gathe J, Arribas JR, Van Lunzen J, et al. Patient-reported symptoms over 48 weeks in a randomized, open-label, phase 3b non-inferiority trial of adults with HIV switching to coformulated Elvitegravir, Cobicistat, Emtricitabine, and Tenofovir DF versus continuation of Ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitor with Emtricitabine and Tenofovir DF. *Patient.* 2015;8(5):445–454.
117. Gallant J, Lazzarin A, Mills A, et al. Bictegravir, emtricitabine, and tenofovir alafenamide versus dolutegravir, abacavir, and lamivudine for initial treatment of HIV-1 infection (GS-US-380-1489): a double-blind, multicentre, phase 3, randomised controlled non-inferiority trial. *Lancet.* 2017;390(10107):2063–2072.
118. Wohl D, Clarke A, Maggiolo F, et al. Patient-reported symptoms over 48 weeks among participants in randomized, double-blind, phase III non-inferiority trials of adults with HIV on co-formulated Bictegravir, Emtricitabine, and Tenofovir Alafenamide versus co-formulated Abacavir, Dolutegravir, and Lamivudine. *Patient.* 2018;11(5):561–573.
- **The first prospective, randomized, double-blind study comparing PROs in two different co-formulated antiretroviral regimens**
119. Margolis DA, Gonzales-Garcia J, Stellbrink HJ, et al. Long-acting intramuscular cabotegravir and rilpivirine in adults with HIV-1 infection (LATTE-2): 96-week results of a randomised, open-label, phase 2b, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet.* 2017;390(10101):1499–1510.
120. Murray M, Antela A, Mills A, et al. Patient views on long-acting HIV Treatment: cabotegravir + Rilpivirine as maintenance therapy (ATLAS 48-week results). *IAS.* 2019;Mexico City. Mexico. Oral MOAB0103.
121. Murray M, Bernal E, Chounta V, et al. Patient reported outcomes on long-acting cabotegravir + rilpivirine as maintenance therapy: FLAIR 48 week results. *IAS.* 2019; Mexico City. Mexico. Oral MOPEB258.
122. Lifson AR, Grund B, Gardner EM, et al. Improved quality of life with immediate versus deferred initiation of antiretroviral therapy in early asymptomatic HIV infection. *AIDS.* 2017;31(7):953–963.
123. Boyce MB, Browne JP, Greenhalgh J. The experiences of professionals with using information from patient-reported outcome measures to improve the quality of healthcare: a systematic review of qualitative research. *BMJ Qual Saf.* 2014;23(6):508–518.
- **An interesting review that highlights the strengths and limitations of the use of PROs as a mean to improving the quality of healthcare**