Effectiveness, safety, durability and immune recovery in a retrospective, multicentre, observational cohort of ART-experienced, HIV-1-infected patients receiving maraviroc
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Abstract
The aim of this retrospective, multicentre, observational study was to assess the durability, safety, immune recovery and effectiveness on viral suppression of antiretroviral therapy (ART) in a maraviroc (MVC)-based cohort. We collected clinical, demographical, immunological and virological parameters of adult HIV patients who were infected by CCR5-tropic virus and started an ART regimen containing MVC from 2005 to 2012. We created a longitudinal mixed model to assess the change over time of data. We enrolled 126 drug-experienced patients; the median duration of MVC treatment was 25 months. The probability of stopping ART at one year was 13.3%, and at three years was 27.3%. Statistically significant changes were observed for CD4+ cell count increase (p < 0.001), HIV-RNA decrease (p < 0.001) and total cholesterol decrease (p = 0.005). Ninety-four patients (79.7%) had CD4+/C21 ≥ 200 cells/mm³ at baseline while nine of them reached this threshold at nine months (7.6%). 17 (13%) after nine months and six (5%) remained below 200 cells/mm³ at the end of the study. Overall, 114 patients (90.5%) achieved an HIV-RNA ≤ 50 cp/ml. A majority of patients maintained CD4 cell counts of ≥ 200 cells/mm³ and achieved an undetectable HIV viral load within three months. MVC-containing regimens are safe and appear to be a feasible therapeutic option for ART.
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**Introduction**

Maraviroc (MVC) is the only CCR5 inhibitor currently used in clinical practice and it has been tested and approved in the treatment of both treatment-naive and -experienced patients carrying the CCR5 tropism who are antiretroviral-naïve or have experienced therapeutic failure following traditional antiretroviral therapies.\(^1\) In human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1)-infected patients in the Motivate 1 and Motivate 2 phase 3 trials, MVC demonstrated superior 48 weeks’ virologic efficacy compared to placebo,\(^2\) and Gulick et al. also reported the pooled safety findings from these two studies over more than five years.\(^3\) MVC is associated with low levels of toxicity in studies of the treatment of naïve\(^4\) and experienced patients.\(^5\) As a representative of a new class of anti-HIV drugs, MVC faces certain challenges unique to its use as well as some challenges it shares with other antiretroviral drugs.\(^5\) Several data from Motivate 1 and 2 studies and the Merit study have showed that MVC is effective and well tolerated both in pre-treated and antiretroviral-naïve patients and significantly increases CD4+ T cell count even in cases where viral loads are not completely suppressed.\(^6\) Some studies have demonstrated that the immunological recovery of antiretroviral regimens containing MVC increase the normalization ratio of CD4/CD8 T cells\(^7\) and virological recovery.\(^8\) Moreover, the tolerability profile of the drug makes MVC particularly appealing as a combination partner in antiretroviral therapy (ART).\(^3,10\)

Apart from the Motivate study, however, there are no large observational experiences describing the long-term efficacy and safety of salvage regimens with MVC for HIV-1 infection. Furthermore, experience with regimens containing MVC and newer antiretroviral agents is limited. The aim of this multicentre observational study, therefore, was to assess the durability, safety, immune recovery and effectiveness on viral suppression of MVC-based regimens.

**Methods**

This observational study presents three outcomes: the first outcome was durability (time) of the antiretroviral regimens containing MVC; the second outcome was the safety of the regimens containing MVC (evaluating the metabolic and hepatic profiles) and the third outcome was immune recovery and effectiveness on viral suppression of these patients.

HIV-1-infected, drug-experienced patients attending 10 Italian Infectious Diseases Centres were included in the study from September 2005 to September 2012. The inclusion criteria were adult patients infected by CCR5-tropic virus who were to start treatment with ART containing MVC. Follow-up for patients commenced at the initiation of MVC.

Clinical, demographic, immunological and virological parameters were collected at baseline and every three months in a database connected through a web-based interface. This web tool made it possible to collect pseudo-anonymous data from several contemporaneous clinical studies providing highly structured and standardized information.\(^11,12\) To obtain the data for the first outcome, we analysed the probabilities of stopping ART containing MVC according to demographic and clinical characteristics. We also analysed if the HIV stage disease had an impact on this outcome. We used the Centers for Diseases Control (CDC) classification (revised in 1993) to assess the severity of HIV disease by CD4 cell count (categories 1, 2 and 3) and by the presence of specific HIV-related conditions (categories A, B and C).

As a cut-off for the statistical analysis, explained in the next section, and to assess the second and the third outcomes, we considered as abnormal a CD4+ T cell count < 200 cells/mm\(^3\), HIV-RNA (VL) > 50 copies (cp)/ml, total cholesterol > 200 mg/dl, HDL cholesterol < 40 mg/dl, triglycerides > 160 mg/dl, and transaminases > 40 mg/dl.

**Statistical methods**

Linear mixed models with random intercept were used to assess the global change over all follow-up of clinical characteristics as HIV-RNA, CD4 + T cells, cholesterol levels and triglycerides. Since the frequency distribution of HIV-RNA and triglycerides was highly skewed, a cubic root transformation was performed to be used as dependent variable in a linear mixed model. Further, using a Kaplan–Meier estimator, the probabilities of stopping treatment were calculated and plotted. Probability estimation with the associate standard error (SE) was reported at one, two and three years from start of treatment. A \(p < 0.05\) was considered statistically significant. SAS (version 9.2) was used for computation.

**Results**

**Description of the cohort**

We enrolled 126 drug-experienced patients whose clinical and demographic characteristics are shown in Table 1. In 70 patients (55.5%), MVC was added to the antiretroviral regimen for ‘salvage therapy’, in 51 (40.5%) for therapeutic/immunological intensification and in five patients (4%) in the context of therapeutic simplification. The median time of MVC in the three drug regimens was 30 months (IQR 20-41).
Durability of the regimens containing MVC

In the total study population, the probability of stopping ART at one year was 13.3% (SE: 3.1%), at two years it was 21.8% (SE: 4%) and at three years it was 27.3% (SE: 4.6%) (Figure 1(a)). We did not find any significant difference between genders \( (p = 0.27) \) although men had a higher probability of stopping treatment compared to women. There were no significant differences between groups divided according to the CDC classification (log-rank test; \( p = 0.095 \)) even if there was a trend for a higher probability in patients in category C of CDC. If category A and B are considered as a single group, the difference compared to C was significant (log-rank; \( p = 0.047 \)) (Figure 1(b)).

In A plus B categories at one year, the probability of stopping treatment was 9.4% (SE: 3.4%) while it was 20.4% (SE: 6.1%) in group C; at two years the probabilities were 15.9% (SE: 4.4%) and 33.2 (SE: 7.9%), respectively.

Overall, 31 patients discontinued MVC: 17 for failure, 5 for ART simplification, 3 for toxicity, 3 for poor adherence and 3 died. The causes of death were non-Hodgkin lymphoma, hepatic carcinoma and lung cancer. Only one patient shifted to X4 and two patients to dual mixed tropism.

Safety of MVC

A statistically significant longitudinal change for CD4+ T cells and HIV-RNA \( (p < 0.001) \) and for cholesterol \( (p = 0.005) \) except for HDL cholesterol \( (p = 0.34) \) and triglycerides \( (p = 0.23) \) was detected (Figures 2(a) to (e), respectively). We did not find any significant alterations or changes concerning the values of transaminases (data not shown).

Immune recovery and effectiveness on viral suppression

In patients with baseline VL \( \leq 50 \) cp/ml, the delta CD4+T cell count was 52 cells/mm\(^3\) at three months, 45 cells/mm\(^3\) at six months and 16 cells/mm\(^3\) at 12 months. In patients with baseline VL > 50, however,
we established at three months a median delta value of 80 CD4\(^+\) cells/mm\(^3\), at six months 98 cells/mm\(^3\) and at 12 months 120 cells/mm\(^3\).

The study revealed that a total of 120/126 patients (95%) reached, at some point, a CD4\(^+\) T cell count higher than 200 cells/mm\(^3\). A total of 94 patients (79.7%) had a CD4\(^+\) T cell count higher than 200 cells/mm\(^3\) at baseline while nine patients reached this threshold at nine months (7.6%), 17 (13%) after nine months and six patients (5%) remained below 200 cells/mm\(^3\) as at the end of the study. Of note, 19 patients reached the threshold of CD4 cell count \(\geq 500\) cells/mm\(^3\) at three months of therapy and five patients at nine months.

Further, a total of 114 patients (90.5%) reached a value of VL \(\leq 50\) cp/ml. Among them, 19 (15%) had normal values at baseline while 28 (24.6%) and 25 (21.9%) reached this threshold at 1 and 3 months, respectively. Excluding those patients whose VL values was \(\leq 50\) cp/ml at baseline, 50% of patients reached the threshold in the first three months (median value) with a range between 1 and 21 months.

**Immune recovery and effectiveness on viral suppression in patients treated with MVC-based dual therapy**

Considering MVC-based dual therapy, in our cohort we had 24 (19%) patients: in seven patients MVC was combined with raltegravir; in nine patients with a protease inhibitor; in five patients with a non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI); and in three patients with a nucleos(t)ide RTI (NRTI). In this population, we found only three virological failures (one in raltegravir-based and two in NRTI-based dual therapy). We did not observe any tropism switch. The median time on dual therapy was 20 months (IQR 15-25); the median value of baseline CD4\(^+\) T cell count/mm\(^3\) was 348 (IQR 251-503) and the median value of the last determination of CD4\(^+\) T cell count/mm\(^3\) was 425 (IQR 303-575).

**Discussion**

In this observational, multicentre and retrospective cohort study, we describe in a real-life setting, the safety, the immunovirologic recovery and the durability of MVC as part of combined ART regimens in multi-experienced CCR5-tropic, HIV-1-positive patients with about a fifth of the patients treated with a novel two-drug combinations. The study, with a relatively small sample size of patients, is an observational study and presents all the limitations concerning this type of study. These limitations include problems of internal validity, impossibility to randomize individuals and some missing data. So as to remedy the presence of missing observations in clinical characteristics (CD4, HIV-RNA, etc.) during follow-up, a mixed model approach was used. This model allowed for the carrying out of analysis even for patients with missing observations and not just for patients who had complete data as may happen in other models for repeated measures (i.e. analysis of variance). As a consequence, the impact of lost data or observations during follow-up on results was minimized.

With respect to the Motivate study, in our setting median CD4\(^+\) T cells at baseline was higher and VL was lower, and unlike Motivate the ART regimens considered in this study included recent antiretroviral agents...
such as darunavir, raltegravir and etravirine (42%, 68% and 27% of patients, respectively).

In our study, we observed that MVC has a favourable toxicity profile, with only 3 out of 31 patients discontinued from MVC due to toxicity: one for dyspepsia and two for persistent myalgia. In addition, no relevant changes in laboratory assessments were observed, apart from a significant beneficial decrease in total cholesterol levels. Furthermore, although the labelling information for MVC contains a boxed warning for hepatotoxicity, in our cohort in which 30% of patients were HBV or HCV co-infected, we did not find any significant alterations or changes in liver function. Finally, in our setting, cART containing MVC resulted in a significant

Figure 2. Data representing the changes over time (longitudinal mean values) of immune-virological and biochemical parameters. (a) CD4+ T cell count/mm³, (b) HIV-RNA copies/ml, (c) total cholesterol (COL), (d) HDL-cholesterol and (e) triglycerides (TGL). In all graphs, the circles indicate the mean values and the error bars indicate the confidential interval (CI) 95%.
Virological failure was, in multi-experienced patients, the most frequent reason for MVC discontinuation (17/31 patients, 54.8%), but only one patient shifted to X4 and 2 to mixed tropism. An increased number of trials have been conducted among specific patient groups, in order to better define the extent of MVC indications.15,16

There are, nonetheless, few conflicting data available on dual therapy with MVC: in naive patients, maraviroc administered once daily with darunavir boosted by ritonavir in a new regimen trial (MODERN) of ritonavir-boosted darunavir 800/100 mg and MVC 150 mg daily was prematurely terminated due to inferior efficacy. In experienced patients, the virological activity and immunological benefit of a once daily administered MVC with atazanavir/ritonavir (ATV/r) were demonstrated in a phase 2b, randomized study.15 Efficacy and safety of the once-daily administered MVC with ritonavir-boosted darunavir in pre-treated HIV-infected patients was shown in 60 patients in a retrospective cohort study,17 whereas a viral rebound after a switch to MVC/raltegravir dual therapy in highly experienced and virologically suppressed patients with HIV-1 infection was revealed.18

Considering overall data, in our observational study we established that MVC has a favourable toxicity profile regarding in particular HDL cholesterol and triglycerides values and we did not find any changes concerning transaminases, as reported in other studies.19,20

In our cohort, we observed excellent immunological recovery in 95% of patients who reached a CD4+ T cell count higher than 200 cells/mm³ and 90% of patients with VL ≤ 50 cp/ml. In the subgroup of 24 patients treated with MVC dual therapy, we described few virological failures and in patients who failed, no change in viral tropism, suggesting that this strategy can also be used in selected multi-experienced patients.
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