Accepted Manuscript

A simple phenotypic method for screening of MCR-1-mediated colistin resistance

Marco Coppi, Antonio Cannatelli, Alberto Antonelli, Ilaria Baccani, Vincenzo Di Pilato, Samanta Sennati, Tommaso Giani, Gian Maria Rossolini

CMI
CLINICAL MICROBIOLOGY
AND INFECTION

***CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO

PII: S1198-743X(17)30457-3 DOI: 10.1016/j.cmi.2017.08.011

Reference: CMI 1043

To appear in: Clinical Microbiology and Infection

Received Date: 13 May 2017
Revised Date: 11 August 2017
Accepted Date: 12 August 2017

Please cite this article as: Coppi M, Cannatelli A, Antonelli A, Baccani I, Di Pilato V, Sennati S, Giani T, Rossolini GM, A simple phenotypic method for screening of MCR-1-mediated colistin resistance, *Clinical Microbiology and Infection* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2017.08.011.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

A simple phenotypic method for screening of MCR-1-mediated colistin resistance

Marco Coppi¹, Antonio Cannatelli², Alberto Antonelli¹, Ilaria Baccani¹, Vincenzo Di Pilato³, Samanta Sennati², Tommaso Giani², and Gian Maria Rossolini^{1,4}*

Department of Experimental and Clinical Medicine, University of Florence, SOD Microbiologia e Virologia Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Careggi, I-50134 – Florence, Italy. Phone: +39-055-7949239; fax: +39-055-7949289; e-mail: gianmaria.rossolini@unifi.it

Running title: Colistin-MAC test for phenotypic screening of MCR-1

¹Department of Experimental and Clinical Medicine, University of Florence, Italy

²Department of Medical Biotechnologies, University of Siena, Italy

³Department of Surgery and Translational Medicine, University of Florence

⁴Clinical Microbiology and Virology Unit, Florence Careggi University Hospital, Florence, Italy

^{*}Corresponding author. Mailing address:

Abstract

Objectives: To evaluate a novel method, named Colistin-MAC test, for phenotypic screening of

acquired colistin-resistance mediated by transferable mcr-1 resistance determinants, based on

colistin MIC reduction in the presence of dipicolinic acid (DPA).

Methods: The Colistin-MAC test consists in a broth microdilution method, in which colistin MIC is

tested in absence or presence of DPA (900 µg/mL). Overall, 74 colistin-resistant strains of

Enterobacteriaceae (65 Escherichia coli and 9 of other species), including 61 strains carrying mcr-

1-like genes and 13 strains negative for mcr genes, were evaluated with the Colistin-MAC test.

The presence of mcr-1-like and mcr-2-like genes was assessed by Real-Time-PCR and end-point

PCR. For 20 strains, Whole-Genome Sequencing data were also available.

Results: A \geq 8-fold reduction of colistin MIC in the presence of DPA was observed with 59 mcr-1-

positive strains, including 53 E. coli of clinical origin, three E. coli transconjugants carrying MCR-

1-encoding plasmids, one *Enterobacter cloacae* complex, and two *Citrobacter* spp. Colistin MICs

were unchanged, increased, or at most reduced by two-fold with the 13 mcr-negative colistin-

resistant strains (nine E. coli and four Klebsiella pneumoniae), but also with two mcr-1-like-positive

K. pneumoniae strains.

Conclusions: The Colistin-MAC test could be a simple phenotypic test for presumptive

identification of mcr-1-positive strains among isolates of collistin-resistant E. coli, based on a ≥ 8 -

fold reduction of colistin MIC in the presence of DPA. Evaluation of the test with a larger number

of strains, species, and *mcr*-type resistance determinants would be of interest.

Keywords: DPA-colistin synergy, phenotypic screening, colistin resistance, mcr-1, Colistin-MAC

test

2

Introduction

Polymyxins have lately regained a key role as last-resort antibiotics for treatment of infections caused by multidrug-resistant and extremely drug-resistant Gram-negative pathogens [1].

In Enterobacteriaceae, acquired resistance to polymyxins is mostly mediated by modification of the Lipid A target (by addition of 4-amino-4-deoxy-l-arabinose and/or phosphoethanolamine residues) that impair polymyxin binding [2]. Target modification can be dependent on chromosomal mutations upregulating the endogenous lipopolysaccharide modification systems, or by exogenous phosphoethanolamine transferases encoded by acquired mobilized colistin resistance (*mcr*) genes. Discovery of the latter mechanisms has raised considerable concern in view of their potential for spreading among clinical pathogens [3]. Indeed, after the first description [4], *mcr-1* and variants thereof have been detected worldwide [5], while additional types of *mcr* genes (e. g. *mcr-2*, *mcr-3* and *mcr-4*) have recently been identified [6-8].

Since MCR-1 is a zinc enzyme [9], exposure to chelators could reduce colistin resistance in MCR-1-producing strains. Indeed, EDTA was recently reported to potentiate the activity of colistin against MCR-1-producing strains [9].

Here we describe a novel test (named Colistin-MAC test), based on colistin MIC reduction in the presence of dipicolinic acid (DPA), that could be useful for phenotypic screening of *mcr-1*-positive colistin-resistant *E. coli* strains.

Methods

The Colistin-MAC test is based on colistin MIC testing, in absence or presence of DPA, in a broth microdilution format according to the CLSI standard [10]. Colistin sulphate (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) concentrations tested ranged from 0.125 to 8.0 µg/mL, alone or in combination with DPA at a fixed concentration of 900 µg/mL. DPA was chosen since it was reported to have greater selectivity for zinc ions [11], and exhibited a better performance than EDTA in phenotypic

assays for detection of zinc- β -lactamases [12]. The DPA (Sigma-Aldrich) stock solution was prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (SERVA, Heidelberg, Germany) at a concentration of 100 mg/mL and stored at -20°C. Cation-adjusted Mueller Hinton broth (MHB) (Becton Dickinson, Milan, Italy) was used as the medium for susceptibility testing. MHB containing DPA and DMSO at final concentrations of 900 μ g/mL and 0.9% (v/v), respectively, was used for growth control. Susceptibility testing was carried out in 96-well microtiter plates (Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany). Results were recorded after 20 hours of incubation at 35±2°C. Susceptibility to colistin was interpreted according to the EUCAST clinical breakpoints ($\leq 2 \mu$ g/mL) [13]. All tests were carried out in duplicate. The two repetitions were considered concordant when a \geq 8-fold reduction or a \leq 2-fold reduction of colistin MIC in the presence of DPA was observed in both replicates. In case of discordant results, a third replicate was carried out and the modal value was considered.

The Colistin-MAC test was evaluated with 74 colistin-resistant strains from our Laboratory collection (Table S1), including: i) 61 strains carrying *mcr-1*-like genes, in which the genes had been detected by PCR or Whole-Genome Sequencing (WGS); ii) 13 strains in which the absence of *mcr-1*-like and *mcr-2* genes had been confirmed by RT-PCR and end-point PCR (Table S2); in nine of these strains the absence of all known *mcr* genes *mcr-1* to *mcr-4* had also been confirmed by WGS analysis. Most strains were *E. coli* (N=65), and a few belonged to other enterobacterial species including *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (N=6), *Citrobacter braakii* (N=1), *Citrobacter freundii* complex (N=1) and *Enterobacter cloacae* complex (N=1). The tested strains included 70 isolates of clinical origin (blood or urine cultures, or surveillance rectal swabs), one isolate of environmental origin, and three laboratory-derived *E. coli* J53 transconjugants harboring different *mcr-1*-carrying plasmids (Table S1). Some of the investigated strains have been previously reported, while others are part of an ongoing study. All *E. coli* strains were confirmed to be clonally unrelated by random amplification of polymorphic DNA PCR (Table S1). The *K. pneumoniae* strain carrying *mcr-1*-like gene was ST1 and presented a capsular *wzi-19* allele, while that carrying *mcr-1.2* was ST512 and presented a capsular *wzi-154* allele.

The effect of DPA in increasing colistin susceptibility of *mcr-1*-like positive strains was also tested in a disc-diffusion format [14], using colistin discs (10 μg, Thermo ScientificTM OxoidTM, Waltham, MA USA) added with either 7.5 μL or 10 μL of the DPA stock solution (i. e. 750 or 1000 μg per disc, respectively) before placing the disc onto the inoculated medium.

Results

The tested strains exhibited a colistin MIC ranging from 4 to >8 μ g/mL (Table 1), and all of them grew well in the presence of DPA and DMSO at the concentrations used in the test.

In presence of DPA at 900 μ g/mL, all the 53 mcr-1 positive $E.\ coli$ strains exhibited a reduction of colistin MIC of at least an 8-fold dilution (range, $8-\ge 128$ fold). A similar behavior was also observed with the three $E.\ coli$ J53 transconjugants carrying different mcr-1 plasmids, with the mcr-1-positive $Enterobacter\ cloacae$ complex strain and with the two mcr-1-positive $Citrobacter\ strains$ (Table 1). Discordant results between the two replicates were only observed with three mcr-1 positive $E.\ coli$ strains, which resulted in 4-fold reduction of colistin MIC in one of the two repetitions. In these cases, a third replicate yielded a >8-fold colistin MIC reduction (Table S1) and the median value was considered. Colistin MIC was increased, unchanged or at most decreased by a two-fold dilution with the mcr-negative $E.\ coli$ and $K.\ pneumoniae$ strains, but also with the two mcr-1-like positive $K.\ pneumoniae$ strains (Table 1).

In the disc-diffusion format, no significant differences were detected in inhibition zones between *mcr-1*-positive and *mcr*-negative colistin-resistant strains (data not shown), probably due to the low and variable diffusibility of colistin from discs [15].

Discussion

The Colistin-MAC test described in this work could be a simple method for screening of mcr-1-positive strains among colistin-resistant $E.\ coli$ and, possibly also of other species of Enterobacteriaceae. In fact, considering as a criterion for mcr-1 positivity/negativity a ≥ 8 -fold / ≤ 2 -fold reduction of colistin MIC in the presence of DPA, the test was able to correctly categorize all

the 65 colistin-resistant mcr-1-positive $E.\ coli$ (including 62 clinical strains and three laboratory-derived transconjugants), and also a few mcr-1-positive strains of other species. The lack of inhibitory effect observed with the mcr-1-positive $K.\ pneumoniae$ could be due to a reduced permeability to DPA and/or to the presence of additional unknown mechanisms of colistin resistance in those strains. We encountered only three results falling in the intermediate range (4-fold colistin MIC reduction by DPA), that were confirmed as positive (\geq 8-fold colistin MIC reduction) in two additional replicates. In case of an intermediate result, we suggest repeating the test and, if the intermediate result is confirmed, reporting the result as indeterminate.

This study was conceived as a preliminary proof of concept evaluation of the Colistin-MAC test and, as such, has a number of limitations including: i) the small sample size of investigated strains; ii) the limited number of investigated species, with a very small number of strains of species other than *E. coli*; iii) the small number of strains with (putative) chromosomal mechanisms of resistance, as negative controls; iv) the lack of strains carrying *mcr* genes other than *mcr-1*. The performance of this test should be confirmed with a larger collection of strains representative of different species and different colistin resistance mechanisms. The Colistin-MAC test has also some inherent limitations: i) the test is apparently not working with *K. pneumoniae*; ii) the execution requires the preparation of test-specific BMD panels, which can be labor-intensive and could increase costs in case of commercial production. In this perspective, it could be interesting to evaluate the performance of the test carried out with the addition of DPA directly to wells of a commercial panel.

Nevertheless, the possibility to presumptively detect *E. coli* strains with plasmid-mediated colistin resistance due to acquisition of *mcr-1* genes (which overall appear to be the most prevalent strains with transferable colistin resistance worldwide) with a test that can be set up also in basic laboratories not equipped with facilities for molecular testing, could be of remarkable importance for surveillance purposes, especially in low-income settings.

Transparency Declaration

No external funding was received for this study. Dr. Antonelli reports grants and non-financial support from ELITech group, outside the submitted work. Dr. Coppi reports non-financial support from ELITech group, outside the submitted work. Dr. Giani reports grants from Astra-Zeneca, personal fees from Alifax, personal fees from bioMérieux, personal fees from ThermoFisher Scientific, personal fees from Accelerate Diagnostics, outside the submitted work.

Dr. Rossolini reports grants from bioMérieux, grants from Becton-Dickinson, non-financial support from Beckman Coulter, grants and non-financial support from Alifax, grants from Check-points, grants, personal fees and non-financial support from Accelerate Diagnostics, personal fees from Curetis, grants and personal fees from Cepheid, grants and personal fees from ELITech group, personal fees from ThermoFisher Scientific, non-financial support from Biomedical Service, personal fees from Achaogen, personal fees from Angelini, grants and personal fees from Astra-Zeneca, grants and personal fees from Basilea Pharmaceutica, grants from Biotest, personal fees from Menarini, grants and personal fees from Merck, grants and personal fees from Nordic Pharma, personal fees from Pfizer, grants from Rempex, grants and personal fees from Zambon, grants and personal fees from Angelini ACRAF, grants from Arrow diagnostics, grants from DID Diagnostics, grants from Estor, grants from Liofilchem, grants and non-financial support from Novartis, non-financial support from Copan, outside the submitted work.

References

- 1. Nation RL, Li J. Colistin in the 21st century. Curr Opin Infect Dis 2009; 22:535-43.
- 2. **Baron S, Hadjadj L, Rolain JM, Olaitan AO.** Molecular mechanisms of polymyxin resistance: knowns and unknowns. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2016; 48:583-91.

- 3. **Schwarz S, Johnson AP.** Transferable resistance to colistin: a new but old threat. J Antimicrob Chemother 2016; 71:2066-70.
- 4. Liu YY, Wang Y, Walsh TR, Yi LX, Zhang R, Spencer J et al. Emergence of plasmid-mediated colistin-resistance mechanism MCR-1 in animals and human beings in China: a microbiological study and molecular biology study. Lancet Infect Dis 2016; 16:161-8.
- Poirel L, Jayol A, Nordmann P. Polymyxins: Antibacterial Activity, Susceptibility
 Testing, and Resistance Mechanisms Encoded by Plasmids or Chromosomes. Clin
 Microbiol Rev 2017; 30:557-96.
- 6. Xavier BB, Lammens C, Ruhal R, Kumar-Singh S, Butaye P, Goossens H et al. Identification of a novel plasmid-mediated colistin-resistance gene, mcr-2, in Escherichia coli, Belgium, June 2016. Euro Surveill 2016; 7:21-7.
- 7. Yin W, Li H, Shen Y, Liu Z, Wang S, Shen Z, et al. Novel Plasmid-Mediated Colistin Resistance Gene mcr-3 in Escherichia coli. MBio 2017; 27:8.
- 8. Carattoli A, Villa L, Feudi C, Curcio L, Orsini S, Luppi A et al. Novel plasmid-mediated colistin resistance mcr-4 gene in Salmonella and Escherichia coli, Italy 2013, Spain and Belgium, 2015 to 2016. Euro Surveill 2017; 22: 31.
- 9. **Hinchliffe P, Yang QE, Portal E, Young T, Li H, Tooke CL** *et al.* Insights into the mechanistic basis of plasmid-mediated colistin resistance from crystal structures of the catalytic domain of MCR-1. Sci Rep 2017; 7:39392.
- 10. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria That Grow Aerobically; Approved Standard—Tenth Edition. CLSI document M07-A10. Wayne, PA, USA; 2015.
- 11. **Larson AA, Kitto KF.** Chelation of zinc in the extracellular area of the spinal cord, using ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid disodium-calcium salt or dipicolinic acid, inhibits the antinociceptive effect of capsaicin in adult mice. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1999; 288:759-65.

- 12. Giske CG, Gezelius L, Samuelsen Ø, Warner M, Sundsfjord A, Woodford N. A sensitive and specific phenotypic assay for detection of metallo-β-lactamases and KPC in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* with the use of meropenem disks supplemented with aminophenylboronic acid, dipicolinic acid and cloxacillin. Clin Microbiol Infect 2011; 17:552-6.
- 13. **The European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing.** Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters. Version 7.1, 2017. http://www.eucast.org
- 14. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk Susceptibility Tests—Twelfth Edition: Approved Standard M2-A12. Wayne, PA, USA; 2015.
- 15. Lo-Ten-Foe JR, de Smet AM, Diederen BM, Kluytmans JA and van Keulen PH. Comparative evaluation of the VITEK 2, disk diffusion, Etest, broth microdilution, and agar dilution susceptibility testing methods for colistin in clinical isolates, including heteroresistant *Enterobacter cloacae* and *Acinetobacter baumannii* strains. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2007; 51:3726-30.

Table 1. Bacterial strains tested in this study, and colistin MIC values measured by broth microdilution in absence or presence of 900 mg/mL DPA (Colistin-MAC test). For a detailed description of tested strains and results see Table S1.

Species	Mechanism of Colistin resistance ^a	No. of strains	MIC Colistin (μg/mL) (median value)	MIC Colistin (μg/mL) + DPA 900 μg/ml (median value)	Fold of MIC reduction
Escherichia coli	mcr-1/ mcr-1-like	53	4 - >8 (8)	≤0.125 - 1 (≤0.125)	8 - ≥128
	mcr-NEG, n.d.	9	4 - 8 (8)	4 ->8 (8)	\approx^{b}
Escherichia coli J53AZI ^R Transconjugants	<i>mcr-1-</i> like	3	4 (4)	≤0.125 - 0.5 (0.25)	8 - ≥32
Klebsiella pneumoniae	mcr-1-like/mcr-1.2	2	8 ->8	>8	$pprox^{\mathrm{b}}$
	mcr-NEG PmrB mutant/inactivated mgrB/n.d.	4	>8	>8	$\approx^{\texttt{b}}$
Citrobacter braakii	mcr-1	1	8	0.5	16
Citrobacter freundii complex	mcr-1	1	8	≤0.125	≥64
Enterobacter cloacae complex	mcr-1	1	>8	≤0.125	≥128

^a *mcr-1*-like indicates that the gene was amplified with primers for *mcr-1* but was not entirely sequenced; *mcr*-NEG indicates that the strain was negative for *mcr-1*-like and *mcr-2*-like genes as assessed by RT-PCR and end-point PCR. In some cases, WGS data confirmed negativity for all known *mcr*-genes; n.d., indicates that the colistin resistance mechanism remained not determined.

Table S1. List of tested strains with mechanisms of colistin resistance, colistin MIC values in absence and presence of DPA, and references.

Table S2. Oligonucleotides and probes used for the RT-PCR and end-point-PCR for detection of *mcr-1-like* and *mcr-2-like* genes.

 $^{^{}b}\approx$ indicates that colistin MIC, in presence of DPA, was increased, unchanged or at most decreased by a two-fold dilution.