# THE ACADEMY OF NEUROSCIENCE FOR ARCHITECTURE **20TH ANNIVERSARY CONFERENCE** POSTER BOOKLET







Academy of Neuroscience for Architecture

SEPTEMBER 13TH - 16TH • 2023 • LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA

# Abstract

The process that leads to the prefiguration, and possibly to the materialization, of architectural space is a complex one. It involves various professionals, knowledge in multiple fields, and has always been developed through the reliance on some kind of tool. Digital technology for architectural design, nowadays, is equipped with ever greater potential, and has a direct impact, from the early stages, on the design process.

Taking into account the studies descending from the "extended mind" theory [1, 2] it seems inevitable to investigate the architectural design process through the lens of the tools used: body, brain, and "active externality" constitute a network of inseparable interactions, by which the brain undergoes continuous reconfiguration. Research on the cognitive implications of digital media for architecture seldom addresses the relationship with mental imagery, which we argue should be considered a modeling tool for architectural design [3].

Considering the perception of the environment as an embodied experience of atmosphere [4], we argue that imagination in the form of multimodal mental imagery is the cognitive process that best can convey those experiential contents into the project. As demonstrated by many years of behavioral and neuroscientific research, mental imagery represents a form of perception without the corresponding sensorial stimulus [5], can expand and bias perception [6], is closely related to memory constructs [7], preserve spatial properties and thus allow inspection and transformation [8]. Moreover, through imagination, the architect can stand in an emphatic relation with the imagined architectural experience [9].

Since the boundary between the designer's mind and the instrumental apparatus at their disposal is increasingly blurred, in this poster we will present a theoretical framework for the investigation of the interaction between digital tools and mental imagery through a philosophical and cognitive approach, and two experimental studies that stemmed from it.

With the aim of demonstrating the impact of the tools used on the design process, we asked a group of undergraduates of architecture to perform a simplified design task under two different conditions, namely using "2D-3D CAD software" vs. using "only imagery" (within-subjects design) and evaluated the effects through self-report questionnaires. The results obtained suggest that the process of architectural design is sensitive to the tools used, at least in terms of the subjective experience reported by participants.

In the second study, whose data analysis is still ongoing, we aim to understand if architects with different visual cognitive styles, "object" or "spatial" [10], show differences in their cerebral activity during a task that simulate a design process that relies on BIM software.

Experimental research on the topic is only at its beginnings and we believe its future developments and results could have an impact on architectural education, on professionals' awareness of their own creative process, and on the Human-Computer Interaction adaptability.

## **Context and Aim**

Mental

Design thinking research

Extended mind theories

# References

- 1. Clark, A., & Chalmers, D. (1998). The Extended Mind. Analysis, 58(1), 7–19.
- **2.** Malafouris, L. (2013). How things shape the mind: A theory of material engagement. MIT Press.

ΙZ

- Giachetta, A., Novi, F., & Raiteri, R. (Eds.). (2019). La costruzione dell'idea, il pensiero della materia: Riflessioni sul progetto di architettura. FrancoAngeli.
- **4.** Canepa, E. (2022). Architecture Is Atmosphere. Notes on Empathy, Emotions, Body, Brain, and Space. Mimesis International.
- Nanay, B. (2018). Multimodal mental imagery. Cortex, 105, 125–134.
- Pearson, J., Clifford, C. W. G., & Tong, F. (2008). The Functional Impact of Mental Imagery on Conscious Perception. Current Biology, 18(13), 982–986.
- **7.** Arbib, M. A. (2021). When brains meet buildings. Oxford University Press.
- Kosslyn, S. M. (1983). Ghosts in the mind's machine: Creating and using images in the brain (1st ed). Norton.
- **9.** Pallasmaa, J. (2014). Empathic Imagination: Formal and Experiential Projection. Architectural Design, 84(5), 80–85.
- **10.** Blazhenkova, O., & Kozhevnikov, M. (2009). The new object-spatial-verbal cognitive style model: Theory and measurement. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 23(5), 638–663.

# **Theoretical framework** Introduction





# Imagination and digital tools in the architecture design process

## Linda Buondonno | Andrea Giachetta

M.Arch. | M.Arch., Ph.D.

linda.buondonno@edu.unige.it | andrea.giachetta@unige.it

Department of Architecture and Design (DAD) Polytechnic School - University of Genoa, Italy

## Behavior in the Built Environment: Measuring, Modeling, Theorizing

Architect, Ph.D. Student Architect, Associate professor



The architecture design process always involves the use of tools. Nowadays there is a preponderance of digital tools over others.

Maquette Plastic features

Digital technical drawing Dimensional information

Verbal description Semantic values

Sketch Potential forms



## Conclusions



In order to simulate as precisely as possible the conditions generated by the project, in their absence, architects use models that themselves produce and modify recursively throughout the design process. Models are examples that, according to some aspects, represent the final architectural object, in order to speculate on them. Depending on what information is necessary to control or communicate, the model is selective with respect to the totality of the object it represents. These clusters of information, partially isolated within each model, only make sense if they dynamically interact with other models. To create the various partial models that architects, some information is abstracted from the object represented and entrusted to a tool with its own rules to manage them. The model that virtually represents the architectural object as it will be built is the fictional set of all the models produced; this macro-model is the one that dynamically conveys the most possible information characterizing the space.

Mental images can be considered one of the models through which architectural space can be represented. They are in fact representations containing information from the perceptual processing retrieved from longterm memory and retain the spatial properties of the object represented. Moreover, mental images are the only model that can allow the point of view in first person with respect to the object represented. Certainly, to be maintained, and specified, mental images require the support of other models, which must be informed by the mental image itself and vice versa. It is precisely the bounce of information from digital models toward mental images that is the specific interest of this research. We argue that this represents a critical issue in design thinking research that should be tackled with the contribution of neuroscience.

### Mirroring

Experimental studies demonstrated that mental images maintain the spatial properties of the represented object. Thus, the architect can use mental images to perform inspections and transformations of spatial elements.

#### Involvement

Mental imagery is the only representation system for architecture that allows the architect to be in a first-person perspective towards the space represented

#### Interaction

Mental imagery is strongly rooted in technical knowledge both in terms of technic embedded in the represented object and in the technical tools used while imagining. This on the main distinctions between mental imagery and fantasy.

#### Scaleless

The digital realm, in certain conditions, lacks dimensional relativity; this could induce the architect to establish proportions related to the digital drawing and not necessarily appropriate for the body-space interaction.

#### Disembodiment

The architect, being absorbed by the interaction with the symbols in the digital interface, could lose contact with their own corporality and with the bodily experiences that could be used as design guidance.

#### Big data

Softwares for generative design intervene not just in the content of the representation but also in the design process itself. They represent a shift from "form designing" to "form-finding" and could impact the architects' creative capacity.

## ANFA 20th Anniversary Conference



# **Experimental studies**

#### AIM

Study 1

Verify if the tools used affect the design process and undestrand what is the impact on cognitive and emotional dimensions.

#### MATERIALS AND METHOD

<b>90</b> bachelor students		SESSION	February		April		May
2 aleatory groups		DESIGN ASSIGNMENT	Canopy and seats		Meditation space		
2 conditions: MI Modeling tool: Mental Imagery DIG Modeling tool: 2D-3D CAD	TASK	CONDITION		DIG		DIG	Assessment of individual differences
		GROUPS	Α	В	В	Α	
	POST TASK	QUESTIONNAIRES	- Ad hoc questionnaire - PANAS		- Ad hoc questionnaire - PANAS		
		GROUPS	A+B		A+B		A+B

#### RESULTS

More concrete interactions with the objects of design Satisfaction with the result

Too many ideas

More memories of previous similar experiences

Too much time

Difficulty focusing on the task

Unsatisfaction with the resu	ult
Sense of costraint	
Lack of ideas	
Lack of time	
Focus on the task	

## Study 2

#### AIM

Understand if architects with different visual cognitive styles, "object" or "spatial", show differences in their cerebral activity during a task that simulate a design process that relies on BIM software.

#### MATERIALS AND METHOD

1. Psychological assessment



#### 2. Electroencephalographic assessment



RESULTS Work in progress....

# Collaborations



HINIVHUITA BILLI HINIP FIRENZE

Università DISFOR DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE DELLA FORMAZIO

#### Andrea Giachetta

Linda Buondonno

Gaia Leandri

Massimo Leandri

Manila Vannucci

Carlo Chiorri

M.Arch., Ph.D. Architect Associate Professor

M.Arch. Architect Ph.D. Student

M.Arch., Ph.D. Architect Post Doc Lecturer

M.Med. Neurologist **Full Professor** 

M.Med. Neurologist Associate Professor

Psy.M, Ph.D Psychologst Associate Professor

Psy.M., Ph.D. Psychologst Associate Professor



# THE ACADEMY OF NEUROSCIENCE FOR ARCHITECTURE 20TH ANNIVERSARY CONFERENCE POSTER BOOKLET SEPTEMBER 13TH - 16TH • 2023 • LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA

