

Reply to: “Antiviral Activity and Safety of Darunavir/Cobicistat for Treatment of COVID-19”

Dear Editor,

Chen and colleagues evaluated the antiviral activity and safety of darunavir/cobicistat (DRV/c) in treating coronavirus-associated disease 2019 (COVID-19) in a randomized open-label study in 30 patients [1]. The authors did not observe any benefit in virological clearance, but there was no increase in side effects. However, severe/critical patients were not included; thus, as the authors stated, their findings may be not applicable to this population. Therefore, we report our results on the safety of darunavir/ritonavir (DRV/r) in 328 adults with COVID-19, most of whom had severe pneumonia.

In this study, we included HIV-negative patients consecutively hospitalized for COVID-19 between February 28 and March 29, 2020, who received the following standard of care (SOC): hydroxychloroquine (HCQ; 400 mg twice daily for 5–20 days), short-term initial antibiotic coverage, and anti-inflammatory treatment with tocilizumab and/or methylprednisolone. The patients admitted between February 28, 2020, and March 23, 2020, also received DRV/r 800/100 mg once daily for 5–10 days if not contraindicated (ie, severe cardiac or liver disease); as of March 24, 2020, we stopped using DRV/r based on data from recent studies showing inefficacy of lopinavir/ritonavir [2, 3].

Patients with a ratio between arterial oxygen partial pressure and fraction of inspired oxygen ($\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2$) ≤ 300 mmHg or pulse oxygen saturation $\leq 90\%$ at resting were classified as severe cases [4]. The risk of developing at least an adverse event (AE) of any grade, defined according to the CTCAE scale version 5.0

[5], and the risk of death were evaluated according to the administration of DRV/r with a time-dependent Cox-regression analysis. *P* values $<.05$ were considered statistically significant. SPSS, version 21, was used for statistics. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Ligurian Region (CER Liguria 163/2020). Off-label treatments were administered according to national and local regulatory procedures.

Overall, 328 adults with a mean age of 68 (± 13.79) years were included. Of these, 223 (68%) patients had severe disease at baseline, 151 (46%) received DRV/r within 48 hours, and 177 (54%) did not receive DRV/r. Of these 177, 83 presented with COVID-19 after March 23. The median length of follow-up (interquartile range) was 21 (11–29) days.

No difference between patients who received or did not receive DRV/r was noted for age, sex, proportion of patients with $\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2 \leq 300$ mmHg, or Charlson Comorbidity Index. Patients in the DRV/r group less frequently reported previous myocardial infarction (6, 3.9%, vs 25, 14.2%; *P* = .001), chronic heart failure (4, 22.2%, vs 14, 8%; *P* = .05), neutropenia (0 vs 5, 2.8%; *P* = .043), chronic liver disease (1, 7.7%, vs 12, 6.9%; *P* = .003), and long-term low-dose acetyl-salicylic acid therapy (0 vs 26, 14.8%; *P* < .0001).

Overall, 170/328 (48.8%) patients developed at least 1 AE of any grade, and 39/328 (11.9%) developed a grade 4–5 AE (ie, cardiovascular complications, *n* = 31, liver enzyme elevation, *n* = 2, and ventilator-associated pneumonia, *n* = 6), with similar rates between the DRV/r and non-DRV/r groups (57% vs 27.1%; *P* = .09; and 13.9% vs 10.2%; *P* = .3; respectively). The mean time to the first AE was 13.68 (± 11.15) days. The most frequently reported AEs were liver

enzyme elevations (40.4% DRV/r vs 27.1% non-DRV/r; *P* = .011), creatinine increase (9.3% vs 6.2%; *P* = .3), microbiologically documented bloodstream, pulmonary, or urinary infections (19.9% vs 17.5%; *P* = .59), cardiovascular disorders (13.2% vs 14.1%; *P* = .81), and mild diarrhea (11/151, 7.3%, vs 1/177, 0.6%; *P* = .001).

In the DRV/r group, diarrhea and liver enzyme elevations were regarded as possibly or probably associated with DRV/r. In no case was DRV/r withdrawn due to an AE. In the multivariate time-dependent analysis, DRV/r did not affect the risk of developing AEs (Table 1).

Overall, 98 (29.9%) patients died of COVID-19. DRV/r did not affect the risk of death (HR_{adj} , 1.32; 95% CI, 0.85–2.06; *P* = .21) (Table 1).

Although we administered DVR with a different booster (ritonavir instead of cobicistat), our experience in a real-life cohort adds some evidence to the findings of Chen et al., given the large proportion of severe COVID-19 and the older age of patients we evaluated. First, a short-term course of DRV/r was well tolerated, as it did not increase the risk of AEs. Differences in concomitant SOC treatments, AE reporting, and study population might explain the higher grade 4–5 AE rate we found with respect to Chen et al. (11.9% vs 0%). Second, DRV/r did not influence overall mortality, while respiratory insufficiency, both at baseline and during treatment, and systemic inflammation did. Notably, Chen et al. did not report any death at 14 days of follow-up. The 29.9% in-hospital mortality rate we found may reflect the more severe clinical presentation and the older age of our cohort.

In conclusion, although well tolerated even in patients with severe COVID-19, DRV/r did not reduce mortality in COVID-19. Further studies are

Table 1. Multivariable Analyses for Predictors of Time to the First Adverse Event and Death in Patients With COVID-19

Factors	Multivariable Analysis of Predictors of Adverse Events		Multivariable Analysis of Predictors of Death	
	HR _{adj} (95% CI)	P Value	HR _{adj} (95% CI)	P Value
DRV/r, yes	1.24 (0.91–1.69)	.17	1.32 (0.85–2.06)	.21
Age (1-y change) ^b			1.07 (1.05–1.10)	<.001
Charlson index (1-point increase) ^b			1.15 (1.02–1.29)	.019
Previous myocardial infarction ^b			-	-
History of heart failure ^a	1.70 (0.86–3.38)	.13	3.25 (1.59–6.64)	.001
COPD ^a	1.88 (1.00–3.53)	.05		
Connective tissue disease ^a	3.12 (0.98–9.96)	.055		
Peripheral vascular disease ^b			-	-
History of a cerebrovascular accident ^b			-	-
Dementia ^b			-	-
Peptic ulcer disease ^b	-	-		
Neutropenia ^b			8.28 (2.21–31.08)	.002
Hypertension ^{a,b}	1.38 (1.01–1.89)	.040	-	-
Diabetes mellitus ^b			1.70 (1.02–2.84)	.041
Cardiac disease ^b			-	-
Chronic liver disease ^b			-	-
Chronic renal disease ^b			-	-
Ongoing leukemia/lymphoma ^b			-	-
Long-term treatment with steroids at home ^b			2.24 (1.22–4.14)	.01
Long-term low-dose acetyl-salicylic acid therapy ^b			-	-
Long-term treatment with anticoagulants ^b			2.27 (1.24–4.18)	.008
Time from symptoms (>7 vs ≤7 d) to start of HCQ ^b			-	-
PaO ₂ /FiO ₂ <300 vs ≥300 ^{a,b}	-	-	2.36 (1.31–4.25)	.004
Noninvasive mechanical ventilation ^{a,b}	1.51 (1.01–2.26)	.047	2.00 (1.13–3.53)	.017
Invasive ventilation ^{a,b}	2.25 (1.51–3.35)	<.001	3.26 (1.85–5.77)	<.001
Interleukin-6 (1-unit increase on log scale) ^b	1.15 (1.01–1.32)	.044	1.36 (1.12–1.67)	.002
Ferritin (1-unit increase on log scale) ^b			1.35 (1.02–1.81)	.035
C-reactive protein (1-unit increase on log scale) ^b			1.25 (0.93–1.67)	.14
Tocilizumab ^a	1.59 (1.06–2.38)	.024		
Remdesivir ^a	-	-		

The following variables were collected and included in all the analyses: demographic (ie, sex, age), clinical (ie, Charlson index, comorbidities, long-term treatments, time interval from onset of symptoms to start of HCQ, arterial oxygen partial pressure, PaO₂/fraction of inspired O₂/FiO₂); laboratory parameters at baseline; type and timing of mechanical oxygen supplementation (invasive/noninvasive NIV); additional treatments (remdesivir, tocilizumab, and methylprednisolone). Mechanical oxygen supplementation and additional treatments were regarded as time-dependent covariates.

Abbreviations: _{adj}HR, adjusted hazard ratio; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; DRV/r, darunavir/ritonavir; PaO₂/FiO₂, arterial oxygen partial pressure/fraction of inspired oxygen.

^aVariables associated with developing adverse events in the univariate analysis with a *P* value <.15 and entered into the multivariable model.

^bVariables associated with death in the univariate analysis with a *P* value <.15 and entered into the multivariable model.

warranted to establish the best management strategy.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the efforts of all members of the GECOVID group.

Financial support. This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Potential conflicts of interest. All authors: no reported conflicts of interest. All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

Laura Ambra Nicolini,¹ Malgorzata Mikulska,^{1,2} Alessio Signori,³ Antonio Di Biagio,^{1,2,3}

Federica Portunato,^{1,4} Antonio Vena,¹ Mauro Giacomini,⁵ and Matteo Bassetti^{1,2}

¹Infectious Diseases Unit, Ospedale Policlinico San Martino - IRCCS, Genoa, Italy, ²Department of Health Sciences, University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy, ³Section of Biostatistics, Department of Health Sciences, University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy, ⁴Infectious Diseases Unit, University of Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, Caserta, Italy, and ⁵Department of Informatics, Bioengineering, Robotics and System Engineering, University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy

References

- Chen J, Xia L, Liu L, et al. Antiviral activity and safety of darunavir/cobicistat for treatment of COVID-19. *Open Forum Infect Dis* 2020; XXX:XXX–XX
- Li Y, Xie Z, Lin W, et al. An exploratory randomized controlled study on the efficacy and safety of lopinavir/ritonavir or arbidol treating adult patients hospitalized

with mild/moderate COVID-19 (ELACOI). medRxiv 20038984 [Preprint]. 15 April 2020. Available at: <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.03.19.20038984v2>. Accessed 29 April 2020.

- Cao B, Wang Y, Wen D, et al. A trial of lopinavir-ritonavir in adults hospitalized with severe COVID-19. *N Engl J Med*. 2020; 382:1787–99. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2001282.
- World Health Organization. Clinical management of severe acute respiratory infection (SARI) when COVID-19 disease is suspected. Interim guidance. 2020. Available at: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/clinical-management-of-covid-19>. Accessed 6 July 2020.
- Cancer Therapy Evaluation Program. Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) | protocol development. Available at: https://ctep.cancer.gov/protocolDevelopment/electronic_applications/ctc.htm#ctc_50. Accessed 4 June 2020.

Received 6 July 2020; editorial decision 21 July 2020; accepted 24 July 2020.

Correspondence: Laura Ambra Nicolini, MD, PhD, Infectious Diseases Unit, Ospedale Policlinico San Martino, IRCCS, L.go R. Benzi, 10–16132 Genoa, Italy (lauraambra.nicolini@hsanmartino.it).

Open Forum Infectious Diseases®

© The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Infectious Diseases Society of America. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial reproduction and distribution of the work, in any medium, provided the original work is not altered or transformed in any way, and that the work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com DOI: 10.1093/ofid/ofaa321

DOI: 10.1093/ofid/ofaa321