Revised: 19 August 2024

Check for updates

RESEARCH REPORT

WILEY

Clinical and genetic features of CMT2T in Italian patients confirm the importance of *MME* pathogenic variants in idiopathic, late-onset axonal neuropathies

Alessandro Geroldi ¹ 💿 Andrea La Barbera ² Alessia Mammi ² 💿
Paola Origone ^{1,2} Andrea Gaudio ² Clarissa Ponti ^{1,2} Francesca Sanguineri ^{1,2}
Sabrina Matà ³ Martina Sperti ³ Ilaria Carboni ⁴ Emilia Bellone ^{1,2}
Fabio Gotta ² Chiara Gemelli ⁵ Sara Massucco ¹ Guglielmino Valeria ^{6,7}
Lucio Marinelli ^{1,5} 💿 Marina Grandis ^{1,5} Giulia Bisogni ⁸ 💿 Mario Sabatelli ⁸
Giuseppe Piscosquito ⁹ Gabriella Esposito ^{10,11} Angelo Schenone ^{1,5}
Fiore Manganelli ¹² Paola Mandich ^{1,2} Stefano Tozza ¹² Marco Luigetti ^{6,7}

¹Department of Neurosciences, Rehabilitation, Ophthalmology, Genetic and Maternal and Infantile Sciences, Università di Genova, Genoa, Italy

²OU Medical Genetics, IRCCS Ospedale Policlinico San Martino, Genoa, Italy

³Neurology Unit, Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria Careggi, Florence, Italy

⁴SOD Diagnostica Genetica, Forensic Genetic Unit, Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria Careggi, Florence, Italy

⁵OU Neurology Clinic, IRCCS Ospedale Policlinico San Martino, Genoa, Italy

⁶Department of Neuroscience, Sense Organs and Chest, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario Agostino Gemelli IRCCS, Rome, Italy

⁷Department of Neurosciences, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Rome, Italy

⁸Centro Clinico NeMO Adulti, Rome, Italy

⁹Department of Neurology, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria San Giovanni di Dio e Ruggi d'Aragona, Salerno, Italy

¹⁰Department of Molecular Medicine and Medical Biotechnologies, School of Medicine, Università di Napoli Federico II, Naples, Italy

¹¹CEINGE Advanced Biotechnologies Franco Salvatore, Naples, Italy

¹²Department of Neuroscience, Reproductive and Odontostomatological Sciences, Università di Napoli Federico II, Naples, Italy

Correspondence

Emilia Bellone, Department of Neuroscience, Rehabilitation, Ophthalmology, Genetics and Maternal and Child Health, Section of Medical Genetics, University of Genoa, 16132 Genoa, Italy. Email: ebellone@unige.it

Abstract

Background and Aims: Since 2016, biallelic mutations in the membrane metalloendopeptidase (*MME*) gene have been associated with late-onset recessive CMT2 (CMT2T). More recently, heterozygous mutations have also been identified in familial and sporadic patients with late-onset axonal neuropathy, ranging from subclinical to severe. This indicates that the heterozygous *MME* variants may not be fully penetrant, or alternatively, that they may be a potential risk factor for neuropathy. Here, we describe the clinical, neurophysiological, and genetic findings of 32 CM2T Italian patients.

Alessandro Geroldi and Andrea La Barbera contributed equally to this study.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2024 The Author(s). *Journal of the Peripheral Nervous System* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of Peripheral Nerve Society. **Methods:** The patients were recruited from four different Italian referral centers. Following a comprehensive battery of neurological, electrophysiological, and laboratory examinations, the patients' DNA was subjected to sequencing in order to identify any variants in the gene. Bioinformatic and modeling analyses were performed to evaluate the identified variants' effects.

Results: We observe a relatively mild axonal sensory-motor neuropathy with a greater impairment of the lower extremities. Biallelic and monoallelic patients exhibit comparable disease severity, with an earlier onset observed in those with biallelic variants. When considering a subgroup with more than 10 years of disease, it becomes evident that biallelic patients exhibit a more severe form of neuropathy. This suggests that they are more prone to quick progression.

Interpretation: CM2T has been definitively defined as a late-onset neuropathy, with a typical onset in the fifth to sixth decades of life and a more rapidly progressing worsening for biallelic patients. CMT2T can be included in the neuropathies of the elderly, particularly if *MME* variants heterozygous patients are included.

KEYWORDS

CMT, genotype-phenotype correlation, late-onset peripheral neuropathies, MME, neprilysin

1 | INTRODUCTION

Charcot-Marie-Tooth (CMT) disease is a clinically and genetically heterogeneous group of neuromuscular diseases involving all types of Mendelian inheritance patterns.

Historically, the classification of CMT has been based on neurophysiological findings. Specifically, the upper limb motor nerve conduction velocity (MNCV) of 38 m/s is used to distinguish between demyelinating CMT (CMT1) (MNCV \leq 38 m/s) and axonal CMT (CMT2) (MNCV > 38 m/s).^{1,2} Moreover a third class of intermediate CMT has been considered with MNCV ranging from 25 to 45 m/s.³

Over 100 genes with different modes of inheritance have been associated with CMT mainly due to the increasing use of next-generation sequencing (NGS) technologies.⁴

However, some forms of CMT, such as adult-onset CMT2, remain genetically undiagnosed. A correct diagnosis may be delayed or never established due to late onset and unremarkable family history. Lateonset disorders were previously considered most likely autosomal dominant (AD) with age dependent penetrance, but more recently, late-onset recessive conditions have also been described.⁵

In particular, biallelic mutations in the membrane metalloendopeptidase *MME* gene have been identified as the most common cause of autosomal recessive CMT2 in Japanese populations, with disease onset occurring in the fifth decade.⁴ In the same way in the Spanish population, biallelic mutations in the *MME* gene were identified in patients with a late-onset motor predominant neuropathy with sensory involvement in later stages of the disease. In both the Spanish and Japanese cohorts, heterozygous carriers did not exhibit any symptoms of neuropathy and clinical and neurophysiological assessments were normal^{6,7} suggesting that mutations in *MME* do not cause AD CMT2.

More recently, heterozygous mutations in *MME* were also found in familial and sporadic patients with late-onset axonal neuropathy in Europe and North America.⁸ The phenotype exhibited a wide range of neuropathy severity, from subclinical to severe axonal neuropathy indicating an age-dependent penetrance. Senderek et al. demonstrated a higher frequency of *MME* variants in cases compared with controls, indicating that monoallelic variants in *MME* may be considered a risk factor.⁹

The *MME* gene encodes neprilysin (NEP), a zinc-dependent metalloprotease that is widely expressed on the surface of cells in many tissues, including the peripheral nervous system (PNS).^{10,11}

The role of NEP in the PNS is still unclear, but it appears to be important in regulating neuropeptide levels. Deficiency of NEP leads to exacerbation of pain and neurogenic inflammation after nerve injury.¹¹

In this paper, we described 32 Italian patients carrying mono or biallelic *MME* variants comparing their clinical, neurophysiological, and genetic findings.

2 | PATIENTS AND METHODS

The patients described in this study were recruited from CMT routine diagnostic activities at four different Italian referral centers for inherited neuropathies diagnosis, namely Genoa, Florence, Rome, and Naples.

Patients underwent a neurological objective examination, followed by nerve conduction studies (NCSs) in their upper and lower



FIGURE 1 ACMG-based variants pathogenicity (A), inheritance (B), and gender (C) distribution among the 32 patients.

limbs (LLs) using standard techniques. At the same time, extensive laboratory studies were conducted to rule out acquired causes of neuropathy.

The genomic DNA was extracted from a peripheral blood sample of the patients using standard methods after obtaining their written informed consent. *MME* molecular analysis was performed using Sanger sequencing or NGS targeted analysis.

Variants interpretation and classification were performed following the Guidelines of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG).¹²

After sequencing, the clinical data, neurophysiological and genetic findings of affected individuals carrying mutations in the *MME* gene were studied in more detail.

After clinical reevaluation patients' disability was classified, according to CMT neuropathy score (CMTES).

Mini–Mental State Examination (0–30 scale, normal > 24) was performed to evaluate cognitive impairment.

All procedures were conducted in compliance with the ethical standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments.

The significance of the results was determined using a t-student test with a p-value of less than .05.

The MME PDB structure was downloaded from the Protein Data Bank (pdb code 7AE1). Missense variants were evaluated using Chimera X, selecting the most probable conformation.

3 | RESULTS

During routine diagnostic activities, the participating centers identified 27 index cases and five affected relatives carrying *MME* variants for a total of 32 cases. To classify their variants, the ACMG guidelines were followed, defining them as Pathogenic (P) in 8 patients, likely pathogenic (LP) in 13, a variant of unknown significance in 10 and likely benign (LB) in the remaining one (Figure 1). The gender distribution is almost equal, 18 male and 14 female patients with 59% of familial cases and 41% of sporadic cases (Figure 1).

Clinical and neurophysiological data of these patients are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. Disease onset ranges from 24 to 75 years with a mean onset of 54.7 years (median 55, mode 48) (Figure 2) with a mean disease duration of 10.6 years (median 9.5, mode 10) (Figure 2).

The difference between the mean disease onset of mono and biallelic patients is slightly greater than the statistical threshold for significance (49.6 years vs. 59.2 years, p = .052). The duration of illness follows the same pattern (10.5 years vs. 11.5 years, p = .7). However, when we exclude the values for variants predicted as LB, a significant difference (49.6 years vs. 61.4 years, p = .0099) in onset is observed.

Onset symptoms are predominantly motor (53%), and less frequently purely sensory (25%) or mixed (22%). Among the motor symptoms, gait impairment is the most common, while paresthesia/distal tingling and neuropathic pain are the most commonly identified purely sensory symptoms (Figure 3).

Clinically, most patients present with predominantly distal LL neuropathy of mild-to-moderate severity with a mean CMTES of 10, ranging from 1 to 21 (Figure 4A).

The CMTES for heterozygous and homozygous patients are comparable, while it can be seen that this value depends more on the duration of the disease, as shown in Figure 4. Specifically, CMTES is statistically smaller in patients with less than 10 years of disease compared with those with more than 10 years (CMTES 8 vs. 13, p = .044with p < .05). This difference becomes more significant when we exclude patients presenting the variants predicted LB (CMTES 8 vs. 13, p = .019) (Figure 4A).

A difference in CMTES score between heterozygous and homozygous patients is observed only in subgroups with more than 10 years of disease duration. In this case, homozygous patients exhibit greater disease severity, although it is not statistically significant due to a single outlier value (Figure 4A).

Overall, 69% of patients show moderate to severe LL weakness while 81% show no or only mild strength deficit at the upper limb. On the sensory side, about 2/3 of MME patients have mild to moderate loss of sensation to touch, with 62% reduced pinprick and 63% reduced light touch. LL deep tendon reflexes (DTRs) are absent or reduced in 91% of patients, meanwhile UL DTRs are abnormal in 56% (Figure 4B).

When considering individual clinical parameters such as reflex, weakness, and sensory involvement separately, the differences

		atrial fibrillation, post- ny hypothyroidism for cystectomy, TIA	E		eloma	n, essential nemia, osteoarthritis, encephalopathy	ma, seminoma, benign oerplasia, multinodular cholesterolemia		nolesterolemia, benign vertrophy	ishimoto's thyroiditis, terolemia						undifferentiated	
	S Comorbidity	Paroxysmal a thyroidecton goiter, chole	Hypertensio	ON	Multiple mye	Hypertensio thrombocyth multi-infarct	Allergic asth prostatic hyp goiter, hyper	0 N	Mild hyperch prostatic hyp	Psoriasis, Ha hypercholesi	0 Z	NO	Q	ON	Glaucoma	Keratocono, connectivitis	QN
	h CMTE	па	ო	na	16	4	5	10	8	ო	21	14	~	9	17	~	,
ory vement	ick Toucl	+		+	+	ı	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+			,		+	•	+++++	·	
Sense ss invol	Pinpr	1	,	+	+	ı	,	+	1	ı.	+ +	++	+		+++++	·	
Veakne		+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	++	++	•		+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	+	+ + +	++	+++++	+	a na	+	
TRs V		י י	ı ı	+	+	+	1		i I		+	+	+	+	ב ۱	1	
	- Onset symptom U	Walking difficulties and cramps	LL weakness, gait - difficulties	- LL weakness	Walking + difficulties	Neuropathic pain + and frequent falls	Neuropathic pain -	Sensory deficit- + walking difficulties	Neuropathic pain -	Muscle cramps +	Walking – difficulties and cramps	Neuropathic pain -	Walking + difficulties	Walking - difficulties	Walking – difficulties	Walking + difficulties	Dic+ol +ingling
Disease	duration (years)	10	10	9	S	19	Ţ	6	7	7	20	13	19	Ţ	11	22	,
Age at	evaluation (years)	82	57	52	74	80	73	64	76	64	70	78	59	60	61	62	77
Age at	onset (years)	72	48	48	69	61	72	55	74	62	20	65	6	59	20	6	07
	Sex (ш	Σ	Σ	Σ	щ	Σ	Σ	Σ	ц	Σ	Σ	ч.	щ	Σ	Σ	Σ
	Family history	Q	AD	0 V	0 X	AD	AD	0 X	AD	0 X	AD	AD	0 X	0 N	AR	AR	٩v
	ACMG	VUS	Ч	(LP) (VUS)	д.	VUS	VUS	٩	VUS	Ч	٩	٩	д.	ГЪ	ГЪ	ГЪ	0
	Zygosity	Htz	Hmz	N.A.	Htz	Htz	Htz	Htz	Htz	Htz	Hmz	Htz	Htz	Hmz	Hmz	Hmz	Hm7
	Substitution	c.1229G>T p.Arg410Leu	c.1946T>G p.lle649Ser	c.156C>G p.Tyr52Ter; c.1497+5G>A	с.877С>Т р.Arg293Ter	c.1040A>G p.Tyr347Cys	c.[2144G>A p.Gly715Asp	c.877C>T p.Arg293Ter	c.1188G>A p.Lys396Lys	c.2077-1G>C	c.467deIC p.Pro156fs*14	c.467delC p.Pro156fs*14	c.467delC p.Pro156fs*14	c.1946T>C p.lle649Thr	c.2153+5G>A	c.2153+5G>A	
	Case	Pt1	Pt2	Pt3	Pt4	Pt5	Pt6	Pt7	Pt8	Pt9	Pt10-I	Pt10-II	Pt11	Pt13	Pt14-I	Pt14-II	D+1 / III

TABLE 1 Clinical features.

											holangitis	hritis		ле		is		
	Comorbidity	QN	Asthma	QN	N	QN	ON	QN	NO	ON	Primary biliary o	Rheumatoid Art	Celiac disease	Sjogren syndror	Thyroiditis	Multiple Scleros	ON	
	CMTES	11	15	17	8	4	4	2	12	15	16	6	16	14	ы	6	20	
ent	Touch	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	+	+++++		+	++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	++++	+++++	+	+	+	+++++	
ensory 1volvem	inprick	+	+	÷					+	1	+	1					+	
S tness ir		++	++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	' +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	- + +	- + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	
s Weal		+		+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++		+	+	+++++	+++	+	+		+				++++	
DTR	nr r		•	1	I I	1	+	I	1	т !	I	+	+	+	+	+	ı I	mal: -);
	Onset symptom	Distal tingling	Distal tingling	Gait impairment	Gait impairment	Cramp and gait impairment	Gait impairment	Gait impairment and paresthesia	Paresthesia	Gait impairment, fatigue	Gait impairment	Gait impairment and distal paresthesia	Gait impairment	Gait impairment	Gait impairment	Gait impairment	Gait impairment	++; mild: +; nor
Disease	(years)	7	8	10	ω	7	20	10	8	13	22	11	30	8	6	2	23	; moderate:
Age at evaluation	(years)	69	41	66	44	33	54	85	77	68	65	58	86	83	57	57	93	evere: +++
Age at onset	years)	52	33	8	35	24	80	73	58	55	43	47	56	75	51	55	20	ment (s
	, Sex (Σ	Σ	ч ц	ц	Σ	Σ	щ	Σ	ц.	ч ц	ц	ц.	ш	ц.	Σ	Σ	involve
Familv	history	0 X	0 N	0 N	0 Z	AD	AD	AD	AR	AR	AR	AD	AD	Q	AR	AR	Q	sensory
	ACMG	VUS	Ъ	٩	Ч	LB	ГЪ	ГЪ	VUS	٩	Ч	VUS	VUS	VUS	ГЪ	ГЪ	VUS	ess and
	Zygosity	Htz	Hmz	Hmz	Hmz	Htz	Htz	Htz	Hmz	Hmz	Hmz	Htz	Htz	Htz	Hmz	Hmz	Htz	-); weakn
	Substitution	c.2154-5_2160del	c.467deIC p.Pro156Leufs*14	c.440-2A>C	c.1437-1446delinsCAATAG p.Arg479Serfs*14	c.1810G>A p.Val604lle	c.2076G>C p.Gln692His	c.2077-1G>C	c.2154-5_2160del	c.467delC p.Pro156fs*14	c.869_879dupCTGAAGATCGA 1 p.Asn294Leufs*14	c.1040A>G p.Tyr347Cys	l c.1040A>G p.Tyr347Cys	c.1040A>G p.Tyr414Cys	c.2011G>T p.Glu671Ter	I c.2011G>T p.Glu671Ter	c.2067C>A p.Asn689Lys	
	Case	Pt15	Pt16	Pt17	Pt18	Pt19	Pt20	Pt21	Pt22	Pt23	Pt24	Pt25-I	Pt25-I	Pt26	Pt27-I	Pt27-I	Pt28	Note: D1

Abbreviations: AD, autosomal dominant; AR, autosomal recessive; DTR, deep tendon reflexe; Hmz, homozygous; Htz, heterozygous; LL, lower limb; NO, sporadic; UL, upper limb, VUS, variant of unknown significance.

476 WILEY

(Continued)

TABLE 1

TABLE 2 Upper limb (UL) nerve conduction studies (NCSs).

		UL NCS				UL NCS			
Case	Neuropathy	CMAP (mV)	MNCV (m/s)	Case	Neuropathy	CMAP (mV)	MNCV (m/s)		
Pt1	Sensory motor axonal	3.7	51	Pt16	Sensory motor axonal	8.1	33		
Pt2	Sensory motor axonal	15.5	54	Pt17	Sensory motor axonal	4.6	46		
Pt3	Sensory motor axonal	8	51	Pt18	Motor axonal	11	59		
Pt4	Sensory motor axonal	6.8	59	Pt19	Sensory motor axonal	2.2	58		
Pt5	Sensory motor axonal	6.1	66	Pt20	Motor axonal	0.2	nr		
Pt6	Sensory motor axonal	na	na	Pt21	Sensory motor axonal	5.6	55		
Pt7	Sensory motor axonal	11.4	68	Pt22	Sensory motor axonal	1.9	31		
Pt8	Sensory motor axonal	6.9	55	Pt23	Sensory motor axonal	12.9	51		
Pt9	Sensory motor axonal	na	na	Pt24	Sensory motor axonal	12.1	48		
Pt10-I	Sensory motor axonal	2.7	40	Pt25-I	Sensory motor axonal	11.6	51		
Pt10-II	Sensory motor axonal	11.7	57	Pt25-II	Sensory motor axonal	6	47		
Pt11	Sensory motor axonal	9.6	56	Pt26	Sensory motor axonal	12.3	52		
Pt13	Sensory motor axonal	13.4	38	Pt27-I	Sensory motor axonal	15	50		
Pt14-I	Sensory motor axonal	10.7	54	Pt27-II	Sensory motor axonal	10.9	50		
Pt14-II	Sensory motor axonal	17.5	53	Pt28	Sensory motor axonal	9	57		
Pt14-III	Sensory motor axonal	10.9	54						
Pt15	Sensory motor axonal	9.1	53						

Abbeviations: CMAP, compound motor amplitude potential; MNCV (m/s), motor nerve conduction velocity.



FIGURE 2 The box plots show the disease onset (A) of the whole group and compare the homozygous (HMZ) and heterozygous (HTZ) groups (B). The HTZ group experiences a statistically significant later disease onset when deprived of likely benign variants (HTZ-LB) (p = .0099; p < .05). (C) The disease duration of the entire group. (D) Comparisons of the homozygous (HMZ) and heterozygous (HTZ) groups. No statistically significant differences were found between the three groups.

between homozygotes and heterozygotes are more dispersed. This trend does not follow the same pattern as CMTES, even in subgroups with more or less than 10 years of disease (data not shown).

No signs of central nervous system involvement have been identified and none of the evaluated patients had apparent cognitive impairment.



FIGURE 3 Distribution and type of symptoms at onset.

The patient's medical history did not reveal any risk factors for peripheral neuropathies, such as diabetes or vitamin deficiencies. However, analysis of other comorbidities identified autoimmune diseases of several types in the 23.5% of the patients. No differences in inheritance, onset, or disease severity were observed between this group and the remaining patients.

Neither the duration of the disease nor the mono or biallelic state of MME variants seems to influence the presence of comorbidities.

NCSs show axonal motor and sensory polyneuropathy in most cases (78%), with only seven cases showing a different pattern. In detail, we can observe two patients with prevalent motor neuropathy, two patients with prevalent sensory neuropathy and three patients with intermediate motor sensory neuropathy. Upper limb nerve MNCV ranged from 31 to 68 m/s with a mean of 52 m/s and a median of 53 m/s (Figure 5) Upper limb nerve compound motor nerve amplitude has a mean value of 8.9 mV (median 9.35) with a maximum of 17.50 mV and a minimum of 0.2 mV (Figure 5). Details on the values of individual patients are shown in Table 2. No evident difference has been observed between homozygous and heterozygous patients with NCS, regardless of disease duration.

Of the 32 patients described in this study, 13 have *MME* variants in biallelic state, 18 in mono allelic state, while one patient has two heterozygous variants whose allelic phase could not be determined (Figure 6). No variants are shared between the homozygous and heterozygous groups, except for c.467delC and c.2154-5_2160del, which are present in both groups (Table 3). In detail, c.467delC is present in five patients from four families and c.2154-5_2160del in two patients from two families. We observe a total of 21 different variants (Table 3). Among them missense (9 variants in 11 patients) is the most represented category, followed by loss of function (6 variants in 11 patients) and splicing (6 variants in 10 patients) (Figure 7).

Six of the nine missense variants result in amino acid changes that lead to loss or gain of H-bonds or to collisions between side chains of nearby residues, while three variants have no apparent consequence on protein structure (Table 3 and Figure 7).

In detail, p.Val604lle results in a change between two hydrophobic aliphatic amino acids without loss or gain of strong interaction between nearby amino acids. Again, the substitutions p.Arg410Leu and the already described p.Ile649Ser⁸ do not create or remove boundaries. In these latter cases, Grantham distance between the amino acids involved is more relevant and in particular, in p.Ile649Ser, the inclusion of a polar and phosphorylatable or glycosylatable residue may suggest a damage not directly related to the structure. The same hypothesis may be applied to the removal of an arginine in p.-Arg410Leu, an amino acid often involved in catalysis.

Out of the six variants with a probable effect on the structure, four have not been described in the literature. Of these, p.Gln692His seems to have the most relevant effect on the three-dimensional structure, with multiple losses and gains of hydrogen bonds and collisions between adjacent amino acids (Figure 8). In contrast, p.-Tyr414Cys and p.Ile649Thr lead to a single loss of a hydrogen bond between Tyr414 and Asn514 and a gain of a hydrogen bond between lle649 and Leu644, respectively. Finally, p.Gly715Asp is predicted to affect only steric hindrance with a probable clash between Gly715 and Trp694.





FIGURE 4 (A) Comparison between disease severity in Heterozygous (HTZ) and homozygous (HMZ) patients, patients with more than 10 (>10) and less than 10 (<10) years of disease duration, heterozygous and homozygous patients with more than 10 and less than 10 years of disease duration (HTZ > 10, HTZ < 10 and HMZ > 10, HMZ <10, - likely benign variants excluded). (B) Overall clinical parameters.

An additional missense variant, p.Gly224Ala, has been identified in two relatives (Pt 12-I and 12-II not described in detail and not included in the statistics). This variant results in the replacement of two non-polar, uncharged amino acids, with only a slight increase in steric hindrance, and no clashes between nearby residues. These observations, in conjunction with the variant's high minor allele frequency (0.19%) and atypical phenotypic findings (onset at only 15 years of age), suggest that this variant may be a suitable exemplar of a benign variant. Therefore, Pt 12-I and 12-II are not affected by CMT2T.

All nonsense or frameshift variants are predicted to undergo nonsense-mediated decay (NMD), as are all splicing variants. The only



FIGURE 5 Overall values of upper limb nerve conduction studies. CMAP, compound motor amplitude potential; MNCV (m/s), motor nerve conduction velocity.



FIGURE 6 (A) Heterozygous (Htz) versus homozygous (Hmz) variant. (B) The distribution of variants based on their typology.

exceptions are c.1497+5G>A, which is predicted to result in an inframe deletion of exon 15, and c.2154-5_2160del, which is predicted to result in a 16 aa shorter protein (p.lle719Thrfs*15) (Table 4).

No mRNA study or NEP dosage has been performed to confirm these predictions.

4 | DISCUSSION

In this study, we describe a cohort of CMT patients carrying *MME* variants in both monoallelic and biallelic states to characterize the main clinical and neurophysiological features of CMT2T in the Italian population. We also provide insight into the pathological mechanism underlying the identified variants by in silico analysis.

Over the past 8 years, multiple papers have demonstrated that *MME* is the most significant gene in the development of late-onset sensorimotor axonal neuropathies.⁵⁻⁹ Furthermore, it has been observed that another considerable proportion of late-onset hereditary neuropathies, especially those with a predominant sensory component, are caused by expansions in the *RFC1* gene, which is also associated with CANVAS phenotype.¹⁴ Our results confirm an advanced age of onset, with a mean of 52 years, with no significant difference between males and females.

ACMG Reference	;) (LP) - (VLIS)		5) P ¹	5) P 1 5) P 1	() P ¹ P ¹ D P ¹	() () () () () () () () () ()		 (i) (j) (j)	 () <	() () () () () () () () () ()	() () () () () () () () () ()	() () () () () () () () () ()	() () () () () () () () () ()	() P 1 ()	() () () () () () () () () ()	(i) P 1 (i)	VUS VUS 23 VUS VUS 24 VUS VUS VUS 24 VUS VUS 24 VUS VUS 24 VUS VUS VUS 24 VUS VUS VUS 24 VUS VUS VUS VUS VUS 24 VUS VUS VUS VUS VUS VUS VUS VUS VUS VUS	VUS VUS VUS V VUS VUS V VUS VUS V VUS V VUS V VUS V VUS V VUS V V VUS V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	VUS VUS - 2 33 VUS - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
ACMG criteria ACM	(PVS1, PM2) (PP3, PM2) (LP) (VUS		PVS1, PM2, PS4m, (PP5) P	PVS1, PM2, PS4m, (PP5) P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5) P	PVS1, PM2, PS4m, (PP5) P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5) P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5) P	PVS1, PM2, PS4m, (PP5) P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5) P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5) P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5) P	PVS1, PM2, PS4m, (PP5) P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5) P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5) P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5) P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5) P	PVS1, PM2, PS4m, (PP5) P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5) P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5) P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5) P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5) P	PVS1, PM2, PS4m, (PP5) P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5) P	PVS1, PM2, PS4m, (PP5) P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5) P	PVS1, PM2, PS4m, (PP5) P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5) P	PVS1, PM2, PS4m, (PP5) P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5) P PVS1, PM2 LB PVS1, PM2 P	PVS1, PM2, PS4m, (PP5) P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5) P	PVS1, PM2, PS4m, (PP5) P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5) P PVS1, PM2 LB PVS1, PM2 P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5) P PVS1, PM2 LB PVS1, PM2 P PVS1, PM2 P PVS1, PM2 P PVS1, PM2 P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5) P	PVS1, PM2, PS4m, (PP5) P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5) P PVS1, PM2 LB PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5) P PP5 VUS	PVS1, PM2, PS4m, (PP5) P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5) P PP3, BS1, BP6 LB PVS1, PM2 PP3 PVS1, PM2 P PP3, BS1, BP6 LB PVS1, PM2 P PP3, BS1, BP6 LB PVS1, PM2 P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5) P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, P P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, P <td>PVS1, PM2, PS4m, (PP5) P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5) P PVS1, PM2 LB PVS1, PM2 P PVS1, PM2 LB PVS1, PM2 V PVS1, PM2 P PVS1, PM2 P PVS1, PM2 P PVS1, PM2 P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5) P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, P P</td> <td>PVS1, PM2, PS4m, (PP5) P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, PP5 VUS PP5 P PVS1, PP3m VUS PVS1, PP3m VUS</td> <td>PVS1, PMZ, PS4m, (PPS) P PVS1, PS4m, PMZ, PPS VUS PVS1, PPS VUS PVS1, PPS VUS PVS1, PPS VUS <</td>	PVS1, PM2, PS4m, (PP5) P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5) P PVS1, PM2 LB PVS1, PM2 P PVS1, PM2 LB PVS1, PM2 V PVS1, PM2 P PVS1, PM2 P PVS1, PM2 P PVS1, PM2 P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5) P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, P P	PVS1, PM2, PS4m, (PP5) P PVS1, PS4m, PM2, PP5 VUS PP5 P PVS1, PP3m VUS PVS1, PP3m VUS	PVS1, PMZ, PS4m, (PPS) P PVS1, PS4m, PMZ, PPS VUS PVS1, PPS VUS PVS1, PPS VUS PVS1, PPS VUS <
(PVS1, PM2) (PP3, PM2		PVS1, PM2, PS4m, (PP5		PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5	PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5	PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5	PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5	PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5	PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5	PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PP3, BS1, BP6 PP3, BS1, BP6	PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PP3, BS1, BP6 PP3, BS1, BP6 PVS1, PM2	PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PP3, BS1, BP6 PP3, BS1, BP6 PVS1, PM2 PVS1, PM2 (PP5	PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PP3, BS1, BP6 PP3, BS1, BP6 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5	PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PP3, BS1, BP6 PP3, BS1, BP6 PVS1, PM2 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5	PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PP3, BS1, BP6 PP3, BS1, BP6 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PP5	PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PP3, BS1, BP6 PP3, BS1, BP6 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PP5 PP5	PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PP3, BS1, BP6 PVS1, PM2 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PP5 PP5 PP5 PP5	PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PP3, BS1, BP6 PP3, BS1, BP6 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PP5 PP5 PP5 PP5 PM2, PP3m	PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PP3, BS1, BP6 PP3, BS1, BP6 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PVS1, PS4m, PM2, (PP5 PP5 PM2, PP3 PM2, PP3 PM2, PP3
(PVS1, F		PVS1, P	PVS1, P		PVS1, P	PVS1, P PVS1, P	PVS1, P PVS1, P PVS1, P	PVS1, P PVS1, P PVS1, P	PVS1, P. PVS1, P PVS1, P PVS1, P PVS1, P PVS1, P Structure PP3, BS	PVS1, PStructure PP3, BS	PVS1, P	PVS1, P PVS1, P PVS1, P PVS1, P PVS1, P structure PP3, BS structure PP3, BS structure PVS1, P	PVS1,	PVS1, P PVS1, P	PVS1, P PVS1, P Asp209 PP5	PVS1, PPS1, PP51, PPS1, PP51,	PVS1, P PVS1, P PVS2, P PS d Asp209 PP5 d Asp209 PP5 d Asp209 PP5 d Asp209 PP5	PVS1, PPS1,	PVS1, P PVS1,
									e on protein structure	e on protein structure	: on protein structure	e on protein structure	on protein structure on protein structure	: on protein structure : on protein structure Tyr347 and Asp209	: on protein structure : on protein structure Tyr347 and Asp209	: on protein structure : on protein structure Tyr347 and Asp209	: on protein structure : on protein structure Tyr347 and Asp209	: on protein structure : on protein structure Tyr347 and Asp209 Tyr347 and Asp209	: on protein structure : on protein structure Tyr347 and Asp209 Tyr347 and Asp209 Tyr347 and Asp209 on protein structure : on protein structure
		pping							ant consequence o	ant consequence of	ant consequence of	ant consequence of	ant consequence of	ant consequence of ant consequence of ant consequence of 1-bond between T	int consequence of int consequence of int consequence of 1-bond between T	int consequence of ant consequence of ant consequence of -1-bond between T- 1-bond between T-	ant consequence of ant consequence of ant consequence of 1-bond between T 1-bond between T 1-bond between T 1-bond between T 1-bond between T	int consequence of int consequence of ant consequence of -1-bond between T- -1-bond between T- -1-bond between T- ame disrupted ant consequence of	ant consequence of ant consequence of ant consequence of -1-bond between T -1-bond between T -1-bond between T -1-bond between T -1-bond between T -1-bond between T
	QMN	Exon 6 skipp	DMD		QWN	DMN DMN	DWN DWN		NMD NMD NMD NMD No apparent	NMD NMD NMD NMD No apparent No apparent	NMD NMD NMD NMD No apparent NO apparent	NMD NMD NMD NMD NMD NMD NMD NMD	NMD NMD NMD NMD NMD NMD NMD NMD NMD	NMD NMD NMD NMD NO apparent NO apparent NMD NMD NMD NMD	NMD NMD NMD NMD NMD NMD NMD NMD NMD Loss of a H- Loss of a H-	NMD NMD NMD NMD NO apparent NO apparent NMD NMD Loss of a H- Loss of a H- Loss of a H-	NMD NMD NMD NMD NMD N0 apparent NMD NMD NMD Loss of a H- Loss of a H- Loss of a H- Loss of a H-	NMD NMD NMD NMD NMD NMD NMD Loss of a H- Loss of a H- NND NND	NMD NMD NMD NMD NMD N0 apparent NMD NMD Loss of a H- Loss of a H- Loss of a H- Loss of a H- Reading frar No apparent
Category	LoF; Spl	Spl	ЦС	3	LoF	D Fol Fol	2 J J J J	2 Lo	2 Po Po Po Ro	Z L L L L L Z X	2 6 6 6 6 6 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 6 6 6 6 6 6 2	o c c x x v c c c c c c	2 La	2 La	Σ Σ Σ Σ Σ Σ Σ Σ Σ	LoF LoF Ms Ms Ms Sil/Spl	Ms M	Ms Ms Sij/Spl
NFE	0	0.0035%	0.0319%		0.0319%	0.0319% 0.0319%	0.0319% 0.0319% 0.0319%	0.0319% 0.0319% 0.0319% 0.0319%	0.0319% 0.0319% 0.0319% 0.0319%	0.0319% 0.0319% 0.0319% 0.0319% 0.19%	0.0319% 0.0319% 0.0319% 0.19% 0.19%	0.0319% 0.0319% 0.0319% 0.19% 0.19% 0	0.0319% 0.0319% 0.0319% 0.19% 0.19% 0	0.0319% 0.0319% 0.0319% 0.19% 0.19% 0 0	0.0319% 0.0319% 0.0319% 0.19% 0 0 0 0 0.1%	0.0319% 0.0319% 0.0319% 0.19% 0.19% 0 0 0 0.1% 0.1%	0.0319% 0.0319% 0.0319% 0.19% 0.19% 0 0 0 0.1% 0.1% 0.1%	0.0319% 0.0319% 0.0319% 0.19% 0.19% 0 0 0 0.1% 0.1% 0.1%	0.0319% 0.0319% 0.0319% 0.19% 0.19% 0.19% 0.19% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.0002% 0.0002%
	0	435950 0.	320057 0.		320057 0.	320057 0. 320057 0.	320057 0. 320057 0. 320057 0.	320057 0. 320057 0. 320057 0. 320057 0.	320057 0. 320057 0. 320057 0. 320057 0. 564881 0.	320057 0. 320057 0. 320057 0. 320057 0. 564881 0. 564881 0.	320057 0. 320057 0. 320057 0. 320057 0. 564881 0. 564881 0.	320057 0. 320057 0. 320057 0. 564881 0. 564881 0. 564881 0.	320057 0. 320057 0. 320057 0. 320057 0. 564881 0. 564881 0. 564881 0. 564881 0. 0 564752 0	320057 0. 320057 0. 320057 0. 564881 0. 564881 0. 564881 0. 564881 0. 260752 0 060752 0 218277 0.	320057 0. 320057 0. 320057 0. 564881 0. 564881 0. 564881 0. 564752 0 060752 0 218277 0. 218277 0.	320057 0. 320057 0. 320057 0. 564881 0. 564881 0. 564881 0. 260752 0 218277 0. 218277 0. 218277 0.	320057 0. 320057 0. 320057 0. 564881 0. 564881 0. 564881 0. 564881 0. 260752 0 260752 0 218277 0. 218277 0. 218277 0. 218277 0.	320057 0. 320057 0. 320057 0. 564881 0. 564881 0. 564881 0. 564881 0. 260752 0 218277 0. 218277 0. 218277 0. 218277 0. 218277 0. 218277 0.	320057 0. 320057 0. 320057 0. 564881 0. 564881 0. 564881 0. 564881 0. 260752 0 260752 0 218277 0. 218277 0. 218277 0. 218277 0. 0 218277 0. 0
5		rs2004;	rs7493.		rs74932	rs74932 rs7493,	rs74932 rs74932 rs74933	rs7493 rs7493 rs7493 rs7493	rs74935 rs74935 rs74933 rs74933 rs74933	rs74935 rs74935 rs74935 rs74935 rs14756 rs14755	rs74935 rs74935 rs74935 rs74935 rs14756 rs14756	rs7493 rs7493 rs7493 rs7493 rs7493 rs7493 rs7475	rs74935 rs74935 rs74935 rs14756 rs14756 rs76400 rs76400	rs74935 rs74935 rs74935 rs14756 rs14756 rs76400 rs76400 rs76400 rs76400	rs74935 rs74935 rs74935 rs14756 rs14756 rs16400 rs76400 rs76400 rs76400	rs74935 rs74935 rs74935 rs14756 rs14756 rs16406 rs76406 rs76406 rs76406 rs76406 rs76432 rs1382: rs1382: rs1382:	rs74933 rs74933 rs74933 rs14756 rs14756 rs16400 rs76400 rs76400 rs76400 rs76400 rs76400 rs13822 rs13822 rs13822	rs74935 rs74935 rs74935 rs14756 rs14756 rs14756 rs14756 rs13822 rs13822 rs13822 rs13822 rs13822 rs13822 rs13822	rs74935 rs74935 rs74935 rs14756 rs14756 rs76400 rs76400 rs76400 rs76400 rs76400 rs76400 rs76382: rs138
	N.A.	ZMH	ZMH	1M7	1,1	Z1H	ZLH	ZIH ZIH	ZIH ZIH	ZLH ZLH	ZLH ZLH ZH	ТТН ТТН ТТН ТТН ТТН ТТН	ZTH ZTH ZTH ZTH ZTH	ZTH ZTH ZTH ZTH	ZTH ZTH ZTH ZTH ZTH ZTH ZTH	ZH ZH ZH ZH ZH ZH ZH ZH	ZIH ZIH ZIH ZIH ZIH ZIH ZIH ZIH ZIH		
EXUI	7		6		9	¢ ¢	o o o	0 0 0 0	~ ~ ~ ~ ~	∞ ∞ <i>∞ ∞ ∞</i>	9 8 8 0 0 0	9 9 9 8 8 9 9	10 11 8 8 ¢ ¢ ¢	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	6 6 6 6 11 11 11 10 10 8 8 6 6 6 6 11 11 11 11 11 11 10 11 11 11 11 11 11	6 6 6 6 6 10 10 10 8 8 8 8 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	6 6 6 6 10 10 10 8 8 10 11 11 11 11 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	6 6 6 6 10 10 10 10 8 8 8 6 6 6 11 11 11 11 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
LGV3	c.156C>G p.Tyr52Ter; c.1497+5G>A p.?	c.440-2A>C p.?	c.467delC ~ Dr.1 546.*1 /	ht sinctoid.d	p.Pro156fs*14	p.Frotoors 14 c.467delC p.Pro156fs*14 c.467delC p.Pro156fs*14	p.Frotoors 14 c.467delC p.Pro156fs*14 c.467delC p.Pro156fs*14 c.467delC p.Pro156fs*14	p.Fr01005 14 c.467delC p.Pr0156fs*14 p.Pr0156fs*14 p.Pr0156fs*14 p.Pr0156fs*14 c.467delC p.Pr0156fs*14	p.Fr01005 14 c.467delC p.Pr0156fs*14 c.467delC p.Pr0156fs*14 c.467delC p.Pr0156fs*14 c.467delC p.Pr0156fs*14 c.674G>C p.Gly225Ala	p.Fr01005 14 c.467delC p.Pr0156fs*14 c.467delC p.Pr0156fs*14 c.467delC p.Pr0156fs*14 c.467delC p.Pr0156fs*14 c.674G>C p.Gly225Ala c.674G>C p.Gly225Ala	p.Fr01JOIS 14 c.467delC p.Pro156fs*14 c.467delC p.Pro156fs*14 c.467delC p.Pro156fs*14 c.467delC p.Fro156fs*14 c.467delC p.Gly225Ala c.674G>C p.Gly225Ala c.674G>C p.Gly225Ala c.879_dup	p.Fr01005 14 c.467delC p.Pr0156fs*14 c.467delC p.Pr0156fs*14 c.467delC p.Pr0156fs*14 c.467delC p.Pr0156fs*14 c.674G>C p.Gly225Ala c.674G>C p.Gly225Ala c.674G>C p.Gly225Ala c.869_879dup p.Asn294Leufs*14 c.877C>T p.Asn294Leufs*14	p.Fr01005 14 c.467delC p.Pr0156fs*14 c.467delC p.Pr0156fs*14 c.467delC p.Pr0156fs*14 c.674G>C p.Gly225Ala c.674G>C p.Gly225Ala c.674G>C p.Gly225Ala c.877C>T p.Arg293Ter p.Arg293Ter c.877C>T p.Arg293Ter	p:Fr01005 14 c.467delC p:Pr0156fs*14 c.467delC p:Pr0156fs*14 c.467delC p:Pr0156fs*14 c.467delC p:Pr0156fs*14 c.674G>C p:Gly225Ala c.674G>C p:Gly225Ala c.674G>C p:Gly225Ala c.674G>C p:Gly225Ala c.674G>C p:Gly225Ala c.674G>C p:Gly225Ala c.677G>T p:Arg293Ter c.877C>T p:Arg293Ter c.1040A>G p:Tyr347Cys	p.Fr01JOIS 14 c.467delC p.Pr0156fs*14 c.467delC p.Pr0156fs*14 c.467delC p.Pr0156fs*14 c.467delC p.Pr0156fs*14 c.674G>C p.Gly225Ala c.674G>C p.Gly225Ala c.674G>C p.Gly225Ala c.674G>C p.Gly225Ala c.674G>C p.Gly225Ala c.674G>C p.Gly225Ala c.674G>C p.Gly225Ala c.674G>C p.Gly225Ala c.674G>C p.Gly225Ala c.674G>C p.Gly225Ala c.674G>C p.Gly225Ala c.674G>C p.Gly225Ala c.674G>C p.Asp293Ter c.1040A>G p.Tyr347Cys c.1040A>G p.Tyr347Cys c.1040A>G p.Tyr347Cys	p.Fr01JOIS 14 C.467delC p.Pr0156fs*14 c.467delC p.Pr0156fs*14 c.467delC p.Pr0156fs*14 c.467delC p.Pr0156fs*14 c.674G>C p.Gly225Ala c.674G>C p.Gly225Ala c.674G>C p.Gly225Ala c.674G>C p.Gly225Ala c.877C>T p.Gly225Ala c.877C>T p.Arg293Ter c.877C>T p.Arg293Ter c.877C>T p.Arg293Ter c.877C>S p.Tyr347Cys c.1040A>G p.Tyr347Cys c.1040A>G p.Tyr347Cys c.1040A>G p.Tyr347Cys c.1040A>G p.Tyr347Cys c.1040A>G p.Tyr347Cys	p.Fr01J015 p.Pr0156fs*114 c.467delC p.Pr0156fs*114 c.467delC p.Pr0156fs*114 c.467delC p.Pr0156fs*114 c.674G>C p.Gly225Ala c.877C>T p.Gly225Ala c.1040A>G p.Tyr347Cys c.1040A>G p.Tyr347Cys c.1040A>G p.Tyr347Cys c.1040A>G p.Tyr347Cys c.1040A>G p.Tyr347Cys c.1040A>G p.Tyr347Cys c.1040A>G p.Tyr347Cys c.1040A>G p.Tyr347Cys c.1040A>G p.Tyr347Cys c.1040A>G p.Tyr347Cys c.1040A>G p.Tyr347Cys c.1040A>G p.Tyr347Cys c.1040A>G p.Tyr347Cys c.1040A>G p.Tyr347Cys c.1040A>G p.Tyr347Cys c.1040A>G p.Tyr347Cys c.1040A>G p.Tyr347Cys c.1040A>G	p.Fr01J015 p.Pr0156fs*114 c.467delC p.Pr0156fs*114 c.467delC p.Pr0156fs*114 c.467delC p.Pr0156fs*114 c.674G>C p.Gly225Ala c.7126C p.Gly225Ala c.1128G>A p.Ly347Cys c.11229G>T	p.r.01.001
	-				÷			2-I	2-1 2-1 2-1 2-1 2-1 2-1 2-1 2-1 2-1 2-1	2-1 1 2-1 2 2-1 2-1 2	2-I 1 0-I 4 2-I 2 -I 4	2-I - 1 - 1 - 1 - 2 - 1 - 2 - 1 - 2 - 1 - 2 - 1 - 2 - 1 - 2 - 1 - 2 - 2	2-1	2	2 5 7 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9				0-1 1 0-1

TABLE 3 Variants identified in MME gene.

WILEY 481

Reference	ı			4	ı		w							,		ı
ACMG	д.	ΓB	ГЪ	Ъ	ГЪ	ГЪ	VUS	<u>م</u>	Ъ	ГЪ	VUS	Ч	Ч	Ч	VUS	VUS
ACMG criteria	PVS1, PM2	PM2, BP4, BP6	PM2, PP3s	PM2, PP3s	PVS1, PM2	PVS1, PM2	PM2, PP3m	PM2, PP3s	PVS1, PM2, PP5	PVS1, PM2, PP5	PM2, PP3	PM2, PP3, PP1m	PM2, PP3, PP1m	PM2, PP3, PP1m	PVS1m, PM2	PVS1m, PM2
Predicted impact on protein	DMN	No apparent consequence on protein structure	New H-bond between lle649 and Leu644	No apparent consequence on protein structure	NMD	DMD	Clashes between Lys689 and Leu573. loss of a H-bond between Asn689 and Arg68, Leu573, Pro563	Loss of a H-bond between Gln <i>6</i> 92 and Asn72, Arg68, Cys88, Leu69. Gain of H-bond between His <i>6</i> 92 and Leu 688. Clashes between His <i>6</i> 92 and Arg68, Asn689	NMD	NMD	Clash between Gly715 and Trp694	NMD	NMD	NMD	Reading frame disrupted without predicted NMD	Reading frame disrupted without predicted NMD
Category	LoF	Ms	Ms	Ms	LoF	LoF	Ås	Š	Spl	Spl	Ms	Spl	Spl	Spl	Spl	Spl
GnomAD NFE	0	0.0023%	0.0031%	0.0219%	0	0	0.0133%	0	0.0009%	0.0009%	0	0	0	0	0	0
			22	8						_						
rs Id			rs1846666(rs18466660	ı		rs146536523		rs1217067826	rs1217067826		rs771921345	rs771921345	rs771921345		
Zygosity rs ld	- ZMH	- ZTH	HMZ rs1846660	HMZ rs1846660	- ZMH	- ZMH	HTZ rs146536523	- ZIH	HTZ rs1217067826	HTZ rs1217067826	- ZTH	HMZ rs771921345	HMZ rs771921345	HMZ rs771921345	- ZMH	- ZTH
Exon Zygosity rs ld	15 HMZ -	19 HTZ -	20 HMZ rs1846666	20 HMZ rs1846660	21 HMZ -	21 HMZ -	21 HTZ rs146536523	21 HTZ -	22 HTZ rs1217067826	22 HTZ rs1217067826	22 НТZ -	22 HMZ rs771921345	22 HMZ rs771921345	22 HMZ rs771921345	23 HMZ -	23 HTZ -
HGVS Exon Zygosity rs ld	c.1437-1446 15 HMZ - delinsCAATAG p.Arg479Serfs*14	c.1810G>A 19 HTZ - p.Val604lle	c.1946T>C 20 HMZ rs1846666(p.lle649Thr	c.1946T>G 20 HMZ rs18466660 p.lle649Ser	c.2011G>T 21 HMZ - p.Glu671Ter	с.2011G>T 21 HMZ - p.Glu671Ter	c.2067C>A 21 HTZ rs146536523 p.Asn689Lys	c.2076G>C 21 HTZ - p.Gln692His	c.2077-1G>C p.? 22 HTZ rs1217067826	c.2077-1G>C p.? 22 HTZ rs1217067826	c.2144G>A 22 HTZ - p.Gly715Asp	c.2153+5G>A p.? 22 HMZ rs771921345	c.2153+5G>A p.? 22 HMZ rs771921345	c.2153+5G>A p.? 22 HMZ rs771921345	c.2154-5_2160del p.? 23 HMZ -	c.2154-5_2160del p.? 23 HTZ -

non-sense mediated decay; rs ld, single-nucleotide polymorphism identification number; Sil, silent; Spl, splicing, VUS, variant of unknown significance.

482 | WILEY-

(Continued)

TABLE 3



FIGURE 7 On the left schematic and 3D (front and back) representation of MME protein. In cyan peptidase M-13, N-terminal domain, in dark yellow Peptidase M-13, C-terminal domain, in green transmembrane domain. Identified variants are marked in red (missense) and cyan (loss of function). On the right localization and interaction with nearby amino acids of the wild-type (SX) and mutant (DX) codons involved in missense variant. H-Bonds are depicted with a cyan dotted line; Gly225Ala: No apparent consequences on protein structure; Thr347Cys: Loss of a H-Bond with Asp209; Arg410Leu: No apparent consequences on protein structure; Tyr414Cys: Loss of a H-Bond with Asp514.



FIGURE 8 Localization and interaction with nearby amino acids of the wild-type (SX) and mutant (DX) codons involved in missense variant. H-Bonds are depicted with a cyan dotted line—clashes between residues are depicted in purple dotted line; Val604lle: No apparent consequences on protein structure; Ile649Thr: New H-Bond between Thr649 and Leu644; Ile649Ser: No apparent consequences on protein structure; Asn689Lys: Loss of H-bonds with Arg68, Leu573, and Pro563—Clashes with Leu573; Gln692His: Loss of H-Bonds with Ans72, Arg68, Cys88 and Leu69—New H-Bonds between His692 and Leu 688—Clashes between His692, Arg68, and Asn689; Gly715Asp: Clashes between Asp715 and Trp694.

 TABLE 4
 Splicing variants and their predicted consequence on mRNA (based on MobiDetails).¹³

MME (NM_007289.4)	SPLICING	RISK	Strenght variation due to the variant (MaxEnt score)	Cryptic site activation	Bp from canonic site	Cryptic vs. WT site	Predicted consequence
c.1497+5G>A	Alteration of the consensus splice site	96.71%	5' [11.08 GAG gtaagt \rightarrow 8.39 GAG gtaaat] (-24.28%)	NO	NO	NO	Exon 15 deletion?
c.440-2A>C	Alteration of the consensus splice site	98.41%	3' [6.11 ccaaaagCTG $ ightarrow -1.93$ ccaaacgCTG] (-131.59%)	NO	NO	NO	Exon 6 skipping, NMD?
c.2077-1G>C	Alteration of the consensus splice site	98.41%	3' [11.76 tatctctagGTG \rightarrow 3.70 tatctctacGTG] (-68.54%)	NO	NO	NO	Exon 22 skipping, NMD?
c.2153+5G>A	Alteration of the consensus splice site	98.41%	5' [9.73 CAGgtgcgt \rightarrow 8.39 CAGgtgcat] (-49.74%)	NO	NO	NO	Intron 22–23 retain, NMD? P. V693Dfs*42, NMD?
c.2154-5_2160del	Alteration of the consensus splice site	98.41%	$\begin{array}{l} 3'[9.15~ttttttgtttgtttcaaag\\ GAT \rightarrow~ttttttgtttgtttggggacTTT\\ -11.87]~(-229.73\%)~3'[-22.61\\ gtttgtttcaaaggattattGGG \rightarrow\\ gtttgtttcgggactttgcagAAC~6.2]\\ (+127.42\%) \end{array}$	YES	19	0.80 vs. 0.84	p.lle719Thrfs*15, no NMD?
c.1188G>A	Alteration of the consensus splice site	98.41%	$5'[5.73 AAGgtgaag \rightarrow -2.530AAAgtgaag] (-144.15%)$	NO	NO	NO	Intron 12-13 retain, NMD?

Overall, this is a mild neuropathy compared with the more common forms of CMT, but it is still more severe than other age-related neuropathies.

Despite what is described in the literature,⁹ the CMTES scores for monoallelic and biallelic patients are comparable, so that most of the severity of the disease seems to depend only on the duration of the disease. A significant difference in the CMTES score between monoallelic and biallelic patients occurs when we consider a subgroup of patients with more than 10 years of disease duration. In this case, biallelic patients have greater disease severity, confirming that homozygous patients progress more rapidly.

Although most patients present with sensory motor neuropathy, the onset of symptoms is predominantly motor and results in gait impairment.

As previously described,^{8,9} our patients presented with a lengthdependent neuropathy, with upper limbs only mildly affected, whereas the LL showed moderate to severe distal weakness, often associated with sensory deficits. Almost all patients have impaired LL reflexes, whereas upper limb reflexes are preserved in 41% of cases.

Neuropsychological testing revealed no cognitive impairment in our patients, in agreement with previous reports^{5,6,8,9,15} thus confirming that *MME* mutations are not sufficient to cause Alzheimer's disease.

The neurophysiological study demonstrated the presence of an axonal neuropathy, with no significant differences observed between biallelic and monoallelic patients or based on disease duration. While in the subgroup with more than 10 years of disease, greater clinical severity was observed in biallelic compared with monoallelic samples, the NCS values did not appear to be indicative of this more severe phenotype. Many of the identified variants lead to a mRNA NMD identifying loss of function (LoF) mechanisms as the most likely to be pathogenic. These mechanisms can include nonsense, frameshift, but also splicing variants. Within these categories, we have identified most of the variants in homozygosity, the only ones so far that are definitely associated with late-onset neuropathy. The variant p.Pro156fs*14, described by Auer-Grumbach and colleagues in 2016,⁷ was particularly significant. It was identified in five patients (four index cases) in both biallelic and monoallelic states. Interestingly, within the same family, Pt10-I and Pt10-II present the variant in bi and monoallelic states, respectively, and Pt10-I consistently shows a 15-year earlier onset. On the contrary, in another family, Pt27-I and Pt27-II show a comparable disease onset and both harbor the homozygous p.Glu671Ter.

Among the identified variants involving splice sites, four (c.440-2A>C, c.2077-1G>C, c.2153+5G>A, and c.1188G>A) are predicted to undergo NMD and two (c.1497+5G>A and c.2154-5 2160del) are likely to bypass this machinery but still result in a nonfunctional protein lacking the C-terminal tail of the peptidase M13 domain (peptidase-M13_N and peptidase-M13_C, respectively), confirming LoF as the main pathological mechanism. Interestingly, the variant c.2153+5G>A is shared by three siblings, and although its predicted variation in 5' splicing score is not as strong, it is defined as Likely Pathogenic by the overall ACMG criteria. The different ages of onset in the three siblings may reflect a case in which factors other than the genetic background might be added to the latter in determining the phenotype of the patients.

More controversial is the role of missense mutations. In silico analysis shows relevant structural consequences in six of the 10 different missense variants identified, while four seem to have no noticeable effect on the 3D structure of the protein. Ile649 seems to be an important codon harboring the only two missense homozygous changes Ile649Thr and Ile649Ser, the latter already described by Senderek and colleagues.⁹ Although both substitutions have deleterious in silico predictions, p.Ile649Thr seems to have a stronger impact on the tertiary structure of the protein. If we postulate an LoF mechanism also for missense variants, it is easy to assume that the greater the structural damage, the greater the chance that the protein will lose its function.

In contrast, p.Gly224Ala has no relevant effect on the protein structure except for a slight increase in steric hindrance. Moreover, the relatively high frequency in the population and the early age of onset in the two patients carrying this variant suggest a benign role for this variant. The same can be postulated for p.Val604Ile, despite the lower minor allele frequency and the later disease onset of the patient carrying this variant.

If the role of homozygous missense or nonsense MME variants in late-onset peripheral neuropathy has been established, the contribution of heterozygous variants, especially missense variants, is still unclear. A causal role has been hypothesized at low penetrance or as an AD risk factor as describe by Senderek and colleagues.⁹ The presence of a variant in heterozygosity in MME could thus be a necessary, but not sufficient condition for the onset of neuropathy, which would require a second event, either genetic or environmental, to manifest itself.

In this case, to determine the pathogenicity of a variant, we should more carefully evaluate some factors, such as the frequency in the general population and the co-segregation.

Within the heterozygous missense variants, a debate should be stimulated about those that are classified as benign (B) /LB by the ACMG. In literature, some variants are considered a risk factor despite being LB or B, for example, *GLUCOSIDASE*, *BETA*, and *ACID* variants for Parkinson's disease.

Even if CMT is not a complex disease, in this hypothesis, their benignity may not be a criterion on which to exclude their role in the pathogenesis of the neuropathy. Conversely, if the hypothesis of marked age-dependent penetrance is accepted, LB or B variants should not be considered to have a role in the development of the disease. Our data show that by excluding LB variants from the analyzed cohort, many of the clinical characteristics of the group become more consistent. This leads us to favor the age-dependent penetrance hypothesis.

The late onset of the disease obviously complicates this scenario, as younger generations are more likely to present asymptomatically. A large case–control study may be useful to address this issue.

CMT2T is confirmed to be a mild motor sensory neuropathy compared to other inherited forms. The mean disease onset is in the early years of the 6th decade of life and therefore it may have some relevance to the overall incidence of peripheral neuropathy in the elderly, particularly in populations with a high average age.

Long-term follow-up studies may be useful to monitor the disease progression and to determine how much it contributes to the clinical picture of patients, in addition to other age-related disorders.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks to the patient and his family and to ACMT-Rete and Gruppo CMT per AIGEM Onlus for all the support.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

ORCID

Alessandro Geroldi b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2208-9195 Alessia Mammi b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7683-9449 Lucio Marinelli b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0620-7654 Giulia Bisogni https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7314-3393 Stefano Tozza b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9672-4577

REFERENCES

- Azzedine H, Senderek J, Rivolta C, Chrast R. Molecular genetics of Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease: from genes to genomes. *Mol Syndromol.* 2012;3(5):204-214. doi:10.1159/000343487
- Bird TD. Charcot-Marie-Tooth hereditary neuropathy overview. September 28, 1998 [updated March 14, 2024]. In: Adam MP, Feldman J, Mirzaa GM, et al., eds. *GeneReviews*[®]. University of Washington, Seattle; 1993 –2024.
- Berciano J, García A, Gallardo E, et al. Intermediate Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease: an electrophysiological reappraisal and systematic review. J Neurol. 2017;264(8):1655-1677.
- Higuchi Y, Takashima H. Clinical genetics of Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease. J Hum Genet. 2023;68(3):199-214. doi:10.1038/s10038-022-01031-2
- Higuchi Y, Hashiguchi A, Yuan J, et al. Mutations in MME cause an autosomal-recessive Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease type 2. Ann Neurol. 2016;79(4):659-672. doi:10.1002/ana.24612
- Lupo V, Frasquet M, Sánchez-Monteagudo A, et al. Characterising the phenotype and mode of inheritance of patients with inherited peripheral neuropathies carrying MME mutations. J Med Genet. 2018; 55(12):814-823. doi:10.1136/jmedgenet-2018-105650
- Yoshimura A, Yuan JH, Hashiguchi A, et al. Genetic profile and onset features of 1005 patients with Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease in Japan. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2019;90(2):195-202. doi:10.1136/ jnnp-2018-318839
- Auer-Grumbach M, Toegel S, Schabhüttl M, et al. Rare variants in MME, encoding metalloprotease neprilysin, are linked to late-onset autosomal-dominant axonal polyneuropathies. Am J Hum Genet. 2016;99(3):607-623. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2016.07.008
- Senderek J, Lassuthova P, Kabzińska D, et al. The genetic landscape of axonal neuropathies in the middle-aged and elderly: focus on MME. Neurology. 2020;95(24):e3163-e3179. doi:10.1212/WNL. 000000000011132
- Cadoni A, Mancardi GL, Zaccheo D, et al. Expression of common acute lymphoblastic leukemia antigen (CD 10) by myelinated fibers of the peripheral nervous system. J Neuroimmunol. 1993;45(1–2):61-66. doi:10.1016/0165-5728(93)90164-t
- Turner AJ, Tanzawa K. Mammalian membrane metallopeptidases: NEP, ECE, KELL, and PEX. FASEB J. 1997;11(5):355-364. doi:10. 1096/fasebj.11.5.9141502
- 12. Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and

the Association for Molecular Pathology. Genet Med. 2015;17(5):405-424. doi:10.1038/gim.2015.30

 Baux D, Van Goethem C, Ardouin O, et al. MobiDetails: Online DNA variants interpretation. *Eur J Hum Genet*. 2021;29(2):356-360. doi:10. 1038/s41431-020-00755-z

486

 \perp Wiley-

- 14. Cortese A, Tozza S, Yau WY, et al. Cerebellar ataxia, neuropathy, vestibular areflexia syndrome due to RFC1 repeat expansion. *Brain*. 2020;143(2):480-490. doi:10.1093/brain/awz418
- Depondt C, Donatello S, Rai M, et al. MME mutation in dominant spinocerebellar ataxia with neuropathy (SCA43). *Neurol Genet*. 2016; 2(5):e94. doi:10.1212/NXG.00000000000094

How to cite this article: Geroldi A, La Barbera A, Mammi A, et al. Clinical and genetic features of CMT2T in Italian patients confirm the importance of *MME* pathogenic variants in idiopathic, late-onset axonal neuropathies. *J Peripher Nerv Syst.* 2024;29(4):472-486. doi:10.1111/jns.12657