Open camera or QR reader and scan code to access this article and other resources online.



# Predictors of Virological Failure Among People Living with HIV Switching from an Effective First-Line Antiretroviral Regimen

Laura Magnasco,<sup>1</sup> Rachele Pincino,<sup>1,2</sup> Giuseppe Pasculli,<sup>3</sup> Yagai Bouba,<sup>4,5</sup> Francesco Saladini,<sup>6</sup> Davide Fiore Bavaro,<sup>7</sup> Andrea De Vito,<sup>8</sup> Rossana Lattanzio,<sup>7</sup> Romina Corsini,<sup>9</sup> Maurizio Zazzi,<sup>6</sup> Francesca Incardona,<sup>10</sup> Barbara Rossetti,<sup>11</sup> Antonia Bezenchek,<sup>10</sup> Vanni Borghi,<sup>12</sup> and Antonio Di Biagio<sup>1,2</sup>

# Abstract

Aim of this study was to assess the predictors of virological failure (VF) among patients living with HIV (PLWHIV) switching from an effective first-line antiretroviral therapy (ART) regimen, and to evaluate the emergence of resistance-associated mutations. All adult patients enrolled in the Antiviral Response Cohort Analysis cohort who started ART after 2010, with at least 6 months of virological suppression (VS) before ART switch and with an available genotypic resistance test (GRT) at baseline were included. Thirty-two patients out of the 607 PLWHIV included (5.3%) experienced VF after a median of 11 months from ART switch. Younger age (adjusted Hazard Ratio [aHR] 0.96, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.92–0.99, p = .023), being male who have sex with male (aHR 0.15, 95% CI 0.03–0.69, p = .014), and longer time from VS to ART switch (aHR 0.97, 95% CI 0.95–1.00, p = .021) resulted protective toward VF, while receiving a first-line regimen containing a backbone other than ABC/3TC or TXF/FTC (aHR 3.61, 95% CI 1.00–13.1, p = .050) and a boosted protease inhibitor as anchor drug (aHR 3.34, 95% CI 1.20–9.28, p = .021) were associated with higher risk of VF. GRT at the moment of VF was available only for 13 patients (40.6%). ART switch in patients with stable control of HIV infection is a safe practice, even if particular attention should be paid in certain cases of patients switching from regimens containing low-performance backbones or protease inhibitors.

Keywords: second-line antiretroviral therapy, resistance-associated mutations, optimization

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Infectious Diseases Unit, Ospedale Policlinico San Martino – IRCCS per l'Oncologia, Genoa, Italy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Health's Sciences, University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Computer, Control, and Management Engineering Antonio Ruberti (DIAG), La Sapienza University, Rome, Italy. <sup>4</sup>Department of Experimental Medicine, University of Rome "Tor Vergata," Rome, Italy. <sup>5</sup>Chantal BIYA International Reference Centre for Research on HIV/AIDS Prevention and Management (CIRCB), Yaoundé, Cameroon. <sup>6</sup>Department of Medical Biotechnologies, University of Siena, Siena, Italy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Department of Biomedical Sciences and Human Oncology, Clinic of Infectious Diseases, University of Bari "Aldo Moro," Bari, Italy. <sup>8</sup>Unit of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medical, Surgical and Experimental Sciences, University of Sassari, Sassari, Italy. <sup>9</sup>Infectious Diseases Unit, AUSL – IRCCS Reggio Emilia, Reggio Emilia, Italy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>InformaPRO s.r.l., Rome, Italy – EuResist Network GEIE, Rome, Italy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Infectious Diseases Unit, Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria Senese, Siena, Italy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>Department of Infectious Diseases, Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria Policlinico of Modena, Modena, Italy.

# Introduction

**C**URRENT ANTIRETROVIRAL THERAPY (ART) regimens allow stable control of HIV replication with achievement of stable virological suppression (VS) in >90% of treated patients.<sup>1</sup> However, given the lifelong nature of ART treatment, there is growing concern regarding long-term side effects and adherence issues.<sup>2,3</sup> Thus, individual optimization strategies to tailor the best ART regimen and prevent such complications have been widely implemented in everyday HIV clinical practice,<sup>4–6</sup> and simplification has been described as the main reason for first-line ART discontinuation in Italy in recent years.<sup>7</sup>

Genotypic resistance test (GRT) at baseline and upon virological failure (VF) has been recommended by most international guidelines.<sup>8–10</sup> Indeed, it can be a useful tool to guide optimization strategies, especially among heavily ART-experienced patients for whom the risk of mutations acquired from previous VF or planned treatment interruptions is consistent.<sup>11,12</sup>

Aim of our study was to assess the predictors of VF among patients living with HIV (PLWHIV) switching from an effective first-line ART regimen, and to evaluate the emergence of new resistance-associated mutations (RAMs).

# **Materials and Methods**

# Study design

We conducted a multicenter retrospective study among PLWHIV enrolled in the Antiviral Response Cohort Analysis (ARCA). Inclusion criteria for this study were (1) age  $\geq$ 18 years, (2) start of ART in year 2010 or following years, (3) baseline GRT available upon ART initiation, and (4) stable VS for at least 6 months before switch to a second-line regimen. Moreover, all patients enrolled in the study had a follow-up period of at least 42 months to better allow the detection of late VF as well as emergence of new resistance to antivirals.

#### Definitions and methods

VS was defined as HIV-RNA values <50 copies/mL for  $\geq 6$  consecutive months, VF as either two consecutive HIV-RNA determinations  $\geq 50$  copies/mL or a single determination  $\geq 1,000$  copies/mL. ART switch was defined as (1) change in backbone or (2) change in the anchor drug or (3) reduction in the number of drugs contained in the regimen. The switch from tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) to tenofovir alafenamide (TAF) was not considered for the study, and both formulations are further addressed in the text with the acronym TXF.

All RAMs at baseline GRT were recorded, as well as emerging RAMs upon VF, whenever available. Clinical significance of RAMs was defined according to the IAS-USA Drug Resistance Mutations Group 2019 definitions.<sup>13</sup>

# Study cohort and data collection

All PLWHIV enrolled in the ARCA cohort were screened for inclusion in the study. ARCA is a public database developed as a tool for investigating resistance to antiretroviral drugs that records all ART regimens administered to patients together with GRT results (dbarca.net). For each patient, demographic, virological and immunological data, risk factor for HIV infection, composition and duration of previous and current ART regimens, viral genotype, and baseline GRT mutations were collected. For patients meeting the criteria for VF, GRT results at VF were retrieved, whenever available.

### Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were expressed as median and interquartile range (IQR), whereas categorical variables were indicated as absolute values and relative frequencies. The Mann–Whitney U test was used to compare continuous variables and the chi-square test to compare categorical ones. A Cox proportional-hazards model was used to identify independent factors of VF, including all variables with a *p* value <.1 at univariable analysis. Two-sided *p* value <.05 were deemed statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences statistical software (IBM<sup>®</sup> SPSS Statistics<sup>®</sup> for Windows, version 26.0; IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY).

# Endpoints

The primary endpoint investigated was the development of VF. Moreover, we aimed at exploring predictors of VF and the emergence of new mutations to antiretrovirals upon VF, whenever a GRT was available. A further secondary endpoint investigated was the durability over time of different second-line regimens, stratified according to the anchor drug of the regimen itself.

# Ethical considerations

The study was performed in accordance with the ethical standards of the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and later amendments. All patients signed an informed consent for the use of their clinical and laboratory data in an aggregated and anonymous form. Access to the ARCA database and data analyses were regulated by local institutional ethics committees and by Italian and European privacy legislation (Approval code ARCA/2014 of 21 July 2014).

# Results

### Virological failure

A total of 607 PLWHIV undergoing ART switch during the study period were enrolled in the study. Demographic, immunological, virological, and treatment data of the enrolled patients are outlined in Table 1.

Overall, 32 patients (5.3%) experienced VF, defined by two consecutive determinations of HIV-RNA  $\geq$ 50 copies/mL in 8 patients (25%) and a single determination  $\geq$ 1,000 copies/mL in 24 (75%). Median time from ART switch to VF was 11 months (IQR 4–33); the probability of VF at the end of the observation period was 6.9% for non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI)-based regimens, 2.8% for protease inhibitor (PI)-based, 8.3% for integrase inhibitor (INSTI)-based ART and 3.1% for regimens combining other drugs. Comparison of characteristics of patients experiencing VF or maintaining VS after ART switch is shown in Table 1.

Among all patients receiving a first-line regimen containing a boosted protease inhibitor (bPI), 35.4% (n = 123/347) maintained a bPI in the second-line regimen, 32.6% (n = 113)

Characteristics	Overall population, n=607 (100%)	<i>VF</i> , n=32 (5.3%)	<i>No VF</i> , n=575 (94.7%)	р
Male sex Median (IQR) age, years HIV-1 B viral subtype Risk factor for HIV infection	467 (76.9) 41.0 (33.0–49.0) 432 (71.2)	22 (68.8) 37.0 (30.0–46.8) 22 (68.7)	445 (77.4) 41.0 (33.0–49.0) 410 (71.3)	.259 .144 .756 <b>013</b>
IDU Heterosexual MSM Other	38 (5.7) 339 (55.8) 150 (24.7) 80 (13.2)	5 (15.6) 22 (68.8) 2 (6.3) 3 (9.4)	33 (5.7) 317 (55.1) 148 (25.7) 77 (13.4)	1012
Median (IQR) log <sub>10</sub> of HIV-RNA value at baseline	4.72 (4.14–5.26)	4.85 (4.22–5.15)	4.71 (4.14–5.26)	.815
Median (IQR) value of CD4+ cells count at baseline	318 (205–416)	296 (100-408)	318 (209–419)	.371
CD4 <sup>+</sup> cells count $\leq$ 200/mL CD4 <sup>+</sup> cells count 201–500/mL CD4 <sup>+</sup> cells count >500/mL	145 (24.5) 373 (62.9) 75 (12.6)	11 (35.5) 17 (54.8) 3 (9.7)	134 (23.8) 356 (63.3) 72 (12.8)	.334
Missing data Median (IQR) time from VS to ART switch months	14 (2.3) 30.0 (15.0–47.0)	1 (3.1) 18.0 (10.0–36.8)	13 (2.3) 30.0 (16.0–47.0)	.023
Median (IQR) calendar year of ART start First-line regimen composition	2012 (2011–2013)	2011 (2010–2012)	2012 (2011–2013)	.073
Anchor drug NNRTI PI INSTI Other	216 (35.6) 347 (57.2) 12 (2.0) 32 (5.3)	6 (18.8) 24 (75.0) 1 (3.1) 1 (3.1)	210 (36.5) 323 (56.2) 11 (1.9) 31 (5.4)	.165
Backbone ABC/3TC TXF/FTC Other	177 (29.2) 394 (64.9) 36 (5.9)	7 (21.9) 20 (62.5) 5 (15.6)	170 (29.6) 374 (65.0) 31 (5.4)	.050
No. of drugs composing first-line regimen	5 (0.8)	0 (0 0)	5 (0,0)	.841
2 3 4	578 (95.2) 24 (4.0)	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \ (0.0) \\ 31 \ (96.9) \\ 1 \ (4.2) \end{array}$	547 (95.1) 23 (4.0)	
Second-line regimen composition				575
NNRTI PI INSTI Other	216 (35.6) 174 (28.7) 153 (25.2) 64 (10 5)	14 (43.8) 9 (28.1) 5 (15.6) 4 (12.5)	202 (35.1) 165 (28.7) 148 (25.7) 60 (10 4)	
Backbone ABC/3TC TXF/FTC Other	149 (24.5) 276 (45.5) 133 (21.9)	9 (28.1) 17 (53.1) 3 (9.4)	140 (24.3) 259 (45.0) 130 (22.6)	.376
No backbone	49 (8.1)	3 (9.4)	46 (8.0)	
No. of drugs composing second-line regimer 2 3 4	1 172 (28.3) 418 (68.9) 17 (2.8)	7 (21.9) 25 (78.1) 0 (0.0)	165 28.7) 393 (68.3) 17 (3.0)	.601
RAMs at baseline GRT None 1 class >2 classes	521 (85.8) 77 (12.7) 9 (1.5)	26 (81.3) 6 (18.8) 0 (0 0)	495 (86.1) 71 (12.3) 9 (1.6)	.668

# TABLE 1. BASELINE DEMOGRAPHIC, IMMUNOLOGICAL, VIROLOGICAL, AND TREATMENT DATA OF THE OVERALL POPULATION, AND COMPARISON OF CHARACTERISTICS OF PATIENTS EXPERIENCING VF VERSUS THOSE MAINTAINING VS AFTER ART SWITCH

Bold values underlines the statistically significant values.

ABC/3TC, abacavir/lamivudine; ART, antiretroviral therapy; GRT, genotype resistance test; IDU, intravenous drug use; INSTI, integrase inhibitor; IQR, interquartile range; MSM, men who have sex with men; NNRTI, non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; PI, protease inhibitor; RAMs, resistance-associated mutations; TXF/FTC, tenofovir/emtricitabine (both tenofovir alafenamide or tenofovir disoproxil fumarate); VF, virological failure; VS, virological suppression.

switched to an NNRTI, 21.9% (n=76) switched to an INSTI and 10.1% (n=35) to other nonconventional regimens. Intravenous drug users and heterosexuals were significantly more represented among patients who experienced VF, as well as patients receiving a first-line backbone different from abacavir/lamivudine (ABC/3TC) or tenofovir/emtricitabine (TXF/FTC), mainly 3TC or zidovudine (AZT), alone or in combination. Also, median time from VS to ART switch was significantly shorter among patients experiencing VF (18 vs. 30 months, respectively).

At multivariable analysis, younger age (adjusted Hazard Ratio [aHR] 0.96, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.92–0.99, p = .023), being male who have sex with male (MSM; aHR 0.15, 95% CI 0.03–0.69, p = .014) and longer time from VS to ART switch (aHR 0.97, 95% CI 0.95–1.00, p = .021) resulted protective toward VF. On the contrary, VF was associated with first-line regimen composition, in particular receiving a backbone other than ABC/3TC or TXF/FTC (aHR 3.61, 95% CI 1.00–13.1, p = .050) and receiving a bPI as anchor drug (aHR 3.34, 95% CI 1.20–9.28, p = .021). No association was noted among second-line regimen composition and VF.

 TABLE 2. RESULTS OF MULTIVARIABLE ANALYSIS

 ANALYZING PREDICTORS OF VIROLOGICAL FAILURE

		95%	
		confidence	
	aHR	interval	р
Male sex	1.25	0.54-2.89	.60
Age	0.96	0.92-0.99	.02
Risk factor for HIV infection			
Heterosexual	Ref	Ref	
IDU	2.24	0.71-7.03	.17
MSM	0.15	0.03-0.69	.01
Other	0.42	0.12-1.47	.18
Log <sub>10</sub> of HIV-RNA value at baseline	0.99	0.60-1.63	.96
CD4 <sup>+</sup> cells count at baseline	1.00	1.00 - 1.00	.92
Time from VS to ART switch	0.97	0.95-1.00	.02
First-line regimen composition			
Backbone			
ABC/3TC	Ref	Ref	
TXF/FTC	1.93	0.74 - 5.02	.18
Other	3.61	1.00-13.07	.05
Anchor drug			
NNRTI	Ref	Ref	
bPI	3.34	1.20-9.28	.02
INSTI	1.28	0.14-11.81	.83
Other	0.79	0.08 - 7.77	.84
Second-line regimen			
composition			
Backbone			
ABC/3TC	Ref	Ref	
TXF/FTC	1.18	0.45-3.13	.74
Other	0.32	0.08 - 1.28	.11
No backbone	0.62	0.07-5.15	.66
Anchor drug	0.02	0107 0110	
NNRTI	Ref	Ref	
bPI	1 34	0 44-4 07	61
INSTI	1.31	0.42-4.10	.64
Other	1.50	0.26-8.69	65
0 1101	1.50	0.20 0.07	.05

Significant results are underlined in bold text. For continuous variables, the hazard ratio is intended per one-unit increase. ART, antiretroviral therapy; bPI, boosted protease inhibitor.

Table 2 summarizes the results of multivariable analysis, whereas Figure 1 depicts the survival curve for patients receiving the different classes of anchor drugs.

We further investigated the durability of second-line regimens, and found that 259 patients out of 607 (42.7%) discontinued second-line ART. At multivariable analysis, the class of anchor drug in the second-line regimen was found to be associated with discontinuation (p < .001). Average durability observed stratified according to anchor drug is as follows: NNRTI (n=75) 25 months (95% CI 11.0–40.0); PI (n=118) 24.5 months (95% CI 11.8–37.3); INSTI (n=35) 9 months (95% CI 4.0–20.0); other drugs (n=31) 11.0 months (95% CI 5.0–24.0).

# Resistance-associated mutations

The overall rate of observed RAMs at baseline was not different among patients experiencing VF and those maintaining VS, with 85.8% of patients infected with a wild-type virus at baseline. Only 1 patient (1/21, 4.8%) with baseline RAMs to nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) experienced VF while receiving an NRTI as part of both firstand second-line regimens. Moreover, 13 and 5 patients showed baseline RAMs to NNRTIs and PIs, respectively, but reached VS despite being administered a first-line regimen containing these drugs. After ART switch, the regimen was adjusted according to baseline GRT in all of these patients and NNRTIs and bPIs were discontinued. The distribution of major RAMs detected at baseline is depicted in Figure 2.

GRT at the moment of VF was available for 13 (40.6%) of failing patients; characteristics of GRT at baseline and at VF for these patients, as well as the composition of their first- and second-line regimens, are outlined in Table 3. Emergence of new key mutations in reverse transcriptase and PI genes were noted in 4 (30.7%) and 3 patients (23.1%), respectively. Mutations to INSTI were detected in 3/6 patients with available GRT to INSTI, and in particular key mutations in one case and minor mutations in two of them.

# Discussion

In our multicenter study, conducted in a cohort of selected PLWHIV with available data about baseline GRT, we reported a low rate of VF (5.3%) among patients switching to a second-line regimen after achieving stable VS. The observed rate of VF was much lower than that reported in a recent study conducted in another Italian cohort, despite the different primary endpoint and the absence of data about baseline GRT.<sup>14</sup>

ART switch in virologically suppressed patients is nowadays a common practice as its efficacy and safety have been confirmed by many studies,<sup>15–25</sup> with the issue being extensively investigated also in Italian cohorts.<sup>5,19,26–33</sup> However, to the best of our knowledge, no study has specifically assessed possible predictors of VF among virologically suppressed patients undergoing ART switch. Indeed, predictors of VF to second-line treatments have been investigated mainly in low-income countries where ART switch is usually performed in viremic patients<sup>15,34–37</sup> or at most in patients with persistent low-level viremia.<sup>16</sup> Such predictors included younger age, shorter time of first-line ART duration, lower CD4<sup>+</sup> cells count at the moment of switch to second-line ART, higher WHO score, and second-line ART composition.

FIG. 1. Increased risk of virological failure among patients receiving first-line treatment with a boosted protease inhibitor. In the table is shown the number of persons at risk over time. INSTI, integrase inhibitor; NNRTI, non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; PI, protease inhibitor. Color images are available online.



In our cohort, only first-line regimen composition (in particular a backbone different from ABC/3TC or TXF/FTC and/or a bPI as anchor drug) predicted a threefold higher probability of experiencing VF. This might be explained by the fact that 3TC or AZT alone have a much lower efficacy and genetic barrier than other backbones, as well as by the fact that regimens containing bPIs are frequently reserved for patients with supposed difficult-to-treat infection, both because of poorer immunovirological status at baseline or because of adherence issues.



**FIG. 2.** Prevalence of major resistance-associated mutations detected at baseline genotypic resistance test in the study population. Results displayed refer (from *left* to *right*) to resistance to nucleos(t)ide analog reverse transcriptase inhibitors, non-nucleoside analog reverse transcriptase inhibitors and protease inhibitors, respectively.

~
E.
0
nse
al
Ö
ers
ā
Ē
2
2
31
05
at
E
3
qn
đ
pei
lie
Š.
Ň
n v
ror
a f.
0Vi
en
G
inc.
H
Ï
gu
ŝ
era
ali
_
ed
sped
a Osped
nda Osped
zienda Osped
Azienda Osped
o - Azienda Osped
ıcro - Azienda Osped
Cancro - Azienda Osped
ıl Cancro - Azienda Osped
t sul Cancro - Azienda Osped
rca sul Cancro - Azienda Osped
cerca sul Cancro - Azienda Osped
Ricerca sul Cancro - Azienda Osped
la Ricerca sul Cancro - Azienda Osped
ver la Ricerca sul Cancro - Azienda Osped
e per la Ricerca sul Cancro - Azienda Osped
nale per la Ricerca sul Cancro - Azienda Osped
tionale per la Ricerca sul Cancro - Azienda Osped
Vazionale per la Ricerca sul Cancro - Azienda Osped
o Nazionale per la Ricerca sul Cancro - Azienda Osped
tuto Nazionale per la Ricerca sul Cancro - Azienda Osped
stituto Nazionale per la Ricerca sul Cancro - Azienda Osped
S Istituto Nazionale per la Ricerca sul Cancro - Azienda Osped
CCS Istituto Nazionale per la Ricerca sul Cancro - Azienda Osped
RCCS Istituto Nazionale per la Ricerca sul Cancro - Azienda Osped
a IRCCS Istituto Nazionale per la Ricerca sul Cancro - Azienda Osped
teca IRCCS Istituto Nazionale per la Ricerca sul Cancro - Azienda Osped
lioteca IRCCS Istituto Nazionale per la Ricerca sul Cancro - Azienda Osped
tiblioteca IRCCS Istituto Nazionale per la Ricerca sul Cancro - Azienda Osped
y Biblioteca IRCCS Istituto Nazionale per la Ricerca sul Cancro - Azienda Osped
l by Biblioteca IRCCS Istituto Nazionale per la Ricerca sul Cancro - Azienda Osped
led by Biblioteca IRCCS Istituto Nazionale per la Ricerca sul Cancro - Azienda Osped
oaded by Biblioteca IRCCS Istituto Nazionale per la Ricerca sul Cancro - Azienda Osped
nloaded by Biblioteca IRCCS Istituto Nazionale per la Ricerca sul Cancro - Azienda Osped
ownloaded by Biblioteca IRCCS Istituto Nazionale per la Ricerca sul Cancro - Azienda Osped

4.8       236       DRV+TDF/FTC       No RAMs       62V 63P 711 93L       EFV+TDF/FTC       NRRTI: 65Rw 184Iw       62V 71V 771 93L         3.2       85       LPV +3TC+AZT       No RAMs       10V 16E 201 361       RPV+TDF/FTC       NRRTI: 96Gw 184Iw       62V 71V 771 93L         5.0       396       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMs       69K 89M       RPV+TDF/FTC       NRTI: 138K       69K 89M         5.0       396       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMs       36lw       RPV+TDF/FTC       NRTI: 138K       69K 89M         5.1       156       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMs       36lw       RPV+TDF/FTC       No RAMs       66V 771 93L         5.2       156       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMs       64V 771 93L       DRV+TAF/FTC       No RAMs       66K 89I         5.1       5.4       2.40       DRV+TDF/FTC       No RAMs       63P 64Vw       No RAMs       63P 630       63P 63V         5.4       2.0       DRV+TDF/FTC       No RAMs       63P 64Vw       No RAMs       63P 630       63P 63V         5.4       2.40       DRV+TDF/FTC       No RAMs       63P 64Vw       No RAMs       63P 630       63P 64Vw         4.4       3.61       DRV+TDF/FTC       No RAMs       63P 64Vw       R	Pre-ART viral loaa ut ID (log)	Pre-ART CD4+ cell count (cells/ml)	First-line regimen composition	RT mutations at baseline	PI mutations at baseline	Second-line regimen composition	RT mutations at VF	PI mutations at VF	INSTI mutations at VF
3.2       85       LPV +3TC+AZT       No RAMS       10V 16E 201 361       NUNKLI: NO RAMS       10V 16E 201 361         5.0       396       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       69K 89M       NNRTT: 138K       69K 89M         5.0       396       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       361w       RPV+TDF/FTC       NRTT: 65R NNRTT: No RAMS       69K 89M         5.1       5.6       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       64V 771 93L       DRV+MVC       No RAMS       64V 771 93L         5.2       156       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       64V 771 93L       DRV+TAF/FTC       No RAMS       63P 64V 70         3.8       417       RAL+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       64V 771 93L       DRV+TAF/FTC       No RAMS       63P 64V 70       00 RAMS       63P 64V       64V 719       001E 181C       64V 771 93L         4.4       367       DRV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       64V 771 93L       DRV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       63P 64V       64V 771 93L         3.9       240       DRV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       IOT E181C       No RAMS       63P 63V 701         3.9       309       LPV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       IOE 201 361       RPV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       69K 89M 93L         5.4       230       DRV+T	4.8	236	DRV+TDF/FTC	No RAMs	62V 63P 77I 93L	EFV+TDF/FTC	NRTI: 65Rw 184Iw	62V 71V 77I 93L	No RAMs
5.0       396       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       36Iw       RPV+TDF/FTC       NUMLL LOOM       ORAMS       OPA OM         5.2       156       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       64V       771       93L       DRV+MVC       No RAMS       04V       719       93L         4.6       N/A       LPV +3TC+AZT       No RAMS       63P       64V W       No RAMS       63V       64V       711       93L         3.8       417       RAL+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       63P       64V W       No RAMS       63P       64V W       08 RMS       63P       64V       711       93L         4.6       N/A       LPV +3TC+RTC       No RAMS       63P       64V W       No RAMS       63P       64V       711       93L       64V       710       710       7	3.2	85	LPV +3TC+AZT	No RAMs	10V 16E 20I 36I	RPV+TDF/FTC	NNKTI: No KAMS NRTI: 98Gw 1841 NNIPTI: 1201	10V 16E 20I 36I	N/A
5.2       156       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       64V 771 93L       DRV+MVC       No RAMS       64V 771 93L         4.6       N/A       LPV +3TC+AZT       No RAMS       63P 64Vw       DRV+RAL+MVC       No RAMS       63P         3.8       417       RAL+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       63P 64Vw       DRV+FAL+MVC       No RAMS       63P         3.8       417       RAL+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       16E 201 36I       RPV+TAF/FTC       No RAMS       63P         4.4       367       DRV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       16E 201 36I       RPV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       63P         4.4       367       DRV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       16B 36I 62V 93L       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       15E 20I 69K 89J         3.9       120       LPV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       16B 36I 62V       93L       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       69K 89M 93L         5.4       23       LPV+AZT       H3F/FTC       No RAMS       69K 89M 93L       69K 89M 93L         5.4       23       LPV+AZT       No RAMS       60K 89M 93L       EFV+ABC/3TC       No RAMS       63P 69N 77I         5.4       351       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       60E 62V 63P 93L       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS <t< td=""><td>5.0</td><td>396</td><td>EFV+TDF/FTC</td><td>No RAMs</td><td>091X 091M 36Iw</td><td>RPV+TDF/FTC</td><td>NRTI: 65R NNRTI: 101E 101C</td><td>09N 09M No RAMs</td><td>N/A</td></t<>	5.0	396	EFV+TDF/FTC	No RAMs	091X 091M 36Iw	RPV+TDF/FTC	NRTI: 65R NNRTI: 101E 101C	09N 09M No RAMs	N/A
4.0       N/A       LPV +51C+AZI       No RAMS       65P 64 Vw       DRV+KAL+MVC       No RAMS       65P         3.8       417       RAL+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       16E 201 361       RPV+TAF/FTC       No RAMS       65K 891         4.4       367       DRV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       16E 301 65V 931       EV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       100 RAMS         3.9       240       DRV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       16E 36I 62V 931       EV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       12P 16E 36I 931         3.9       309       LPV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       16E 36I 62V 931       EV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       69K 89M 931         5.4       2.3       LPV+AZT +3TC       No RAMS       69K 89M 931       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       69K 89M 931         5.4       2.3       LPV+AZT +3TC       No RAMS       60Y 89M 931       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       69K 89M 931         5.4       2.3       LPV+AZT +3TC       No RAMS       60Y 89M 931       63P 930       63F 930       771         5.4       2.3       LPV+AZT +3TC       No RAMS       60Y 771       801 89M       771         5.4       3.5       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       60F 62V       60F 62V       60F 62V       60F 62V </td <td>5.2</td> <td>156</td> <td>EFV+TDF/FTC</td> <td>No RAMS</td> <td>64V 77I 93L</td> <td>DRV+MVC</td> <td>No RAMS</td> <td>64V 77I 93L</td> <td>No RAMs</td>	5.2	156	EFV+TDF/FTC	No RAMS	64V 77I 93L	DRV+MVC	No RAMS	64V 77I 93L	No RAMs
4.4       367       DRV+TDF/FTC       No RAMs       69K 89J       ATV+TDF/FTC       No RAMs       No RAMs       No RAMs       12P 16E 361 93L         4.4       240       DRV+TDF/FTC       No RAMs       16E 361 62V 93L       EVC+TDF/FTC       No RAMs       12P 16E 361 93L         3.9       LPV+TDF/FTC       No RAMs       69K 89M 93L       EFV+ABC/3TC       No RAMs       69K 89M 93L         4.8       591       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMs       69K 89M 93L       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMs       69K 89M 93L         5.4       2.3       LPV+AZT +3TC       No RAMs       62Vw 63P 771       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMs       69K 89M 93L         5.4       2.3       LPV+AZT +3TC       No RAMs       610 62V       63P 93L       69K 89M 93L         5.1       357       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMs       60E 62V 63P 93L       EFV+TDF/FTC       NRTI: 1841       101 16E 20R         5.1       357       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMs       60E 62V 63P 93L       EV+TDF/FTC       No RAMs       60E 62V         5.1       357       EFV+ABC/3TC       No RAMS       60E 62V 63P 93L       EV+ABC/3TC       00 RAMS       60E 62V         5.1       357       EV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       60E 62V 63P 93L </td <td>4.6 3.8</td> <td>N/A 417</td> <td>LPV +31C+AZT RAL+TDF/FTC</td> <td>No KAMS No RAMS</td> <td>63P 64 VW 16E 20I 36I</td> <td>DKV+KAL+MVC RPV+TAF/FTC</td> <td>No KAMS No RAMS</td> <td>63P 16E 20I 69K 89I</td> <td>ISH No RAMs</td>	4.6 3.8	N/A 417	LPV +31C+AZT RAL+TDF/FTC	No KAMS No RAMS	63P 64 VW 16E 20I 36I	DKV+KAL+MVC RPV+TAF/FTC	No KAMS No RAMS	63P 16E 20I 69K 89I	ISH No RAMs
4.4       240       DRV+TDF/FTC       No RAMs       16E 36I 62V 93L       EVG+TDF/FTC       No RAMs       12P 16E 36I 93L         3.9       LPV+TDF/FTC       No RAMs       69K 89M 93L       EFV+ABC/3TC       No RAMs       69K 89M 93L         4.8       591       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMs       69K 89M 93L       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMs       69K 89M 93L         5.4       23       LPV+AZT +3TC       No RAMs       62Vw 63P 771       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMs       63P 69N 771         5.4       23       LPV+AZT +3TC       No RAMs       611 16E 20R       RPV+TDF/FTC       No RAMs       63P 69N 771         5.1       357       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMs       60E 62V 63P 93L       EFV+ABC/3TC       No RAMs       361 89M         5.1       357       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMs       60E 62V 63P 93L       EV+ABC/3TC       No RAMs       60E 62V         5.1       357       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMs       60E 62V 63P 93L       EV+ABC/3TC       No RAMs       60E 62V         5.1       168       LPV+TDF/FTC       No RAMs       60E 62V       63P 93L       63P 93L         5.2       168       LPV+TDF/FTC       No RAMs       63P 93L       63P 93L       63P 93L <td>4.4</td> <td>367</td> <td>DRV+TDF/FTC</td> <td>No RAMs</td> <td>69K 89I No RAMs</td> <td>ATV+TDF/FTC</td> <td>No RAMs</td> <td>No RAMs</td> <td>N/A</td>	4.4	367	DRV+TDF/FTC	No RAMs	69K 89I No RAMs	ATV+TDF/FTC	No RAMs	No RAMs	N/A
3.9       3.09       LPV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       69K 89M 93L       EFV+ABC/3TC       No RAMS       69K 89M 93L         4.8       591       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       62Vw 63P 771       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       63P 69N 771         5.4       23       LPV+AZT +3TC       No RAMS       62Vw 63P 771       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       63P 69N 771         5.4       23       LPV+AZT +3TC       No RAMS       101 16E 20R       RPV+TDF/FTC       NRTI: 1841       101 16E 20R         5.1       357       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RATI: 901 138K       361 89M         5.1       357       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       60E 62V 63P 93L       EFV+ABC/3TC       No RAMS       60E 62V         5.1       357       EFV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       60E 62V 63P 93L       EV+ABC/3TC       No RAMS       60E 62V         5.1       357       EV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       60E 62V 63P 93L       EV+ABC/3TC       No RAMS       60E 62V         5.1       168       LPV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       63P 93L       63P 93L         5.2       168       LPV+TDF/FTC       No RAMS       63P 93L       63P 93L	4.4	240	DRV+TDF/FTC	No RAMS	16E 36I 62V 93L	EVG+TDF/FTC	No RAMS	12P 16E 36I 93L	N/A
7.0       7.1       D.T. T.	3.9 2 0	309 501	LPV+TDF/FTC	No RAMS	69K 89M 93L	EFV+ABC/3TC	No RAMS	69K 89M 93L	N/A
361 89M         361 89M         NNRTI: 901 138K         361 89M           5.1         357         EFV+TDF/FTC         No RAMs         60E 62V 63P 93L         EFV+ABC/3TC         No RAMs         60E 62V           5.2         168         LPV+TDF/FTC         No RAMs         63P 93L         EVC+ABC/3TC         No RAMs         60E 62V           5.2         168         LPV+TDF/FTC         No RAMs         63P         36I	5.4 4.0	23 23	LPV+AZT +3TC	No RAMS	101 16E 20R	RPV+TDF/FTC	NRTI: 1841	101 16E 20R	N/A
5.1         357         EFV+TDF/FTC         No         RAMs         60E         62V         63P         93L         EFV+ABC/3TC         No         RAMs         60E         62V           5.2         168         LPV+TDF/FTC         No         RAMs         63P         93L         93L <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>36I 89M</td> <td></td> <td>NNRTI: 90I 138K</td> <td>36I 89M</td> <td></td>					36I 89M		NNRTI: 90I 138K	36I 89M	
5.2 168 LPV+TDF/FTC No RAMs 63P EVG+TAF/FTC No RAMs 36Iw 36Iw	5.1	357	EFV+TDF/FTC	No RAMs	60E 62V 63P 93L	EFV+ABC/3TC	No RAMs	60E 62V 63P 93L	No RAMs
	5.2	168	LPV+TDF/FTC	No RAMs	63P	EVG+TAF/FTC	No RAMs	36Iw	No RAMs

3TĆ, lamivudine; ATV, atazanavir; AZT, zidovudine; DRV, darunavir; EFV, efavirenz; EVG, elvitegravir; LPV, lopinavir; MVC, maraviroc; N/A, not available; NRTI, nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; RAL, raltegravir; RPV, rilpivirine; TAF/FTC, tenofovir alafenamide/emtricitabine; TDF/FTC, tenofovir disoproxil/emtricitabine.

In contrast, younger age, being MSM, and a longer time from VS to ART switch proved to be protective with regard to VF. This latter observation has already been reported in a cohort addressing the durability of dual regimens in patients with a specific RAM,<sup>38</sup> and further supported by the description of an HIV-DNA decay proportional to the duration of effective therapy.<sup>39</sup>

In addition, the long follow-up period available for our study allowed a more accurate real-life picture of durability of second-line regimens than that provided by clinical trials, where follow-up is usually censored at 48 weeks. The transmitted RAMs observed in our cohort were consistent with the prevalence previously described in Italy.<sup>40</sup> The emergence of new RAMs upon VF was evaluated only for a small number of patients for whom a second GRT was available.

Moreover, we observed a high rate of discontinuation (>40%), possibly due to the constantly evolving availability of new antiviral drugs with improved tolerability profiles and new co-formulated drugs. However, precise reasons for such an observation will have to be extensively addressed in dedicated study.

Limitations of this study are its retrospective design and thus the impossibility to exclude the presence of unmeasured confounders, the small number of events observed, as well as the lack of reasons for ART switch. On the contrary, study strengths are the real-life nature of data presented, their national representativity and the long time span of observation for patients included.

### Conclusions

Based on our observations, ART switch among patients under stable VS is a safe practice, allowing to maintain VS in  $\sim$ 95% of patients. However, particular attention should be paid in certain cases of patients switching from regimens containing low-performance backbones or bPIs.

# **Authors Contributions**

Conceptualization and supervision by A.D.B., B.R., and V.B.; methodology by A.D.B. and V.B.; software by V.B. and A.B.; validation by A.D.B.; formal analysis by G.P. and V.B.; data curation by V.B., A.B., and F.I.; writing—original draft preparation by L.M. and R.P.; writing—review and editing by L.M., R.P., Y.B., F.S., D.F.B., A.D.V., R.L., R.C., M.Z., F.I., B.R., A.B., V.B., and A.D.B.; project administration by A.D.B. and M.Z. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the article.

### Acknowledgments

Members of the ARCA Study Group are as follows: Andrea Giacometti (ANCONA-Clinica di Malattie Infettive), Luca Butini (ANCONA-Immunologia Clinica), Romana del Gobbo (ANCONA-Malattie Infettive), Patrizia Bagnarelli (ANCONA-Virologia), Danilo Tacconi (AREZZO-Malattie Infettive), Giovanni Corbelli (ASCOLI PICENO-Malattie Infettive), Stefania Zanussi (AVIANO Centro di Riferimento Oncologico), Laura Monno (BARI Clinica Malattie Infettive Universita'), Grazia Punzi (BARI-Virologia), Franco Maggiolo (BERGAMO-Malattie Infettive), Leonardo Calza (BOLOGNA-Malattie Infettive S. Orsola), Maria Carla Re (BOLOGNA-UO Microbiologia, Lab. Retrovirus), Raffaele Pristera' (BOLZANO-Malattie Infettive), Paola Turconi (BRESCIA-Fleming Labs), Antonella Mandas (CAGLIARI Centro S.I.D.A., Policlinico Universitario), Sauro Tini (CITTA DI CASTELLO-Medicina Generale), Alessia Zoncada (CREMONA-Malattie Infettive), Elisabetta Paolini (CREMONA-Servizio Immunoematologia e Medicina Trasfusionale), Giorgio Amadio (FERMO-Malattie Infettive), Laura Sighinolfi (FERRARA-Malattie Infettive AOU S. Anna), Paola Corsi FIRENZE-Malattie Infettive CAREGGI), Luisa Galli (FIRENZE-Malattie Infettive Pediatria Meyer), Massimo Di Pietro (FIRENZE-Malattie Infettive SM Annunziata), Grazia Colao (FIRENZE-Virologia CAREGGI). Andrea Tosti (FOLIGNO-Malattie Infettive/SERT), Maurizio Setti (GENOVA Clinica Medica Immunologia), Bianca Bruzzone (GENOVA-Laboratorio diIgiene Ospedale S. Martino), Antonio Di Biagio e Chiara Dentone (GENOVA-Malattie Infettive Ospedale S. Martino), Giovanni Cenderello (SANREMO-Malattie Infettive), Michele Trezzi (GROSSETO-Malattie Infettive), Irene Arcidiacono (LODI-Malattie Infettive), Alberto Degiuli (LODI-Virologia Lodi), Michele De Gennaro (LUCCA Malattie Infettive), Alessandro Chiodera (MACERATA Malattie Infettive), Alfredo Scalzini (MANTOVA-Malattie Infettive Ospedale "C. Poma"), Loredana Palvarini (MANTOVA-Virologia), Giovanni Todaro (MESSINA-Malattie Infettive), Stefano Rusconi (MILANO Dipart. Scienze Cliniche, Sez. Malattie Infettive-Universita' degli Studi), Maria Rita Gismondo (MILANO-Laboratorio Microbiologia Ospedale L. Sacco [Prima Divisione Malattie Infettive]), Valeria Micheli (MILANO-Laboratorio Microbiologia Ospedale L. Sacco [Seconda Divisione Malattie Infettive]), Maria Luisa Biondi (MILANO Laboratorio di diagnostica molecolare infettivologica AO S. Paolo), Amedeo Capetti (MILANO-Prima Divisione Malattie Infettive Ospedale L. Sacco), Paola Meraviglia (MILANO-Seconda Divisione Malattie Infettive Ospedale L. Sacco), Enzo Boeri (MILANO-Virologia HSR), Cristina Mussini (MODENA-Clinica Malattie Infettive), Monica Pecorari (MODENA-Virologia), Alessandro Soria (MONZA-Malattie Infettive), Laura Vecchi (MONZA-UO Microbiologia AO S. Gerardo), Maurizio Santirocchi (NARNI-SERT), Diego Brustia (NO-VARA Malattie Infettive AO Maggiore), Paolo Ravanini (NOVARA-Virologia), Federico Dal Bello (PADOVA Virologia), Nino Romano PALERMO-Centro Riferimento AIDS Universita'), Salvatrice Mancuso (PALERMO Servizio Riferimento Regionale Diagnosi AIDS), Carlo Calzetti (PARMA-Divisione Malattie Infettive ed Epatologia Azienda Ospedaliera), Renato Maserati (PAVIA Ambulatorio Clinica Malattie Infettive S. Matteo), Gaetano Filice (PAVIA-Clinica Malattie Infettive e Tropicali), Fausto Baldanti (PAVIA-Virologia S. Matteo), Daniela Francisci (PERUGIA-Malattie Infettive), Giustino Parruti (PESCARA-Malattie Infettive), Ennio Polilli (PESCARA Virologia Pescara), Daria Sacchini (PIACENZA-Malattie Infettive), Chiara Martinelli (PISA-Malattie Infettive), Rita Consolini (PISA-Pediatria I Universita), Linda Vatteroni (PISA-Virologia), Angela Vivarelli (PISTOIA-Malattie Infettive), Alessandro Nerli (PRATO-Malattie Infettive), Lucia Lenzi (PRATO-Virologia), Giacomo Magnani (REGGIO EMILIA-Malattie Infettive), Patrizia Ortolani (RIMINI-Malattie Infettive RIMINI), Massimo Andreoni (ROMA-Cattedra Malattie Infettive Tor Vergata), Caterina Fimiani (ROMA-Immunologia Clinica Umberto I), Lucia Palmisano (ROMA-Istituto Superiore di Sanita'), Simona Di Giambenedetto (ROMA-Istituto di Clinica Malattie Infettive Cattolica), Vincenzo Vullo (ROMA-Malattie Infettive e Tropicali La Sapienza-Umberto I), Ombretta Turriziani (ROMA-Medicina Sperimentale e Patologia-Sezione Virologia-La Sapienza), Marco Montano (ROMA Virologia per Malattie Infettive Tor Vergata), Andrea Antinori (ROMA, IRCCS Spallanzani), Mauro Zaccarelli (ROMA, IRCCS Spallanzani), Angela Gonnelli (SIENA-Malattie Infettive), Andrea De Luca (SIENA-Malattie Infettive 2), Michele Palumbo (TERNI-Malattie Infettive), Valeria Ghisetti (TORINO-Laboratorio di Virologia, Ospedale Amedeo di Savoia), Stefano Bonora (TORINO-Malattie Infettive Amedeo di Savoia), Palma Delle Foglie (TRENTO-Malattie Infettive), Cristina Rossi (TREVISO-Malattie Infettive; VERBANIA Malattie Infettive), Vincenzo Mondino (VERBANIA-Virologia), Marina Malena (VERONA-Centro di Medicina Preventiva), Paolo Grossi (VARESE-Clinica Malattie Infettive e Tropicali), Elena Seminari (VARESE-Virologia), and Federica Poletti (VERBANIA-Malattie ULSS 20).

# **Author Disclosure Statement**

No competing financial interests exist.

# **Funding Information**

This study was supported by an unconditional grant from Gilead Sciences.

### References

- 1. El Bouzidi K, Jose S, Phillips AN, *et al.*: First-line HIV treatment outcomes following the introduction of integrase inhibitors in UK guidelines. AIDS 2020;34:1823–1831.
- Puskas CM, Kaida A, Miller CL, *et al.*: The adherence gap: A longitudinal examination of men's and women's antiretroviral therapy adherence in British Columbia, 2000– 2014. AIDS 2017;31:827–833.
- Inzaule SC, Hamers RL, Kityo C, Rinke de Wit TF, Roura M. Long-term antiretroviral treatment adherence in HIVinfected adolescents and adults in Uganda: A qualitative study. PLoS One 2016;11:e0167492.
- Scott Sutton S, Magagnoli J, Hardin JW: Impact of pill burden on adherence, risk of hospitalization, and viral suppression in patients with HIV infection and AIDS receiving antiretroviral therapy. Pharmacotherapy 2016;36: 385–401.
- Calza L, Cafaggi M, Colangeli V, *et al.*: Simplification to dual-therapy containing lamivudine and darunavir/ritonavir or atazanavir/ritonavir in HIV-infected patients on virologically suppressive antiretroviral therapy. Infect Dis (Lond) 2018;50:352–360.
- Fontecha M, Monsalvo M, Rodriguez-Sagrado MA, Vivancos MJ, Moreno A, Casado JL: Long-term improvement in renal, bone, lipid parameters, and CD4/CD8 ratio in HIV-infected patients switching to a dual therapy with lamivudine plus boosted darunavir. Infect Dis (Lond) 2019; 51:293–298.
- 7. Di Biagio A, Cozzi-Lepri A, Prinapori R, et al.: Discontinuation of initial antiretroviral therapy in clinical

practice: Moving toward individualized therapy. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2016;71:263–271.

- GmbH B: EACS Guidelines. EACSociety. Available at https://www.eacsociety.org/guidelines/eacs-guidelines.html, accessed October 27, 2020.
- 9. Della SM: Guidelines on antiretroviral therapy. Available at http://www.salute.gov.it/portale/hiv/dettaglioContenutiHIV .jsp?lingua=italiano&id=187&area=aids&menu=vuoto, accessed October 27, 2020.
- BHIVA guidelines for the treatment of HIV-1-positive adults with antiretroviral therapy 2015 (2016 interim update). Available at https://www.bhiva.org/HIV-1-treatmentguidelines, accessed October 27, 2020.
- 11. Kantor R, DeLong A, Schreier L, *et al.*: HIV-1 second-line failure and drug resistance at high-level and low-level viremia in Western Kenya. AIDS 2018;32:2485–2496.
- Gardner EM, Burman WJ, Steiner JF, Anderson PL, Bangsberg DR: Antiretroviral medication adherence and the development of class-specific antiretroviral resistance. AIDS 2009;23:1035–1046.
- 13. Wensing AM, Calvez V, Ceccherini-Silberstein F, *et al.*: 2019 update of the drug resistance mutations in HIV-1. Top Antivir Med 2019;27:111–121.
- 14. Di Biagio A, Lorenzini P, Gustinetti G, et al.: Durability of second antiretroviral regimens in the Italian cohort naive antiretrovirals foundation study and factors associated with discontinuation. AIDS Patient Care STDS 2017;31:487– 494.
- Ségéral O, Nerrienet E, Neth S, *et al.*: Positive virological outcomes of HIV-infected patients on protease inhibitorbased second-line regimen in Cambodia: The ANRS 12276 2PICAM study. Front Public Health 2018;6:63.
- Amstutz A, Nsakala BL, Vanobberghen F, *et al.*: Switch to second-line versus continued first-line antiretroviral therapy for patients with low-level HIV-1 viremia: An open-label randomized controlled trial in Lesotho. PLoS Med 2020;17: e1003325.
- Hidalgo-Tenorio C, Cortés LL, Gutiérrez A, et al.: DO-LAMA study: Effectiveness, safety and pharmacoeconomic analysis of dual therapy with dolutegravir and lamivudine in virologically suppressed HIV-1 patients. Medicine (Baltimore) 2019;98:e16813.
- Aboud M, Orkin C, Podzamczer D, *et al.*: Efficacy and safety of dolutegravir-rilpivirine for maintenance of virological suppression in adults with HIV-1: 100-week data from the randomised, open-label, phase 3 SWORD-1 and SWORD-2 studies. Lancet HIV 2019;6:e576–e587.
- Valantin M-A, Durand L, Wirden M, *et al.*: Antiretroviral drug reduction in highly experienced HIV-infected patients receiving a multidrug regimen: The ECOVIR study. J Antimicrob Chemother 2019;74:2716–2722.
- Casado JL, Monsalvo M, Rojo AM, Fontecha M, Rodriguez-Sagrado MA: Dolutegravir and rilpivirine for the maintenance treatment of virologically suppressed HIV-1 infection. Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol 2018;11:561–570.
- Charpentier C, Peytavin G, Lê MP, *et al.*: High virological suppression regardless of the genotypic susceptibility score after switching to a dolutegravir-based regimen: Week 48 results in an observational cohort. J Antimicrob Chemother 2018;73:1665–1671.
- Perrier M, Charpentier C, Peytavin G, *et al.*: Switch as maintenance to elvitegravir/cobicistat/emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil fumarate: Week 48 results in a clinical cohort. J Antimicrob Chemother 2017;72:1745–1751.

### VF AFTER SWITCH FROM AN EFFECTIVE FIRST-LINE ART

- 23. Collins SE, Grant PM, Uwinkindi F, et al.: A randomized switch from nevirapine-based antiretroviral therapy to single tablet rilpivirine/emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil fumarate in virologically suppressed human immunodeficiency virus-1-infected Rwandans. Open Forum Infect Dis 2016;3:ofw141.
- 24. Gazaignes S, Resche-Rigon M, Gatey C, *et al.*: Efficacy and safety of a switch to rilpivirine-based regimens in treatment-experienced HIV-1-infected patients: A cohort study. Antivir Ther (Lond) 2016;21:329–336.
- 25. Gantner P, Reinhart S, Partisani M, *et al.*: Switching to emtricitabine, tenofovir and rilpivirine as single tablet regimen in virologically suppressed HIV-1-infected patients: A cohort study. HIV Med 2015;16:132–136.
- 26. Maggiolo F, Gianotti N, Comi L, *et al.*: Rilpivirine plus cobicistat-boosted darunavir as a two-drug switch regimen in HIV-infected, virologically suppressed subjects on steady standard three-drug therapy: A randomized, controlled, non-inferiority trial (PROBE 2). J Antimicrob Chemother 2020;75:1332–1337.
- 27. Gori A, Antinori A, Vergori A, *et al.*: Effectiveness of switching to darunavir/cobicistat in virologically suppressed HIV-positive patients receiving ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitor-based regimen: The 'STORE' study. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2020;84:290–294.
- Calza L, Colangeli V, Borderi M, *et al.*: Simplification to dual therapy containing lamivudine and raltegravir or dolutegravir in HIV-infected patients on virologically suppressive antiretroviral therapy. J Antimicrob Chemother 2020;75:3327–3333.
- Mondi A, Cozzi-Lepri A, Tavelli A, *et al.*: Effectiveness of dolutegravir-based regimens as either first-line or switch antiretroviral therapy: Data from the Icona cohort. J Int AIDS Soc 2019;22:e25227.
- Borghetti A, Moschese D, Cingolani A, *et al.*: Lamivudinebased maintenance antiretroviral therapies in patients living with HIV-1 with suppressed HIV RNA: Derivation of a predictive score for virological failure. HIV Med 2019;20: 624–627.
- Fabbiani M, Gagliardini R, Ciccarelli N, *et al.*: Atazanavir/ ritonavir with lamivudine as maintenance therapy in virologically suppressed HIV-infected patients: 96 week outcomes of a randomized trial. J Antimicrob Chemother 2018; 73:1955–1964.
- 32. Di Giambenedetto S, Fabbiani M, Quiros Roldan E, et al.: Treatment simplification to atazanavir/ritonavir + lamivudine versus maintenance of atazanavir/ritonavir + two NRTIs in virologically suppressed HIV-1-infected patients: 48 week results from a randomized trial (ATLAS-M). J Antimicrob Chemother 2017;72:1163–1171.

- 33. Borghetti A, Mondi A, Piccoli B, *et al.*: Switching to lamivudine plus darunavir/r dual therapy in a cohort of treatment-experienced HIV-positive patients: The experience of an Italian centre. J Int AIDS Soc 2014;17(4 Suppl 3):19817.
- 34. Nsanzimana S, Semakula M, Ndahindwa V, *et al.*: Retention in care and virological failure among adult HIV+ patients on second-line ART in Rwanda: A national representative study. BMC Infect Dis 2019;19:312.
- 35. Gunda DW, Kilonzo SB, Mtaki T, Bernard DM, Kalluvya SE, Shao ER: Magnitude and correlates of virological failure among adult HIV patients receiving PI based second line ART regimens in north western Tanzania; a case control study. BMC Infect Dis 2019;19:235.
- 36. Collier D, Iwuji C, Derache A, *et al.*: Virological outcomes of second-line protease inhibitor-based treatment for human immunodeficiency virus type 1 in a high-prevalence rural South African setting: a competing-risks prospective cohort analysis. Clin Infect Dis 2017;64:1006–1016.
- 37. Chakravarty J, Sundar S, Chourasia A, *et al.*: Outcome of patients on second line antiretroviral therapy under programmatic condition in India. BMC Infect Dis 2015;15: 517.
- 38. Gagliardini R, Ciccullo A, Borghetti A, et al.: Impact of the M184V resistance mutation on virological efficacy and durability of lamivudine-based dual antiretroviral regimens as maintenance therapy in individuals with suppressed HIV-1 RNA: A cohort study. Open Forum Infect Dis 2018; 5:ofy113.
- 39. Besson GJ, Lalama CM, Bosch RJ, *et al.*: HIV-1 DNA decay dynamics in blood during more than a decade of suppressive antiretroviral therapy. Clin Infect Dis 2014;59: 1312–1321.
- Lai A, Violin M, Ebranati E, *et al.*: Transmission of resistant HIV type 1 variants and epidemiological chains in Italian newly diagnosed individuals. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 2012;28:857–865.

Address correspondence to: Laura Magnasco Infectious Diseases Unit Ospedale Policlinico San Martino – IRCCS per l'Oncologia Largo R. Benzi, 10 Genoa 16132 Italy

E-mail: lmagnasco90@gmail.com