

rd Conference & Expo 2024 Sharing knowledge, the way to go!

"An International event for the Corrosion Prevention"



Genoa, 9-11 June 2024

Investigation of electrolytic hydrogen charging on the welding of a Line Pipe Steel API 5L X52 and its impact on fracture toughness using SENT specimens.

Flavio Catalano^a[†], Marco Palombo^b, Marco De Marco^b, Michelangelo Mortello^b, Paolo Piccardo^a, Roberto Spotorno^a

^a Department of Chemistry and Industrial Chemistry, University of Genova, Genova, Italy ^bIstituto Italiano della Saldatura, Genova, Italy [†]flavio.catalano@edu.unige.it



di Genova



ABSTRACT

Hydrogen is considered a key alternative to fossil fuels in the broader context of ecological transition. Repurposing methane pipelines to hydrogen is one of the challenges facing the ecological transition. However, hydrogen has the ability to diffuse

2. HYDROGEN CHARGING TEST

Working electrode \rightarrow the specimen Counter electrode \rightarrow Pt wire Reference \rightarrow standard Ag/AgCI electrode

within metallic lattices, causing the well-known phenomenon of hydrogen embrittlement (HE) [1]. For this reason, materials typically ductile can experience unexpected brittle fractures. For this reason, it is necessary to assess the HE propensity of the current pipeline network to ensure its fitness for hydrogen transport. In this work, the influence of the microstructure of the circumferential welded joint of an **X52** *pipeline steel* was correlated with the amount of hydrogen electrolytically introduced into it. Base material (BM), heat affected zone (HAZ) and fused zone (FZ) were subjected to $\frac{1}{2}$, 1, 2 and 4 hours of continuous charging with a current density J = -10 mA/cm² in a solution composed of $[H_2SO_4] = 0.05$ and 2 .00 g/L of CSN₂H₄. The HAZ used was reproduced from the BM through an appropriate heat treatment and characterized microstructurally and mechanically to verify its similarity to the original one. The electrolytic test revealed that the FZ is the material that can absorb the most hydrogen, followed by BM and HAZ. The BM reaches high concentrations of hydrogen due to the numerous non metallic inclusions that characterize it. Afterward, the materials underwent fracture mechanics tests with single edge notch tension (SENT) specimens. The tests took place both in air and under electrolytic charging to record the change in fracture toughness calculated as the J integral at the maximum force according with BS 8571 standard [2]. BM is the most sensitive material to a hydrogenated environment because it presents the highest drop in toughness between the test in air and in hydrogenated environment. Keywords: HYDROGEN, PIPELINE, X52, FRACTURE MECHANICS, SENT,

1. THE LINE PIPE STEEL API 5L X52

ELECTROLYTIC CHARGING

The material used in the tests is a portion of API 5L X52 steel pipe cut from a '70' Italian pipeline. For our tests, we recreated the HAZ from the BM through an appropriate heat treatment and characterized microstructurally and mechanically to verify its similarity to the original one (fig. 1).

$[H_2SO_4] = 0.01$ and 2 g/L CSN₂H₄ with J = -10 mA/cm2

The higher amount of hydrogen charged by FZ was expected, which is caused by the finer and more disordered microstructure, the high concentration of dislocations and the residual stresses that are typical of the welding process. Similarly, one would expect HAZ to be able to take in more hydrogen than BM but this is not the case. **The** morphology of inclusions is crucial in the development of HE in the steels and is particularly pronounced when they have an elongated shape as happens after a rolling process. This is because hydrogen atoms tend to gather more at the ends of the inclusion where the stress concentration is usually higher [3].





Figure 1: microstructures of BM, original HAZ, FZ, reproduced HAZ and detail of the BM inclusions.

Reproduced HAZ

t [s]

Figure 2: electrolytic hydrogen charging curves on BM, HAZ and FZ.

3. FRACTURE MECHANICS TEST WITH SENT SPECIMENS

Hydrogen has a deleterious effect on fracture toughness; in fact, it promotes the transition from a ductile to a brittle fracture mechanism. The fracture toughness of a pipeline can be evaluated through fracture mechanics tests. Specimens with SENT geometry have been shown to excellently simulate the stress and strain fields in the crack tip that drive the fracture process in a pipeline [4]. BM has the highest fracture toughness in air; however, it is more affected by the hydrogen (fig. 3). The fracture surface of the SENT specimen tested in hydrogen shows a brittle fracture zone. In the specimens tested in air, this zone is absent (fig. 4).





Figure 4: SENT Fracture surfaces before (left) and after (right) hydrogen effect.

4. CONCLUSION

In the first 4 hours of charging, 1ppm/h of hydrogen can be introduced into the **BM** with this self-made set-up.

Figure 3: Stress vs. Crack Mouth Opening Displacement (CMOD) curves of the SENT specimens tested, sketch of the SENT specimen and SENT during the test.

- FZ is the material that can charge the most hydrogen, followed by BM and HAZ, this is due to the particular microstructure of the weld.
- The hydrogen susceptibility of the weld bead appeared to be lower than that of BM; in fact, J_{MAX} results obtained with SENT specimens show that **BM has the highest** drop in toughness between air and hydrogen tests.
- The mechanical test will be repeated using the elastic compliance method to obtain the complete toughness behaviour in a hydrogenated environment (J-R curve).

REFERENCES

[1] Nagumo M. Fundamentals of Hydrogen Embrittlement. 1st ed. Springer; 2016. [2] BS8571-2014 Method of test for determination of fracture toughness in metallic materials using single edge notched tension (SENT) specimen

[3] Elboujdaini M, Revie RW. Metallurgical factors in stress corrosion cracking (SCC) and hydrogen-induced cracking (HIC). Journal of Solid State Electrochemistry 2009; 13: 1091-1099.

[4] Cravero S. et al., Correlation of fractuire behavior in high pressure pipelines with axial flaws using constraint designed test specimens-Part I: Plane-strain analyses, Engineering Fracture Mechanics, 2005.